Journal section: Oral Medicine and Pathology Publication Types: Research doi:10.4317/medoral.17960 http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4317/medoral.17960

# Comparison of pain and swelling after removal of oral leukoplakia with CO<sub>2</sub> laser and cold knife: A randomized clinical trial

Pía López-Jornet, Fabio Camacho-Alonso

Full Professor of Oral Medicine. University of Murcia (Spain)

Correspondence: Clínica Odontológica Universitaria Unidad Docente de Medicina Bucal Hospital Morales Meseguer, 2ª planta Avda. Marqués de los Vélez s/n C.P 30008 Murcia, Spain fcamacho@um.es

Received: 17/09/2011 Accepted: 22/03/2012 López-Jornet P, Camacho-Alonso F. Comparison of pain and swelling after removal of oral leukoplakia with CO<sub>2</sub> laser and cold knife: A randomized clinical trial. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2013 Jan 1;18 (1):e38-44.

http://www.medicinaoral.com/medoralfree01/v18i1/medoralv18i1p38.pdf



#### Abstract

Objective: The aim of this study was to compare conventional surgery with carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  laser in patients with oral leukoplakia, and to evaluate the postoperative pain and swelling.

Study design: A total of 48 patients (27 males and 21 females) with a mean age of  $53.7 \pm 11.7$  years and diagnosed with oral leukoplakia were randomly assigned to receive treatment either with conventional surgery using a cold knife or with a CO<sub>2</sub> laser technique. A visual analog scale (VAS) was used to score pain and swelling at different postoperative time points.

Results: Pain and swelling reported by the patients was greater with the conventional cold knife than with the  $CO_2$  laser, statistically significant differences for pain and swelling were observed between the two techniques during the first three days after surgery. Followed by a gradual decrease over one week. In neither group was granuloma formation observed, and none of the patients showed malignant transformation during the period of follow-up. Conclusions: The  $CO_2$  laser causes only minimal pain and swelling, thus suggesting that it may be an alternative method to conventional surgery in treating patients with oral leukoplakia.

Key words: Oral leukoplakia, treatment, laser surgery, cold knife, pain, swelling.

#### Introduction

Oral leukoplakia is a predominantly white lesion of the oral mucosa that cannot be characterized as any other definable lesion; some oral leukoplakias will transform into cancer (1-6). The estimated worldwide prevalence of oral leukoplakia is approximately 2% (3). There are some geographical differences with regard to the gender

distribution of the disease (2), and leukoplakia is moreover much more common among smokers than among non-smokers. Alcohol is thought to be an independent risk factor, but definitive data are still lacking. Actually, there are conflicting results of studies related to the possible role of human papillomavirus (HPV) infection (1,5). Histologically, leukoplakia is an intraepithelial lesion consisting of epithelial hyperplasia, with or without hyperkeratosis and minimal inflammation, and with or without varying degrees of dysplasia (2,6).

As regards the treatment of oral leukoplakia, intervention first should focus on the associated risk factors: with the suppression of smoking, alcohol abuse, and local irritating factors and overinfections. The second intervention, when the associated risk factors have been eliminated, it must be the oral biopsy. A number of treatment regimens have been proposed for oral leukoplakia without dysplasia, including surgical techniques such as scalpel excision, electrocoagulation, cryotherapy and laser CO<sub>2</sub> therapy and also medical treatments such as vitamin A and retinoids, antioxidants and topical bleomycin (1,7-17). Leukoplakia is considered to be the most common potentially malignant disorder of the oral mucosa, the reported malignant transformation rates vary from 1.2-9% and the recurrence rates for oral leukoplakia vary from 7.7-38.1% (18-25).

The CO<sub>2</sub> laser is currently accepted as the technique of choice in the management of oral leukoplakia and some malignant oral lesions (26), compared with the cold scalpel. In this context, the CO<sub>2</sub> laser affords a haemostatic effect (in coagulation or haemostatic mode) particularly useful in highly vascularized areas, this result in a clearer surgical field and therefore a reduction in operating time. This laser can also be used for the treatment of superficial oral mucosa lesions (in section or cut mode).

Some authors have examined postoperative pain in the surgical treatment of soft tissue lesions (11,20), though there are few comparative studies on the different surgical techniques in relation to postoperative pain and swelling in oral leukoplakia patients.

