# **Planck-scale effects on WIMP dark matter**

**S. M. Boucenna**  $^1$ **, R. A. Lineros**  $^{1,*}$  **and J. W. F. Valle**  $^1$ 

<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Física Corpuscular – C.S.I.C./Universitat de València. València, Spain

Correspondence\*: S. M. Boucenna Instituto de Física Corpuscular – C.S.I.C./Universitat de València. Edificio de Institutos de Paterna, Apartado 22085, E-46071 València, Spain, boucenna@ific.uv.es

**Research Topic**

# **ABSTRACT**

There exists a widely known conjecture that gravitational effects violate global symmetries. We study the effect of global-symmetry violating higher-dimension operators induced by Planckscale physics on the properties of WIMP dark matter. Using an effective description, we show that the lifetime of the WIMP dark matter candidate can satisfy cosmological bounds under reasonable assumptions regarding the strength of the dimension-five operators. On the other hand, the indirect WIMP dark matter detection signal is significantly enhanced due to new decay channels.

**Keywords: WIMP dark matter, decaying dark matter, Planck scale effects, indirect detection, particle physics**

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

The historic discovery of neutrino oscillations and their profound implications for neutrino properties ([Maltoni et al.](#page-10-0), [2004\)](#page-10-0) as well as the growing evidence for the existence of some sort of non-baryonic dark matter ([Bertone et al.](#page-9-0), [2005\)](#page-9-0) indicate the need to ammend the Standard Model picture of matter. Here we focus on the issue of dark matter and its stability, which is usually assumed to result from the implementation of some *ad-hoc* global discrete symmetry, like R-parity in supersymmetric models.

It has been argued that non-perturbative gravitational effects break all global (continuous and discrete alike) symmetries ([Giddings and Strominger](#page-10-1), [1988\)](#page-10-1). The original motivation was based on black hole physics arguments but since then perturbative string theory has confirmed this conjecture in various cases ([Banks and Seiberg](#page-9-1), [2011\)](#page-9-1). Such gravitational effects have already been considered in a number of scenarios and models invoked to solve a number of theoretical problems ([Kamionkowski and March-](#page-10-2)[Russell](#page-10-2), [1992;](#page-10-2) [Holman et al.](#page-10-3), [1992;](#page-10-3) [Akhmedov et al.](#page-8-0), [1993;](#page-8-0) [Berezinsky and Valle](#page-9-2), [1993;](#page-9-2) [Rothstein](#page-11-0) [et al.](#page-11-0), [1993;](#page-11-0) [Berezinsky et al.](#page-9-3), [1998;](#page-9-3) de Gouvêa and Valle, [2001;](#page-9-4) [Masso et al.](#page-10-4), [2004;](#page-10-4) [Frigerio et al.](#page-10-5), [2011\)](#page-10-5). Here, we turn our attention to the implications of such a claim on dark matter phenomenology, in the particular the case of weakly interacting dark matter candidates.

Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs) present in various extensions of the Standard Model (SM) are among the best studied candidates for cold DM, as they quite naturally account for the observed relic abundance. Indeed, their abundance at the freeze–out epoch scales as:

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\Omega_{\rm CDM} h^2 \simeq 0.1 \frac{3 \times 10^{-26} \,\text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}}{\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{f.o.}}},\tag{1}
$$

where  $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{f.o.}}$  is the thermal average of the annihilation cross–section of DM times the relative velocity at freeze–out. For weak strength interacions and DM masses in the range from GeV to few TeV, the observed abundance is naturally obtained and the DM candidate passes all constraints arising from Big– Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN), Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) and structure formation. This non– trivial interplay between the weak–scale physics and the cosmological dark matter has been dubbed as the "WIMP miracle".

Besides theoretical motivation and consistency, the WIMP paradigm provides interesting direct and indirect detection prospects, through recoil off–nuclei and annihilation to photons, neutrinos and charged particles. As the level of sensitivity reached by direct and indirect detection experiments ([Bernabei et al.](#page-9-5), [2008;](#page-9-5) [Aalseth et al.](#page-8-1), [2011;](#page-8-1) [Angloher et al.](#page-9-6), [2012;](#page-9-6) [Agnese et al.](#page-8-2), [2013;](#page-8-2) [Aprile et al.](#page-9-7), [2012;](#page-9-7) [Akerib et al.](#page-8-3), [2013\)](#page-8-3) improves, there is growing hope that WIMP DM may be discovered soon. Complementary searches at the LHC ([Bertone et al.](#page-9-8), [2012\)](#page-9-8) and specific indirect searches (for example: [Hooper and Goodenough](#page-10-6), [2011;](#page-10-6) [Weniger](#page-11-1), [2012;](#page-11-1) [Fornengo et al.](#page-10-7), [2011\)](#page-10-7) may help unravel the mystery.

