Journal section: Oral Medicine and Pathology Publication Types: Review doi:10.4317/jced.52860 http://dx.doi.org/10.4317/jced.52860

# Pathogenesis and clinicohistopathological caractheristics of melanoacanthoma: A systematic review

# Elena Cantudo-Sanagustín <sup>1</sup>, Aída Gutiérrez-Corrales <sup>1</sup>, Manuel Vigo-Martínez <sup>2</sup>, María-Ángeles Serrera-Figallo <sup>1</sup>, Daniel Torres-Lagares <sup>3</sup>, José-Luis Gutiérrez-Pérez <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Master in Oral Surgery

<sup>2</sup> Medical Doctor. Diplomate in Dental Surgery. Lecture in Oral Medicine. University of Seville

<sup>3</sup> Professor of Oral Surgery. Co-Head of Master in Oral Surgery. University of Seville

Correspondence: Facultad de Odontología de Sevilla C/ Avicena s/n 41009 Sevilla, SPAIN danieltl@us.es

Received: 22/11/2015 Accepted: 08/01/2016

Please cite this article in press as: Cantudo-Sanagustín E, Gutiérrez-Corrales A, Vigo-Martínez M, Serrera-Figallo MA, Torres-Lagares D, Gutiérrez-Pérez JL. Pathogenesis and clinicohistopathological caractheristics of melanoacanthoma: A systematic review. J Clin Exp Dent. (2016), *doi:10.4317/jced.52860* 

#### Abstract

Introduction: The melanoacanthoma is a rare benign pigmented tumor, characterized by a fast radial growth and clinical behavior similar to melanoma. Color changes in oral mucosa and dermis are consequence of increased melanocyte activity as response to an irritant factor. There is a vast phenotypic variety. It is difficult to distinguish between a benign pigmented lesion and a melanoma at its early stage. Due to its clinical relevance is crucial to diagnose possible malignancy of the lesions.

Objectives: The aim of this article is to conduct a systematic review of all published articles, as well as update and evaluate etiologic factors and clinicopathological features.

Material and Methods: We carried out a search in the Medline database (PubMed) using the key words "oral melanoacanthoma" AND "oral melanoacanthosis" AND "oral melanoepithelioma". Inclusion criteria were all published articles since its discovery. Demographic data, histological features and immunohistochemical findings were extracted from the full articles.

Results: A total of 56 articles were analysed. 114 injuries drawn from these articles were studied, a total of 115 injuries with our contribution case. The 74.78% of authors claim a reactive pathogenesis. The average age of lesión appearance is 34.79 years, with an age range of 5-87 years. There is a predominance of the female sex in solitary phenotype 3: 2 and a ratio of women to men 5: 3 if it is multifocal phenotype. Bilateral phenotype is slight higher in women of 2: 1.

Conclusions: Histopathological analysis of the lesión is vital to diagnose malignancy. Therefore, any heterogeneous, pigmented lesion with irregular edges, raised surface, fast growth and abrupt appearance should be biopsied. More emphasis on the potential irritants should also be put to improve the quality of life of our patients and to reduce morbidity of melanoacanthoma, as well as, several similar clinical behavior disease.

Key words: Melanoacanthoma, oral cáncer, diagnosis.

#### Introduction

Melanoacanthoma was first described by Bloch in 1926 as melanoepitheliomoa. In 1960, Mishima and Pinkus introduced the term melanoacanthoma to clarify the term melanoepithelioma type 1 and 2 previously described by Bloch in 1927 (1). The term melanoacanthoma corresponds to Bloch's melanoepithelioma type 1. First lesion in the oral mucosa was presented by Tomey and Dorey in the Maxilofacial and Oral Pathology Congress of the American Academy, in 1978. According to this revision, Schneider *et al.* described their first case in 1981 (2).

Since then, solitary and, less frequent, multiple lesions have been described in the oral mucosa with a total number of 115 cases to the date in our search.