The aim of the present study was to compare conventional cold knife surgery with the  $CO_2$  laser in patients with oral leukoplakia, and to evaluate pain and swelling during the first postoperative week.

### **Material and Methods**

-Study subjects

A total of 48 patients with oral leukoplakia who went to the Department of Oral Medicine of the University Clinic of Dentistry of the University of Murcia (Spain) between January of 2005 and December of 2010 were enrolled into the study, and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Murcia. All patients had an incisional biopsy performed under local anesthesia prior to surgical treatment. The clinical diagnosis of the lesions was based on the criteria proposed by Axell et al. (5), adopted by the World Health Organization (WHO), and the histopathological diagnosis of epithelial dysplasia was established according to WHO definitions (3).

Informed consent was obtained from all patients before inclusion in the study. The patients received a complete physical head and neck examination. The possible etiological factors smoking and alcohol were identified, and advice on risk factor elimination was given before surgical treatment. The lesions were classified according to location and clinical appearance. All surgeries were performed by the same surgeon and carried out under local anesthesia with 4% articaine and 1:100,000 adrenalin (Inibsa; Lliça de Vall, Barcelona, Spain).

The patients were randomized (with an informatics system) by receiving stamped envelopes containing the numbers 1 or 2, indicating which group they would be placed in. Group 1 (17 males and 11 females) was treated by standard cold knife surgery. Group 2 (10 males and 10 females) was treated by CO2 laser (Lasersat 20W, Satelec®, Pierre Rolland, SATELEC<sup>®</sup>, S.A., Barcelona, Spain). Appropriate safety regulations for the use of lasers were followed by the operating personnel and patients (protective glasses and limited access to the surgical area).

In group 1, the lesions were excised with a cold knife including 3 mm of clinically normal mucosa at the periphery. In group 2, the lesions were excised with  $CO_2$  laser in continuous mode, defocalized, with 15 mm of distance focus-lesion and a power setting of 5-15 W (5-15 seconds) (including, 3 mm of clinically normal mucosa at the periphery) (Figs. 1,2).



**Fig. 1.** Oral leucoplakia of the gums (before the surgical treatment).



Fig. 2. Oral leukoplakia of the gums (immediately after the surgical treatment with CO, laser).

In group 1, the wounds were closed with 3/0 sterile suture (Laboratories Normon®, Madrid, Spain); but in group 2 the wounds regeneration were by second intention healing.

A VAS of 100 mm in length was used to evaluate the intensity of pain and swelling. This scale converted the visual analogical evaluation made by the patient into a numerical value: from 0 (corresponding to 0 mm on the VAS and showed no pain and no swelling) to 10 (100 mm on the VAS and showed unbearable pain and maximal swelling). Each patient was told how to use the VAS and was asked to mark the intensity of pain and swelling 12 and 24 hours after surgery and then on each day for the following 6 days. After the surgical intervention, 600 mg of Ibuprofen was prescribed every 8 hours for the first four postoperative days. Finally, the patients were revised at 7 days after treatment.

#### -Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using the SPSS version 12.0 statistical package (SPSS<sup>®</sup> Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A descriptive study was made of each variable. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test and Levene variance homogeneity test were applied, and the data showing a skewed distribution were analyzed using a nonparametric ranking test. The associations between the different qualitative variables were studied using Pearson's chi-squared test. We used the Kruskal-Wallis test (for more than two samples), the Mann-Whitney U-test (for two independent samples) and the Wilcoxon test (for two related samples), for quantitative variables. A probability p£0.05 was accepted as significant.

## Results

The mean sample age was  $53.7 \pm 11.7$  years (range 28-74). As regards the oral leukoplakia risk factors, 39 of the 48 patients included in the study (81.3%) were cigarette smokers while 9 (18.8%) were non-smokers. Alcohol consumption was generally mild: social alcohol consumption (1 or 2 units per day) was recorded for 17 patients (35.4%), while 31 reported no alcohol intake (64.6%).

With respect to the clinical presentation of the lesions, 46 were homogeneous leukoplakias and 2 were no-ho-mogeneous leukoplakias.

The lesions were distributed as follows: 10 in cheek mucosa (20.8%), 24 in gums (50%), 8 in palate (16.8%), 3 in tongue (6.2%) and 3 in floor of the mouth (6.2%).