In the absence of a protecting symmetry ensuring their stability WIMPs are generally expected to decay. The latter is avoided in most models by imposing in an  $ad-hoc$  way a global symmetry (usually a  $Z_2$ ) that forbids the decay of the DM candidate. In this case unless the symmetry responsible for the DM stability is local, it is expected to be broken at short distances by gravitational effects leading to a decaying WIMP DM. Dark matter will then be stable at the level of renormalizable operators, with the Planck-mass suppressed dimension five and higher operators responsible for its late decay. From the phenomenological point of view, absolute stability is not a necessary condition for being a viable DM candidate. Instead, what is required is a lifetime larger than the current age of the Universe  $H^{-1} \approx 10^{17}$ s, where H is the Hubble constant. Cosmic and gamma rays analysis constrain the lifetime of a WIMP DM candidate even further to be  $\tau_{\rm DM} \gtrsim 10^{26}$ s ([Ibarra and Tran](#page-10-8), [2009;](#page-10-8) [Ibarra et al.](#page-10-9), [2010;](#page-10-9) [Huang et al.](#page-10-10), [2012;](#page-10-10) [Esmaili et al.](#page-10-11), [2012\)](#page-10-11). An important question to be addressed then is *what are the requirements on the Planck physics induced operators in order to preserve the validity of a WIMP DM candidate?*

In this article we will show that a sufficient requirement to ensure an adequately long WIMP lifetime is to suppress the dimension-five operators. These suppressed operators will at the same time provide us with a new source of indirect detection signals which arise from the dark matter decay, particularly interesting in regions where annihilation alone delivers a very faint signal. Finally, we will see that a number of phenomenologically interesting decaying dark matter candidates with electroweak scale masses and weak–strength couplings fit within a WIMP paradigm, characterized by a well–controlled cosmological history (thermal production) and viable direct detection prospects.

We note that similar decay effects from higher scales have been analyzed before in the context of supersymmetric unified theories (**[Arvanitaki et al.](#page-9-9)**, [2009\)](#page-10-12) or technicolor theories (**[Nardi et al.](#page-10-12)**, 2009), where the dimension-6 GUT-suppressed operators lead to astrophysical signals that are similar to ours. However the dimension-5 operators in that case lead to too short a lifetime for DM. In contrast, the Planck scale provides a stronger suppression allowing for signals emerging from the first non-renormalizable operators.

## **2 PROTOTYPE SCENARIO**

In order to illustrate the discussion, let us consider a very simple prototype scheme, exhibiting generic WIMP dark matter features over a broad phenomenological parameter range. For definiteness we assume the Standard Model (SM) to be extended by  $S_i$  ( $i = 1 \dots N$ ) real scalar gauge-singlets **[McDonald](#page-10-13)** [\(1994\)](#page-10-13);



<span id="page-2-2"></span>Figure 1. Model's Feynman diagrams present in the calculation of the relic abundance. This model provides the relic abundance, annihiliation and direct detection cross sections via the Higgs portal.

[Burgess et al.](#page-9-10) [\(2001\)](#page-9-10). In addition, we impose a parity symmetry to which the SM particles are blind. Thus, the  $S_i$  sector can only interact with the SM via scalar states present in the scalar potential. The choice of the  $Z_2$  symmetry is motivated by simplicity alone, in principle any global (discrete or continuous) symmetry that forbids the decay of the lightest  $S_i$  is a possible valid choice.

This scenario provides well known production and thermalization mechanism via Higgs boson exchange, the so-called Higgs portal ([Davoudiasl et al.](#page-9-11), [2005;](#page-9-11) [Goudelis et al.](#page-10-14), [2009\)](#page-10-14). The simplest model, i.e.  $\overline{N} = 1$ , is highly constrained by current direct detection experiments for DM mass lower than [1](#page-2-0)30  $GeV<sup>1</sup>$ . The presence of extra gauge singlets helps overcoming these constraints thanks to coannilihations between the LSP (lightest singlet particle)  $S_1$  and the remaining  $S_{i>1}$ . In this case one can reduce the value of the cross sections involved in indirect and direct searches to an adequate level. In order to be efficient this process requires small enough mass splittings ([Griest and Seckel](#page-10-15), [1991\)](#page-10-15).

In a conventional WIMP dark matter scenario our LSP would be stable and the extra terms in the scalar potential would read as (summation over indexes is understood):

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{V}_{\text{sym}} \quad \supset \quad \mu_{ij}^2 S_i S_j + \lambda_{ij} S_i S_j (H^{\dagger} H - \frac{v^2}{2}) + \lambda'_{ijkl} S_i S_j S_k S_l \,, \tag{2}
$$

where  $\mu_{ij}^2$ ,  $\lambda_{ij}$  and  $\lambda'_{ijkl}$  are the parameters of the potential. Here H is the SM Higgs doublet.