Melanoacanthoma is a rare benign mixed epitelial tumor, characterized by the mucocutanean pigmentation with dendritics melanocytes dispersed among the epithelium with acanthosis areas, espongiosis on melanyne presency. The presence of inflammatory infiltration of linfocitic and eosinophils is a common find (3,4).

The high of incidence is between the third or fourth decade, it shows higher prevalence in black race and women although some cases were reported in Caucasia race.

Lesions may occur as isolated or multiple, plained or raised, with well defined or diffuse edges and the color ranges from dark brown to black. There have been described multiple cases and others with bilateral lesion (Fig. 1). Melanoacanthoma lesions ca be asymptomatic or develop with pain, burning or itching. Its etiology is related to irritative or traumatic factors. oral melanoacanthoma can regress after the elimination of irritating factor or after being biopsied. Cutaneous variant occurs mainly in fair-skinned adults while the oral melanoacanthoma has a predilection for blacks and younger patients (7).

Radial growth it is a high potential pathognomonic sign, it can mask a subyacent melanoma (3).

These characteristics have been studied with electronic microscopy, and several tests had been used like: inmunoprecipitation test with the aim of analize patient's serum to search antibody antimelanoma, inmunofluorescence to look for present anthygens on melanoma lesion: inmunohistochemical studies have demostrated melanocitic reactivity of the melanocytes which reside on the basal, parabasal and cellular espinous strate for the marquer HMB-45; the protein S-100 serves as marquer of the presence of melanocitics dendritics cells, very useful for its diagnosis confirmation, as the marquer Melanin-A also is used with this purpose (7).

Quirurgical exéresis shows a great ratio of success without recurrences (Fig. 2). It offers the advantage of preserve the borders of the lesion for a histhopathologic analisis. Sometimes, even after the incisional biopsy, an involution of the lesion is observed with high frequency. Other ways of treatment are laser ablation with Argon, crioterapy, curettage and the topic application of Flourouracil 5% (8).

The aim of the present article es to make a systematic review of all the published cases, as to actualize and evaluate ethiologic factors and it clinicopathologic characteristics.



**Fig. 1.** Histological images of the pyogenic granuloma showing an appearance similar to granulation tissue. The histological type of the pyogenic granuloma is non-lobular capillary hemangioma. Arrow heads label blood vessels surrounded by connective tissue.

Cutaneous melanoacanthoma are more likely to appear in head, neck and chest and less frequently in the eyelids or lips. Intraoral lesions are usually asymptomatic and preferentially located in the buccal mucosa (47.54%), palate (18.03%), lips (11.47%) and gum (5.6%) (6). While cutaneous melanoacanthoma never dissapear,



Fig. 2. Same lesion after a follow up of 10 years. It keeps stable.

## **Material and Methods**

A systematic, computerized database search was conducted using the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) to search MEDLINE (Pubmed). The search was conducted using the following MeSHterms:" "oral melanoacanthoma" AND "oral melanoacanthosis" AND "oral melanoepithelioma". For the initial selection, we selected all articles published since melanoacanthoma. Demographic data, histological characterestics and immunohistochemical findings were taken from the full text. From the literature a total of 59 articles, in relation with melanoacanthoma, were obtained, three of those were exclude after complete reading. We evaluated 56 articles. A total of 115 patients, including the case presented by our team, were diagnosed. Figure 3 describes, in a flow diagram, search phases of our systematic review.



Fig. 3. Prisma Flow Diagram: different stages of the search in a systematic review.

#### Results

The review of the literature shows that oral melanoacanthoma affects patients aged between 5 and 87 years, with a mean age of 34.79 years. Ther is higher prevalence in women, 54.4% versus 38.4% in men.

The ratio female-male is 3: 2. There is a predominance of the female sex in solitary phenotype 3: 2 and when the multifocal phenotype is the ratio female-male is 5: 3. In the bilateral phenotype is slight higher in women, 2: 1.