The two study groups (cold knife and  $CO_2$  laser) were homogeneous in terms of patient age (p=0.592), gender (p=0.461), location (p=0.257), extent of the lesions (p=0.072) and the presence of dysplasia (p=0.471) (Table 1).

	CO <sub>2</sub> laser (n=20)	Cold scalpel (n=28)	p-value
Age: median (range)	54.0 (34-74)	57.0 (28-67)	0.592
Sex: n (%)			0.461
Male	10 (50.0)	17 (60.7)	
Female	10 (50.0)	11 (39.3)	
Location: n (%)			0.257
Cheek mucosa	5 (25.0)	5 (17.8)	
Gums	8 (40.0)	16 (57.1)	
Palate	3 (15.0)	5 (17.8)	
Tongue	1 (5.0)	2 (7.3)	
Floor of the mouth	3 (15.0)	0 (0)	
Size (cm): n (%)			0.072
<2	18 (90.0)	19 (67.8)	
2-4	2 (10.0)	9 (32.2)	
>4	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Dysplasia			0.471
No	15 (75.0)	23 (82.1)	
Little	4 (20.0)	5 (17.9)	
Moderate	1 (5.0)	0 (0)	
Severe	0 (0)	0 (0)	

**Table 1.** Homogeneity of the study groups in terms of the demographic characteristics, lesion location, size, and histological characteristics (Mann-Whitney U-test and Pearson  $\chi^2$  test).

The mean duration of follow-up was  $27.90 \pm 12.05$  months (range 1-40) (Fig. 3). In neither group was granuloma for-



Fig. 3. Box-plot with the time of follow-up of both study groups (group 1 = cold knife and group  $2 = \text{CO}_2$  laser).

mation observed, and none of the patients showed malignant transformation during the period of follow-up.

Pain and swelling reported by the patients was greater with the cold knife than with the  $CO_2$  laser, with statistically significant differences between the two techniques during the first three days after surgery (p-value for related samples at 12h, 1, 2 and 3 days  $\leq 0.05$ ). Followed by a gradual decrease over one week in both groups (Table 2).

In the  $CO_2$  laser group, on comparing the median (range) pain scores (recorded at peak pain intensity: between 12-24 hours after surgery) and swelling scores (recorded at peak swelling intensity: between 12-48 hours after surgery), statistically significant differences were observed for pain (p=0.021) and swelling (p=0.019) with respect to lesion size (Table 3).

In turn, in the cold knife group, on comparing the median pain scores (recorded at peak pain intensity: 12 hours after surgery) and swelling scores (recorded at peak swelling intensity: 24 hours after surgery) no statistically significant differences were observed for the variables studied (Table 4).

Seven days after surgery	Pain CO <sub>2</sub> laser (n=20) median (range)	Pain cold scalpel (n=28) median (range)	p-value
12 hours	2.5 (0-8)	20.0 (0-70)	0.001
1 day	2.5 (0-7)	15.0 (0-63)	0.001
2 days	1.5 (0-8)	12.5 (0-52)	0.003
3 days	0.5 (0-9)	9.5 (0-52)	0.029
4 days	0.0 (0-10)	3.5 (0-45)	0.116
5 days	0.0 (0-10)	0.0 (0-45)	0.284
6 days	0.0 (0-10)	0.0 (0-46)	0.159
7 days	0.0 (0-10)	0.0 (0-29)	0.796
Seven days after surgery	Swelling CO <sub>2</sub> laser (n=20) median (range)	Swelling cold scalpel (n=28) median (range)	p-value
12 hours	2.0 (0-9)	10.0 (0-75)	< 0.001
1 day	2.0 (0-8)	15.0 (0-73)	0.008
2 days	2.0 (0-7)	10.0 (0-65)	0.007
3 days	1.5 (0-6)	10.0 (0-43)	0.019
4 days	0.0 (0-4)	0.0 (0-40)	0.365
5 days	0.0 (0-3)	0.0 (0-40)	0.161
6 days	0.0 (0-3)	0.0 (0-30)	0.051
7 days	0.0 (0-2)	0.0 (0-10)	0.407

**Table 2.** Incidence and severity of pain and swelling during the 7 days after oral leukoplakia removal (Mann-Whitney U-test).