For the sake of simplicity we consider the case  $N = 2$  and suppose  $\mu_{ij}^2$  to be diagonal with positive entries. Equation [2](#page-2-1) contains the relevant interaction terms. The annihilation processes involved in the determination of the relic abundance calculation are presented in Fig. [1.](#page-2-2)

<span id="page-2-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There are also collider constraints for low masses (particularly from the invisible decay of the Higgs at LHC) however we do not include them here as our goal is illustrative.

#### **3 PARAMETRIZING THE PLANCK-INDUCED EFFECTS**

As mentioned above, the global symmetry stabilizing dark matter is likely to be broken due to the presence of gravitational effects. In order to implement the violation of the global symmetry responsible for the stability of dark matter we add to  $V_{sym}$  (Eq. [2\)](#page-2-1) an effective potential

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\text{non-sym}} = \sum_{n>4,i} \frac{\kappa_n}{M_{pl}^{n-4}} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{n,i},\tag{3}
$$

that breaks explicitly the stabilizing symmetry through non–renormalizable terms suppressed by powers of the Planck mass  $M_{pl} \approx 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$ . Here  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{n,i}$  are operators of dimension  $n > 4$  and  $\kappa_n$  are free parameters. In the presence of these operators induced by Planck scale effects one has that the symmetry stabilizing DM is explicitly broken, but none of the Standard Model symmetries. This leads to the decay of  $S_i$ , the most important of which will be the decay of the LSP.

In order to illustrate the interplay between decay and annihilation we consider the following dimension five operators:

$$
\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{5,i}^{ffh} = Y_f \bar{F}_L H f_R S_i \,, \tag{4}
$$

where  $F_L$  is an doublet SM fermion,  $f_R$  the corresponding right-handed SU(2) singlet partner and  $Y_f$  its Yukawa coupling. This type of operators can be generated via the spontaneous breaking of the stabilizing symmetry. Notice that we model the gravitational effects by parameterizing them as a scaling factor  $\kappa_5$ times the corresponding Yukawa coupling. These operators lead to a decay lifetime  $\tau_{DM} = \hbar/\Gamma^{ff}$  where

$$
\Gamma^{ff}(M_{\rm DM}) = \sum_{f} \frac{N_c}{8\pi^2} \left(\frac{\kappa_5 Y_f v}{M_{pl}}\right)^2 M_{\rm DM} \left(1 - \frac{4m_f^2}{M_{\rm DM}^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}},\tag{5}
$$

is the dark matter decay width to fermions of mass  $m<sub>f</sub>$  and  $N<sub>c</sub>$  is the color number (3 for quarks and 1 for leptons). Under these assumptions, one has that in our prototype scenario the dark matter phenomenology is essentially determined by 6 parameters:

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
M_{S_1}, M_{S_2}, \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{12}, \lambda_{22} \text{ and } \kappa_5. \tag{6}
$$

#### **4 WIMP DARK MATTER ANNIHILATION AND DECAY**

We will now show how the mere fact of considering the global symmetry leading to dark matter stability as an approximate one leads to extra observational signals, in addition to the standard DM annihilation signals. This is particularly interesting in regions of parameter space where the latter is very faint.

The first five parameters in Eq. [\(6\)](#page-3-0) come from the scalar potential (Eq. [2\)](#page-2-1). Among these, the coupling with the Higgs field  $\lambda_{11}$  is mainly responsible for DM abundance and direct detection signal. On the other hand  $\kappa_5$  contributes to the indirect detection signal. The mass splitting  $\Delta M = M_{S_2} - M_{S_1}$  characterizes the strength of coannihilations and their relevance for the relic abundance calculation. When it is small enough, co-annihilations are active and the parameter  $\lambda_{12}$  controls their strength. The coupling  $\lambda_{22}$  is the coupling of  $S_2$  (the next-to-LSP) to the Higgs scalar. It has direct impact on the dark matter relic abundance in regions of the parameter space where both annihilations and co-annihilations are inefficient to reproduce a good relic abundance. In this case the latter could arise partly from the early decays of  $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ .

We present in Fig. [2](#page-4-0) the attainable values of the thermal average of the annihilation cross–section times velocity at present time,  $\langle \sigma_A v \rangle$ , compatible with DM relic abundance (Eq. [1\)](#page-1-0). The couplings are varied



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Figure 2.** Annihilation cross section  $\langle \sigma_A v \rangle$  compatible with DM relic abundance versus DM mass. The line shown in black corresponds to the simplest case of unsuppressed annihilation. The case of where annihilations at freeze–out are inhibited (see text) above 90 and 99 % is illustrated by the green and red shaded regions, respectively. The thermal cross section  $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{f.o.} = 3 \times 10^{-26} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}$  and FERMI's constraints for annihilation into  $b\bar{b}$  are also shown.

randomly within the limits of perturbativity, and the Higgs mass is fixed to 126 GeV ([CMS Collabora](#page-9-12)[tion](#page-9-12), [2012;](#page-9-12) [ATLAS Collaboration](#page-9-13), [2012\)](#page-9-13). The results were obtained using the Micromegas code ([Be](#page-9-14)**[langer et al.](#page-9-14)**, [2007,](#page-9-14) [2009\)](#page-9-15). In the presence of pure annihilations,  $\langle \sigma_A v \rangle$  reproduces the expected thermal value  $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{f.o.}} \simeq 3 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}.$ 

Deviations from the thermal value exist in parameter regions where the cross-section is velocity-dependent, as in the case of the Breit–Wigner enhancement at the threshold of the Higgs pole ([Ibe et al.](#page-10-16), [2009\)](#page-10-16).