The solitary phenotype appears more frequently (18.26% of cases) than the multifocal phenotype (13.91% of cases). The locations from highest to lowest frequency are buccal mucosa 33.9%, 13.04% palate, 5.22% lips, 13.91% alveolar mucosa including retromolar área and lingual mucosa, 3.48% tongue, 4.35% back, 3.48% abdomen, 3.48% scapula, 3.48% ear, 3.48% eyelid, 2.6% leg, 2.6% buttock, 1.74% neck, 1.74% floors mouth, 1.74% nose, 1.74% armpit, chest 0.87%, 0.87% vermilion lipstick, hip 0.87%, 0.87% base of the penis, arms 0.87% 0.87% temporal region, 0.87% submental region, 0.87% scrotum groin area, 0.87% preauricular area ,0.87% shoulder and 0.87% forehead.

The most frequent presentation is blackish brown in

40.8% of cases, followed by bluish black 3.2%, 1.6% reddish brown and grey 0.8%.

It has a predilection for black race (37.39%) followed by caucasian (19.13%), latin American (3.47%) and Asians (3.47%). 77.42% of the authors assert that the etiology is related to irritative factors.

Table 1 shows all cases submitted for analysis and the results of our systematic review.

# Discussion

The variability of phenotypic expression justifies the controversy of the classification of this entity. There are different phenotypes with multiple expressions: cutaneous or oral melanoacanthoma, painful or asymptomatic, fast growing or stable, multiple or solitary melanoacanthomas; as well as different locations and histopathologic features.

Zemtsov *et al.* consider that oral melanoacanthoma is a tumor wrongly named and defined it as an unusual proliferation of dendritic melanocytes mucositis in the epidermis (9). Horlick, propose the term mucosal melanotic macula for the reactive type of this lesion (10).

The etiology is still unclear, but most of the authors associate it with a continuing traumatic process that stimulate melanocytic activity (3,5,11,12).

Most of the injuries are related to trauma and its appearance varies from weeks to months. They even dissapear after eliminating irritants or biopsy. This fact makes the reactive etiology stronger.

The reactive etiology of melanoacanthoma and other pigmented lesions may be associated with chronic contact with petroleum derivatives, such as sodium lauryl sulfate, nitropheno, phentolphthalein, clorophenol, phenylenediamine sulfate, cocamidopropyl betaine or amine fluoride. These components are found in toothpastes and mouthwashes and they act as irritants causing morphological changes. Pathology 100% of lesions supports this, and it is related to oral and cutaneous melanoma phenotype (13-15).

Most of the the authors observe the ocurrence of these lesions in trauma areas of bruxism patients, lesions matching the occlusal plane or very prominent cusps of molars and premolars. Likewise, lesions have also been described in patients with a recent dental restoration, which entails a soft tissue trauma during the adaptation period (16,17).

Silver amalgam fillings have also been described as etiological factors that may cause pigmentation and changes in the epithelium (17). There is much controversy with this restorative material. Many countries, like Germany and the US, have already forbbided its use in dental therapy due to its corrosion and risk of toxicity, while other countries like Spain argue that this material has been used for hundred of years without an apparent risk.