Table 3. Comparison of median (range) pain scores (recorded at peak pain intensity: between 12-24 hours after surgery) and swelling scores (recorded at peak swelling intensity: between 12-48 hours after surgery) in the CO2 laser group (Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U-test).

Characteristics	Pain score median (range)	p-value	Swelling score me- dian (range)	p-value
Age group		0.587		0.365
≤40	3.0 (0-5)		1.0 (0-3)	
41-60	3.0 (0-7)		3.0 (0-7)	
≥61	1.0 (0-7)		0.5 (0-8)	
Sex		0.817		0.224
Male	2.0 (0-7)		1.0 (0-8)	
Female	2.5 (0-7)		3.0 (0-7)	
Smoking habit		1.000		0.922
Yes	2.5 (0-7)		2.0 (0-8)	
No	2.0 (0-6)		1.5 (0-6)	
Location		0.085		0.380
Cheek mucosa	3.0 (1-7)		2.0 (0-8)	
Gums	0.0 (0-3)		2.5 (0-4)	
Palate	4.0 (1-5)		0.0 (0-2)	
Tongue	6.0 (6-6)		6.0 (6-6)	
Floor of the mouth	3.0 (0-5)		0.0 (0-4)	
Size (cm)		0.021		0.019
<2	1.5 (0-6)		1.5 (0-6)	
2-4	7.0 (7-7)		7.5 (7-8)	
>4				
Dysplasia		0.900		0.798
No	2.0 (0-7)		2.0 (0-8)	
Yes	2.5 (0-7)		1.0 (0-7)	

#### Discussion

The present study involves two groups of patients with oral leukoplakia: one subjected to conventional surgical treatment with a cold knife and the other to  $CO_2$  laser surgery. In both groups the postoperative pain and swelling were evaluated.

The CO<sub>2</sub> laser is currently accepted as the technique of choice in the management of many soft tissue lesions in the oral and maxillofacial regions. Compared to the scalpel, the CO<sub>2</sub> laser affords a hemostatic effect particularly in highly vascularized areas. This in turn results in a clearer surgical field and therefore a reduction in operating time. Treatment with the laser has certain advantages, such as the selective removal of affected epithelium and minimal damage to surrounding healthy tissue, resulting in excellent wound healing with minimal or no scar tissue, and a good functional outcome (15-19). Other favorable features of CO<sub>2</sub> laser therapy

may include reduced postoperative pain and swelling. In our study, the patients with oral leukoplakias treated with  $CO_2$  laser showed a lower postoperative pain and swelling than the patients treated with a cold knife, with statistically significant differences during the first three days after surgery. None of the patients showed malignant transformation during the period of follow-up (27.90 ± 12.05). Nevertheless, Schoelch et al. (20), after surgery treatment of 70 oral leukoplakias (48 homogeneous leukoplakia, 8 erythroleukoplakia and 14 verrucous leukoplakia) by mean  $CO_2$  laser, with a mean of period of follow-up of 32 months (range 6-178 months) saw that 5 patients (7.14%) developed a squamous cell carcinoma at the lesion site.

The most important disadvantage of  $CO_2$  laser evaporation of oral leukoplakia is the fact that the lesion is not available for histological study, in this sense an incisional presurgical biopsy must be obtained in all cases.

Characteristics	Pain score median (range)	p-value	Swelling score me- dian (range)	p-value
Age group		0.240		0.145
≤40	20.0 (20-63)		20.0 (20-60)	
41-60	10.0 (0-70)		6.0 (0-73)	
≥61	38.0 (0-40)		33.0 (0-35)	
Sex		0.433		0.738
Male	7.0 (0-70)		20.0 (0-73)	
Female	20.0 (10-30)		10.0 (0-50)	
Smoking habit		0.606		0.483
Yes	20.0 (0-70)		20.0 (0-73)	
No	10.0 (10-38)		10.0 (10-35)	
Location		0.694		0.095
Cheek mucosa	10.0 (0-27)		2.0 (0-20)	
Gums	23.5 (0-70)		20.0 (0-73)	
Palate	20.0 (7-63)		20.0 (2-60)	
Tongue	23.5 (0-27)		0.0 (0-0)	
Floor of the mouth				
Size (cm)		0.842		0.708
<2	10.0 (0-70)		10.0 (0-73)	
2-4	20.0 (0-63)		20.0 (0-60)	
>4				
Dysplasia		0.904		0.692
No	20.0 (0-63)		20.0 (0-60)	
Yes	27.0 (0-70)		10.0 (0-73)	

**Table 4.** Comparison of median (range) pain scores (recorded at peak pain intensity 12 hours after surgery) and swelling scores (recorded at peak swelling intensity 24 hours after surgery) in the cold scalpel group (Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U-test).