In regions where  $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{f.o.}$  is dominated by co-annihilation  $(S_1S_2 \rightarrow SM + SM$  and/or  $S_2S_2 \rightarrow$  $SM + \tilde{S}M$ ) processes, the annihilation cross–section is suppressed well below the expected thermal value. Such "inhibited annihilation" regions can arise in various ways. Co-annihilation is one possible mechanism, common to many dark matter models such as the minimal supersymmetric standard model two-Higgs-doublet dark matter models ([Lopez Honorez et al.](#page-10-17), [2007\)](#page-10-17)), whose generic features are mimicked by our illustrative prototype scheme. Inhibition mechanisms have been invoked in order to obtain (or to extend) an allowed region in the parameters space (**[Cohen et al.](#page-9-16), [2012;](#page-9-16) [Edsjo and Gondolo](#page-10-18)**, [1997\)](#page-10-18). However, the general drawback is that the stable WIMP's indirect detection signal becomes much fainter.

Now we turn to the effects of dark matter Planck–induced decays. In Fig. [3,](#page-6-0) we display the expected gamma–ray fluxes arising from dark matter annihilation and decay, assuming that the signal comes only

$M_{\rm DM}$ [GeV]	κ5	most relevant dim-5 operator
		$\eta\tau\tau n$
	$\begin{array}{l} \lesssim 3.5 \times 10^{-7} \\ \lesssim 1.9 \times 10^{-7} \end{array}$	$\hat{\gamma}$ bbh
	$\lesssim 2.5 \times 10^{-8}$	$\hat{\bm{\gamma}}$ bbh
100	$\lesssim 1.8 \times 10^{-8}$	$\hat{\gamma}$ bbh
500	$\lesssim 3.9 \times 10^{-10}$	$\mathbf{t}$

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 1.** DM mass and upper bound of  $\kappa_5$  for DM lifetime larger than  $10^{27}$  sec. In addition, we present the dimension five operator which mainly contributes to the gamma–ray flux.

from the production of  $b\bar{b}$  through the operator  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_5^{bbh}$ . For illustrative purpose, we compare with the constraints for  $\langle \sigma_A v \rangle$  from FERMI on annihilation into  $b\bar{b}$  from dwarf satellite galaxies (**[Ackermann et al.](#page-8-4)**, [2011\)](#page-8-4). In order to compare the decay and annihilation signals we define the  $\eta$ -flux:

$$
\eta(M_{\rm DM}) = \begin{cases}\n\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\langle \sigma_A v \rangle J_{\Delta\Omega}^{\rm ann}}{2M_{\rm DM}^2} & \text{for annihilations} \\
\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{J_{\Delta\Omega}^{\rm dec}}{2\tau_{\rm DM} M_{\rm DM}} & \text{for decays}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(7)

where  $J_{\Delta\Omega}$  is the angular averaged line of sight integral of the DM density (squared) for decaying (annihilating) WIMP dark matter. In order to estimate the  $\eta$ –fluxes we use the  $J_{\Delta\Omega}$  for galaxy clusters reported in [Huang et al.](#page-10-10) [\(2012\)](#page-10-10). The latter provide weaker constraints than the analysis based on dwarf galaxies. The observable gamma–rays flux is directly related to the  $\eta$ –flux as:

$$
\Phi_{\gamma}(E, M_{\rm DM}) = \eta(M_{\rm DM}) \times \frac{dn_{\gamma}}{dE}(E), \qquad (8)
$$

where  $dn<sub>\gamma</sub>/dE$  is the photon spectrum per single annihilation (or decay) event. This quantity allows us to compare on the same footing both annihilation and decay signals with FERMI constraints for an equivalent photon spectrum. In our particular case, we compare the signal associated to the production of  $b\bar{b}$  pairs.

We notice that  $\eta$ –fluxes coming only from decays quickly rise with the DM mass. For instance, for a 50 GeV DM mass, we would require  $\kappa_5$  to be smaller than  $\sim 10^{-7}$  in order to fulfill the observational con-straints. In table [1,](#page-5-0) we present upper bounds on  $\kappa_5$  for different DM mass values that produce DM lifetime larger than  $10^{27}$  sec, which is the current lower limit for the DM lifetime. In contrast, inhibited annihilations (same regions as in Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0) lead to signals that are faint and well below observational sensitivities of indirect dark matter searches.

Before turning to the direct detection signal, a comment on non–thermal dark matter is in order here.

Decaying DM candidates are commonly assumed to have a non–thermal origin, hence not to be WIMPs. This is indeed the case of particles with masses below or much above the weak scale. Dark matter candidates in this class include keV–majorons ([Berezinsky and Valle](#page-9-2), [1993;](#page-9-2) [Lattanzi and Valle](#page-10-19), [2007;](#page-10-19) [Bazzocchi et al.](#page-9-17), [2008;](#page-9-17) [Esteves et al.](#page-10-20), [2010;](#page-10-20) [Lattanzi et al.](#page-10-21), [2013\)](#page-10-21), sterile neutrinos ([Dodelson and](#page-9-18) [Widrow](#page-9-18), [1994\)](#page-9-18), super-heavy dark matter ([Chung et al.](#page-9-19), [1998\)](#page-9-19) and dark matter particles with extremely weak couplings with Standard Model particles, such as decaying gravitinos ([Takayama and Yamaguchi](#page-11-2), [2000;](#page-11-2) [Restrepo et al.](#page-11-3), [2012\)](#page-11-3).

However, we see that a WIMP can be seen as purely decaying dark matter in some regions. This implies that a number of phenomenologically interesting decaying dark matter candidates with electroweak scale



<span id="page-6-0"></span>Figure 3. The  $\eta$ –flux associated with dark matter decay versus DM mass (see text for details). We give estimates for the annihilation flux for the cases of 90%, 99%, and no suppression (solid green, red, and black lines respectively). Purple lines correspond to decay signal induced by the operator  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_5^{bbh}$  for fixed  $\kappa_5$  values. A combined signal annihilation and decay is in red doted–dashed line assuming  $\kappa_5 = 3 \times 10^{-8}$  and 99% inhibited annihilation. The bound from FERMI on annihilation into  $b\bar{b}$  (**[Ackermann et al.](#page-8-4)**, [2011\)](#page-8-4) is also shown.

masses and weak–strength couplings fit within a WIMP paradigm, characterized by a well–controlled cosmological history (thermal production) and viable direct detection prospects.

In order to ascertain the feasibility of indirect dark matter detection one must also take into account the restrictions arising from searches in nuclear recoil experiments.

## **5 DIRECT DETECTION OF WIMPS**

We now turn to the direct dark matter detection signal. This can be calculated in terms of the DM mass and parametrized by  $\sigma_{SI}$ , as shown in Fig. [4.](#page-7-0) Note that regions with inhibited annihilations are associated with reduced values of  $\sigma_{SI}$ . This model–dependent feature is common to many constructions where  $M_{DM}$  <  $M_W$  and annihilations are dominated by quark final states (See for a particular case: **[Boucenna and](#page-9-20) [Profumo](#page-9-20)**, [2011\)](#page-9-20). The correlation between  $\sigma_{SI}$  and  $\langle \sigma_A v \rangle$  is strong and the suppression of annihilations is translated as a weakening or absence of direct detection signal.

This correlation is certainly important to enlarge the space of parameters allowed within the limits established by XENON100 ([Aprile et al.](#page-9-21), [2011;](#page-9-21) [XENON100 Collaboration](#page-11-4), [2012\)](#page-11-4), CDMS ([CDMS](#page-9-22) [II Collaboration](#page-9-22), [2010;](#page-9-22) [Ahmed et al.](#page-8-5), [2011\)](#page-8-5), EDELWEISS ([EDELWEISS Collaboration](#page-10-22), [2011\)](#page-10-22) and



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Figure 4. Spin–independent cross section versus dark matter mass. Exclusions from XENON100 ([Aprile et al.](#page-9-21), [2011;](#page-9-21) [XENON100 Collaboration](#page-11-4), [2012\)](#page-11-4), CDMS ([CDMS II Collaboration](#page-9-22), [2010;](#page-9-22) [Ahmed et al.](#page-8-5), [2011\)](#page-8-5), EDELWEISS ([EDELWEISS Collaboration](#page-10-22), [2011\)](#page-10-22) and LUX ([Akerib et al.](#page-8-3), [2013\)](#page-8-3) are shown. As in Fig. [2,](#page-4-0) we present cases where inhibited annihilations produce compatible SI cross section. WIMPs with masses larger than 8 GeV would produce extremely faint gamma–ray fluxes in order to fulfill direct detection constraints.

LUX ([Akerib et al.](#page-8-3), [2013\)](#page-8-3) experiments and opens an interesting interplay between phenomenologically favored light WIMP DM annihilation, decay and direct detection.