The location of the lesion therefore must be carefully chosen. If the lesion is large or shows different clinical aspects, more than one biopsy is recommended (17-20). One characteristic difference between the  $CO_2$  laser and cold knife is the generation of a coagulated tissue layer along the walls of the laser incision. This zone of thermal damage may impede the graft consolidation and reduce tensile strength during the period of wound healing, especially in extensive zones (17).

Measurement of postoperative pain is inherently difficult, as it comprises both physical and psychological aspects. Subjectively, the true character of pain experience is not directly accessible to the examiner. Therefore, the examiner must rely on the patient's ability to communicate his or her perception and interpretation of the pain. In the laser group, on comparing the pain scores (recorded at peak pain intensity: between 12-24 hours after surgery) and swelling scores (recorded at peak swelling intensity: between 12-48 hours after surgery), no statistically significant differences were observed in relation to age, gender, smoking habit, location of lesion or dysplasia presence. Nevertheless, statistically significant differences were observed with respect to lesion size, with more pain and swelling in the most extensive lesions. In this sense, Van de Hem et al. (22), after surgical treatment of 282 oral leukoplakias (in 200 patients) with CO<sub>2</sub> laser saw that the patients with most extensive lesions ( $\geq$ 2 cm) showed higher postoperative pain, and they recommended the vaporization in several sessions in patients with extensive lesions.

The main problem of oral leukoplakia is its possible malignant transformation, reported to occur in 1.2-9% of cases (6,19, 24). Holmstrup et al. (18) found no evidence that surgical treatment is protective against cancer development and reported that surgical intervention does not appear to prevent oral premalignant lesions from developing into malignancy.

In an observational retrospective study published by Schepman et al. (23) compared the incidence of squamous cell carcinomas in two groups of subjects with oral leukoplakia: one comprising patients who received some type of active treatment (medical and/or surgical) and the other involving patients kept under regular clinical follow-up. No significant difference was observed in the risk of malignant transformation between the two groups. These results, perhaps suggesting that the natural history of oral leukoplakias might be independent of the treatment received and that there is a subgroup of lesions destined to undergo malignant transformation regardless of the therapeutic strategy adopted. Nevertheless, we always must realise a detailed study of the lesions and the higher risk lesions must be actively treated.

To date, our experience with the surgical removal of oral leukoplakia has been satisfactory with both of the described techniques in this study. Nevertheless, the studied sample is small and the follow-up period brief. These limitations must be taken into account and studies involving larger patient series and regular follow-up over longer periods of time are needed in order to derive more reliable information on the role of invasive surgical resection in the prevention of oral cancer in patients with oral leukoplakia.

In the present study, we found the  $CO_2$  laser to cause only minimal pain and swelling, thus suggesting that it may be an alternative method to conventional surgery in treating patients with oral leukoplakia.

#### References

1. Van der Waal I, Axell T. Oral leukoplakia: a proposal for uniform reporting. Oral Oncol. 2002;38:521-26.

2. Van der Waal I. Potentially malignant disorders of the oral and oropharyngeal mucosa; present concepts of management. Oral Oncol. 2010;46:423-5.

3. Kramer IRH, Lucas RB, Pindborg JJ, Sobin LH. WHO Collaborating Centre for Oral Precancerous Lesions. Definition of leukoplakia and related lesions: an aid to studies on oral precancer. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1978;46:518-39.

4. Proia NK, Paszkiewicz GM, Nasca MA, Franke GE, Pauly JL. Smoking and smokeless tobacco-associated human buccal cell mutations and their association with oral cancer—a review. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2006;15:1061-77.

5. Axell T, Pindborg JJ, Smith CJ, Van der Waal I. Oral white lesions with special reference to precancerous and tobacco related lesions: conclusions of an international symposium held in Uppsala, Sweden, May 18-21 1994. International Collaborative Group on Oral White Lesions. J Oral Pathol Med. 1996;25:49-54.