Apart from the narrow Higgs pole region, dark matter in the mass range from 8 to 100 GeV, requires substantial suppression of the annihilation cross section, as seen in Fig. [4.](#page-7-0) From Fig. [3](#page-6-0) this would preclude the indirect detection through the conventional annihilation signal. However, after including the signal originating from the decay modes, for "reasonable" choices of the  $\kappa_n$  parameters characterizing the strength of the non-renormalizable Planck–scale operators, one can obtain a sizeable signal over all the mass range. For the case of heavy dark matter candidates ( $> O(100)$ GeV), FERMI is not sensitive enough to probe the thermal annihilation cross–section and decay modes naturally dominate the signal. Higher order operators are highly suppressed by the Planck scale and one would require unreasonably large couplings ( $\sim 10^{10}$ ) in order to be able to see a signal from the decay. As a result, the only operators leading to a sizable decay signals are of dimension five. In other words, the stable WIMP hypothesis requires a mechanism of protection from Planck–effects that forbids only the dimension five operators. This is in contrast to the dramatic impact upon the axion solution by such operators (**[Kamionkowski and](#page-10-2)** [March-Russell](#page-10-2), [1992;](#page-10-2) [Holman et al.](#page-10-3), [1992\)](#page-10-3). Alternative mechanisms to destabilize the DM in the context of Grand Unified Theories ([Arvanitaki et al.](#page-9-23), [2009\)](#page-9-23), horizontal symmetries ([Sierra et al.](#page-11-5), [2009\)](#page-11-5), instan-ton mediation ([Carone et al.](#page-9-24), [2010\)](#page-9-24) and kinetic mixing with hidden abelian gauge groups ([Ibarra et al.](#page-10-23), [2009\)](#page-10-23) also lead to similar conclusions, namely, long-lived WIMPs require a high level of suppression of the DM decay rate.

In summary, WIMP dark matter schemes with inhibited annihilation cross section have a very faint indirect detection signal. However, taking into account Planck-induced decay channels may re-open the indirect detection potential while still compatible with direct detection constraints.

### **6 CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, we have considered the effect of explicit gravitational breaking of the global symmetry responsible for WIMP dark matter stability. We find that Planck-suppressed operators of dimension six and higher do not lead to sizable decays and can be safely neglected, while, under reasonable assumptions, dark matter decay induced by dimension five operators yields can be under control.

Assuming that these breaking effects are small enough to yield sufficiently long DM decay lifetimes, there appears a genuine new signal in regions of parameter space that were previously dark. This illustrates the importance of taking into account the rich interplay between direct and indirect detection of decaying WIMP dark matter. Indeed, in models where annihilations are suppressed, the expected signal of indirect detection is very faint which also leads to a small direct detection signal in most cases. However, including the WIMP decays induced by the Planck–scale effects, one has an extra source of signal which makes the WIMP dark matter detectable.

On the other hand, a large class of decaying dark matter candidates, with masses in the WIMP range and weak–strength couplings, naturally accommodates a WIMP thermal production scenario.

Finally, an unambiguous detection of a mixed decay and annihilation signal may offer a very interesting window into Planck–scale physics, opening an unexpected phenomenological implication of the WIMP paradigm.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank N. Fornengo and M. Taoso for their comments and suggestions upon reading the manuscript. This work was supported by the Spanish MINECO under grants FPA2011-22975 and MULTIDARK CSD2009-00064 (Consolider-Ingenio 2010 Programme), by Prometeo/2009/091 (Generalitat Valenciana), by the EU ITN UNILHC PITN-GA-2009-237920.