6. Lodi G, Porter S. Management of potentially malignant disorders: evidence and critique. J Oral Pathol Med. 2008;37:63-9.

7. Poveda-Roda R, Bagan JV, Jiménez-Soriano Y, Díaz-Fernández JM, Gavaldá-Esteve C. Retinoids and proliferative verrucous leukoplakia (PVL). A preliminary study. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2010;15:e3-9.

8. Ishii J, Fujita K, Komori T. Laser surgery as a treatment for oral leukoplakia. Oral Oncol. 2003;39:759-69.

9. Vedtofte P, Holmstrup P, Hjorting-Hansen E, Pindborg JJ. Surgical treatment of premalignant lesions of the oral mucosa. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1987;16:656-64.

10. Chandu A, Smith AC. The use of CO2 laser in the treatment of oral white patches: outcomes and factors affecting recurrence. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2005;34:396-400.

11. Chiesa F, Sala L, Costa L, Moglia D, Mauri M, Podrecca S, et al. Excision of oral leukoplakias by CO2 laser on an out-patient basis: a

useful procedure for prevention and early detection of oral carcinomas. Tumori. 1986;72:307-12.

12. Chu FW, Silverman S Jr, Dedo HH. CO2 laser treatment of oral leukoplakia. Laryngoscope. 1988;98:125-30.

13. Frame JW, Das Gupta AR, Dalton GA, Rhys Evans PH. Use of the carbon dioxide laser in the management of premalignant lesions of the oral mucosa. J Laryngol Otol. 1984;98:1251-60.

14. Flynn MB, White M, Tabah RJ. Use of carbon dioxide laser for the treatment of premalignant lesions of the oral mucosa. J Surg Oncol. 1988;37:232-4.

15. Roodenburg JL, Panders AK, Vermey A. Carbon dioxide laser surgery of oral leukoplakia. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1991;71:670-4.

16. Ishii J, Fujita K, Munemoto S, Komori T. Management of oral leukoplakia by laser surgery: relation between recurrence and malignant transformation and clinicopathological features. J Clin Laser Med Surg. 2004;22:27-33.

17. Pandey M, Thomas G, Somanathan T, Sankaranarayanan R, Abraham Ek, Jacob BJ, et al. Evaluation of surgical excision of non-homogeneous oral leukoplakia in a screening intervention trial, Kerala, India. Oral Oncol. 2001;37:103-9.

18. Holmstrup P, Vedtofte P, Reibel J, Stoltze K. Long-term treatment outcome of oral premalignant lesions. Oral Oncol. 2006;42:461-74.

19. Saito T, Sugiura C, Hirai A, Notani K, Totsuka Y, Shindoh M, et al. Development of squamous cell carcinoma from pre-existent oral leukoplakia: with respect to treatment modality. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2001;30:49-53.

20. Schoelch ML, Sekandari N, Regezi JA, Silverman S Jr. Laser management of oral leukoplakias: a follow-up study of 70 patients. Larvngoscope. 1999;109:949-53.

21. Thomson PJ, Wylie J. Interventional laser surgery: an effective surgical and diagnostic tool in oral precancer management. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2002;31:145-53.

22. Van der Hem PS, Nauta JM Van der Wal JE, Roodenburg JL. The results of CO2 laser surgery in patients with oral leukoplakia: a 25 year follow up. Oral Oncol. 2005;41:31-7.

23. Schepman KP, Van der Meij EH, Smeele LE, Van der Waal, I. Malignant transformation of oral leukoplakia: a follow up study of a hospital-based population of 166 patients with oral leukoplakia from The Netherlands. Oral Oncol. 1998;34:270-5.

24. Einhorn J, Wersall J. Incidence of oral carcinoma in patients with leukoplakia of the oral mucosa. Cancer. 1967;20:2189-93.

25. Chiesa F, Tradati N, Sala, L, Costa L, Podrecca S, Boracchi P, et al. Follow-up of oral leukoplakia after carbon dioxide laser surgery. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1990;16:177-80.

26. Villarreal-Renedo PM, Monje-Gil F, Junquera-Gutiérrez LM, De Vicente-Rodríguez JC, Morillo-Sánchez AJ. Treatment of oral and oropharyngeal epidermoid carcinomas by means of CO2 laser. Med Oral. 2004;9:168-75.