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-8-1"></span>Aalseth, C. E. et al. (2011), Results from a Search for Light-Mass Dark Matter with a p-Type Point Contact Germanium Detector, *Physical Review Letters*, 106, 13, 131301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.131301
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>Ackermann, M. et al. (2011), Constraining Dark Matter Models from a Combined Analysis of Milky Way Satellites with the Fermi Large Area Telescope, *PRL*, 107, 24, 241302, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.107. 241302
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>Agnese, R. et al. (2013), Dark Matter Search Results Using the Silicon Detectors of CDMS II, *Phys.Rev.Lett.*
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>Ahmed, Z. et al. (2011), Results from a Low-Energy Analysis of the CDMS II Germanium Data, *Physical Review Letters*, 106, 13, 131302, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.131302
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>Akerib, D. et al. (2013), First results from the LUX dark matter experiment at the Sanford Underground Research Facility
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>Akhmedov, E. K. et al. (1993), Planck scale effects on the majoron, *Physics Letters B*, 299, 90–93, doi:10.1016/0370-2693(93)90887-N
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>Angloher, G. et al. (2012), Results from 730 kg days of the cresst-ii dark matter search, *European Physical Journal C*, 72, 1971, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1971-8
- <span id="page-9-21"></span>Aprile, E. et al. (2011), Dark Matter Results from 100 Live Days of XENON100 Data, *Physical Review Letters*, 107, 13, 131302, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.131302
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>Aprile, E. et al. (2012), Dark Matter Results from 225 Live Days of XENON100 Data, *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, 109, 181301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.181301
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>Arvanitaki, A., Dimopoulos, S., Dubovsky, S., Graham, P. W., Harnik, R., et al. (2009), Astrophysical Probes of Unification, *Phys.Rev.*, D79, 105022, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.105022
- <span id="page-9-23"></span>Arvanitaki, A. et al. (2009), Astrophysical probes of unification, *PRD*, 79, 10, 105022, doi:10.1103/ PhysRevD.79.105022
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>ATLAS Collaboration (2012), Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, *Physics Letters B*, 716, 1–29, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012. 08.020
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>Banks, T. and Seiberg, N. (2011), Symmetries and strings in field theory and gravity, *PRD*, 83, 8, 084019, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.084019
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>Bazzocchi, F. et al. (2008), X-ray photons from late-decaying majoron dark matter, *JCAP*, 8, 13, doi:10. 1088/1475-7516/2008/08/013
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>Belanger, G. et al. (2007), MicrOMEGAs 2.0: A Program to calculate the relic density of dark matter in a generic model, *Comput.Phys.Commun.*, 176, 367–382, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2006.11.008
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>Belanger, G. et al. (2009), Dark matter direct detection rate in a generic model with micrOMEGAs 2.2, *Comput.Phys.Commun.*, 180, 747–767, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2008.11.019
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>Berezinsky, V., Joshipura, A. S., and Valle, J. (1998), Gravitational violation of R-parity and its cosmological signatures, *Phys.Rev.*, D57, 147–151, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.57.147
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>Berezinsky, V. and Valle, J. (1993), The KeV majoron as a dark matter particle, *Phys.Lett.*, B318, 360– 366, doi:10.1016/0370-2693(93)90140-D
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>Bernabei, R. et al. (2008), First results from DAMA/LIBRA and the combined results with DAMA/NaI, *European Physical Journal C*, 56, 333, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-008-0662-y
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>Bertone, G., Hooper, D., and Silk, J. (2005), Particle dark matter: evidence, candidates and constraints, *Physics Reports*, 405, 56, 279 – 390, doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2004.08.031
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>Bertone, G. et al. (2012), Complementarity of indirect and accelerator dark matter searches, *PRD*, 85, 5, 055014, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.055014
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>Boucenna, M. S. and Profumo, S. (2011), Direct and indirect singlet scalar dark matter detection in the lepton-specific two-Higgs-doublet model, *PRD*, 84, 5, 055011, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.84.055011
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>Burgess, C. P., Pospelov, M., and ter Veldhuis, T. (2001), The minimal model of nonbaryonic dark matter: A singlet scalar, *Nucl. Phys.*, B619, 709–728, doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00513-2
- <span id="page-9-24"></span>Carone, C. D., Erlich, J., and Primulando, R. (2010), Decaying dark matter from dark instantons, *PRD*, 82, 5, 055028, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.055028
- <span id="page-9-22"></span>CDMS II Collaboration (2010), Dark Matter Search Results from the CDMS II Experiment, *Science*, 327, 1619–, doi:10.1126/science.1186112
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>Chung, D. J., Kolb, E. W., and Riotto, A. (1998), Nonthermal supermassive dark matter, *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, 81, 4048–4051, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.4048
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>CMS Collaboration (2012), Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, *Physics Letters B*, 716, 30–61, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.021
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>Cohen, T. et al. (2012), Singlet-doublet dark matter, *PRD*, 85, 7, 075003, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85. 075003
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>Davoudiasl, H. et al. (2005), The new Minimal Standard Model, *Physics Letters B*, 609, 117–123, doi:10. 1016/j.physletb.2005.01.026
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>de Gouvêa, A. and Valle, J. W. F. (2001), Minimalistic neutrino mass model, *Physics Letters B*, 501, 115–127, doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00103-4
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>Dodelson, S. and Widrow, L. M. (1994), Sterile-neutrinos as dark matter, *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, 72, 17–20, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.17
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>EDELWEISS Collaboration (2011), Final results of the EDELWEISS-II WIMP search using a 4-kg array of cryogenic germanium detectors with interleaved electrodes, *Physics Letters B*, 702, 329–335, doi:10. 1016/j.physletb.2011.07.034
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>Edsjo, J. and Gondolo, P. (1997), Neutralino relic density including coannihilations, *Phys.Rev.*, D56, 1879–1894, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.56.1879
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>Esmaili, A., Ibarra, A., and Peres, O. L. G. (2012), Probing the stability of superheavy dark matter particles with high-energy neutrinos, *JCAP*, 11, 034, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2012/11/034
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>Esteves, J. et al. (2010), A4-based neutrino masses with Majoron decaying dark matter, *Phys.Rev.*, D82, 073008, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.073008
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>Fornengo, N., Lineros, R., Regis, M., and Taoso, M. (2011), Possibility of a Dark Matter Interpretation for the Excess in Isotropic Radio Emission Reported by ARCADE, *Physical Review Letters*, 107, 26, 271302, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.271302
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>Frigerio, M., Hambye, T., and Masso, E. (2011), Sub-GeV Dark Matter as Pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone Bosons from the Seesaw Scale, *Physical Review X*, 1, 2, 021026, doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.1.021026
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>Giddings, S. B. and Strominger, A. (1988), Loss of incoherence and determination of coupling constants in quantum gravity, *Nuclear Physics B*, 307, 854–866, doi:10.1016/0550-3213(88)90109-5
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>Goudelis, A., Mambrini, Y., and Yaguna, C. (2009), Antimatter signals of singlet scalar dark matter, *JCAP*, 12, 008, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2009/12/008
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>Griest, K. and Seckel, D. (1991), Three exceptions in the calculation of relic abundances, *Phys.Rev.*, D43, 3191–3203, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.43.3191
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>Holman, R. et al. (1992), Solutions to the strong CP problem in a world with gravity, *Phys.Lett.*, B282, 132–136, doi:10.1016/0370-2693(92)90491-L
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>Hooper, D. and Goodenough, L. (2011), Dark matter annihilation in the Galactic Center as seen by the Fermi Gamma Ray Space Telescope, *Physics Letters B*, 697, 412–428, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2011.02. 029
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>Huang, X., Vertongen, G., and Weniger, C. (2012), Probing dark matter decay and annihilation with Fermi LAT observations of nearby galaxy clusters, *JCAP*, 1, 42, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2012/01/042
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>Ibarra, A. and Tran, D. (2009), Decaying dark matter and the PAMELA anomaly, *JCAP*, 2, 21, doi:10. 1088/1475-7516/2009/02/021
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>Ibarra, A., Tran, D., and Weniger, C. (2010), Decaying dark matter in light of the PAMELA and Fermi LAT data, *JCAP*, 1, 9, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2010/01/009
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>Ibarra, A. et al. (2009), Cosmic rays from leptophilic dark matter decay via kinetic mixing, *JCAP*, 8, 017, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2009/08/017
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>Ibe, M., Murayama, H., and Yanagida, T. (2009), Breit-Wigner Enhancement of Dark Matter Annihilation, *Phys.Rev.*, D79, 095009, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.095009
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>Kamionkowski, M. and March-Russell, J. (1992), Planck scale physics and the Peccei-Quinn mechanism, *Phys.Lett.*, B282, 137–141, doi:10.1016/0370-2693(92)90492-M
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>Lattanzi, M., Riemer-Sorensen, S., Tortola, M., and Valle, J. W. F. (2013), Updated CMB, X- and gammaray constraints on majoron dark matter, *Phys.Rev.*, D88, 063528, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.063528
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>Lattanzi, M. and Valle, J. W. F. (2007), Decaying Warm Dark Matter and Neutrino Masses, *Physical Review Letters*, 99, 12, 121301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.121301
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>Lopez Honorez, L. et al. (2007), The inert doublet model: an archetype for dark matter, *JCAP*, 2, 028, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2007/02/028
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>Maltoni, M., Schwetz, T., Tortola, M., and Valle, J. (2004), Status of global fits to neutrino oscillations, *New J.Phys.*, 6, 122, doi:10.1088/1367-2630/6/1/122, this review gives a comprehensive set of references
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Masso, E., Rota, F., and Zsembinszki, G. (2004), Planck-scale effects on global symmetries: Cosmology of pseudo-Goldstone bosons, *Phys.Rev.*, D70, 115009, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.115009
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>McDonald, J. (1994), Gauge singlet scalars as cold dark matter, *PRD*, 50, 3637–3649, doi:10.1103/ PhysRevD.50.3637
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>Nardi, E., Sannino, F., and Strumia, A. (2009), Decaying Dark Matter can explain the e+- excesses, *JCAP*, 0901, 043, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2009/01/043

<span id="page-11-3"></span>Restrepo, D. et al. (2012), Gravitino dark matter and neutrino masses with bilinear R-parity violation, *PRD*, 85, 2, 023523, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.023523

<span id="page-11-0"></span>Rothstein, I. Z., Babu, K. S., and Seckel, D. (1993), Planck scale symmetry breaking and majoron physics, *Nuclear Physics B*, 403, 725–748, doi:10.1016/0550-3213(93)90368-Y

<span id="page-11-5"></span>Sierra, D. A., Restrepo, D., and Zapata, O. (2009), Decaying neutralino dark matter in anomalous  $U(1)_H$ models, *PRD*, 80, 5, 055010, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.055010

<span id="page-11-2"></span>Takayama, F. and Yamaguchi, M. (2000), Gravitino dark matter without R-parity, *Physics Letters B*, 485, 388–392, doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00726-7

<span id="page-11-1"></span>Weniger, C. (2012), A tentative gamma-ray line from Dark Matter annihilation at the Fermi Large Area Telescope, *JCAP*, 8, 007, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2012/08/007

<span id="page-11-4"></span>XENON100 Collaboration (2012), Dark Matter Results from 225 Live Days of XENON100 Data, *Physical Review Letters*, 109, 18, 181301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.181301