In 2007 Yarom et al. describe as etiologic factors ill-

AUTHOR	NUMBER OF PACIENTS	AGE	SEX	APPEARANCE	COLOR	SIZE (cm)	ETIOLOGY	ETHNICITY
Kennedy 2013 (A)	1	13	Μ	Multifocal	Black-Brown	4	Reactive	В
Wagner 2013 (B)	1	48	Ч	Solitary	Brown	0.7	Reactive	M
Patnayak 2013 (C)	2	15	Ц	Solitary		1.5x1.0	Reactive	
		53	M			1x1		
Vasani 2013 (D)	1	62	Μ	Solitary	Black	15x8	Reactive	В
Bhattacharyya 2013 (E)	1	72	Μ	Multifocal	Black	'		В
Das Chagas 2013 (F)	1	58	Μ	Multifocal	Black-Brown		Reactive	В
Rohilla 2013 (G)	1	12	Ч	Solitary	Black-Brown		Reactive	Α
Ashok Gupta 2012 (H)	1	22	Ч	Multifocal	Black		Reactive	
Gondak 2012 (I)	1	ı	ı	Solitary			Reactive	
Jain 2011 (J)	1	58	Ч	Multiple	Black	3x3-12x10	Reactive	
Galindo 2011 (K)	1	63	Ч	Multiple	Brown-black	0.03-0.06	Reactive	M
Shankar 2011 (L)	1	65	Μ	Solitary -giant	Brown-black	10x5	Reactive	В
Tapia 2011 (M)	1	35	Ч	Solitary	Brown	0,6x0,3	Reactive	M
Geetha 2011 (N)	1	8	Μ	Bilateral	Brown-black	5x3	Reactive	M
Arava-Parastatidis 2011 (O)	1	32	Ч	Solitary			Reactive	M
Brooks 2010 (P)	1	17	Μ	Solitary		-	Reactive	M
Marocchio 2009 (Q)	1	74	F	Multifocal	Brown		Reactive	В
Lakshminarayanan 2009 (R)	1	24	Н		Brown	0.25	Reactive	Α
Brooks 2009 (S)	1	60	F	Multifocal	Brown	0,1-0,4	Reactive	M
Brooks and Nikitakis 2008 (T)	1	47	F	Solitary		0,2x0,3	Reactive	В
Krahl 2008 (U)	0					-	Reactive	-
Bregni 2007 (V)	8	7	Μ	Solitary	Dark-brown flat	0,3	Reactive	W
		25	М	ı	Dark-brown flat	1	Reactive	LAM
		33	F		Dark-brown flat	0.6	Reactive	LAM
		40	F	1	Dark-brown flat	0.5	Reactive	LAM
		8	Μ		Dark-brown flat	0.3	Reactive	LAM
Yarom 2007 (W)	1	60	F	Multifocal	Brown		Reactive	M
Rosiello 2006 (X)	1	38	F	Multifocal	Black-brown	0.04		W
Andrews 2005 (Y)	1	45	Μ	Ulcerated		2.5	Reactive	В
Contreras 2005 (Z)	1	40	F			•	Reactive	M
Kihiczak 2004 (AA)	1	45	F		Brown	2.5x0.5x0.8	Reactive	•
Buchner 2004 (BB)	L	36	F		Brown	1.0	Reactive	В
		37	Μ		Brown	0.2	Reactive	В
		51	Μ		Black	0.6	Reactive	Α
		24	F		Black	2.0	Reactive	В
		63	Μ	ı		0.4	Reactive	В
		52	F		Black	0.6	Reactive	Α
		44	F		Brown	1.0	Reactive	В
Fornatora 2003 (CC)	10	5-77	2M	ı			Reactive	7B
			8F	Solitary	•	0,2		3W

sear
rature
lite
in
found
acanthoma
of melanoa
cases (
Described
Ë.
<b>a</b>

Table 1 continue. Described cases	s of melanoacanthc	oma found in	literature sea	rch.				
Fatahzadeh 2002 (DD)	1	39	Μ	Multifocal	Brown	1.0	Reactive	В
Flaitz 2000 (EE)	1	40	F	Solitary	Brown-black	-	Reactive	В
Heine 1996 (FF)	1	27	Μ	Bilateral	Brown	2.0x2.0	Reactive	В
Eisen 1991 (GG)	0	ı				ı	Reactive	ı
Simon 1991 (HH)	0	•				ı	Reactive	ı
Pérez-Oliva 1990 (II)	4	I	ı	Solitary	-	-	Reactive	I
Tomich 1990 (JJ)	4	26	Ч	Solitary	Black	2.5	Reactive	В
		21	Μ	Solitary	Brown	2	Reactive	В
		14	н	Multifocal	Brown	3X2	Reactive	В
		39	Ч	Solitary	Black	5	Reactive	В
Vion 1989 (KK)	1	99	Μ	I	Red-brown	0.40x0.25	-	W
Whitt 1988 (LL)	1	25	Μ	Solitary	Red-brown	0.04	Reactive	В
Maize 1988 (MM)	0	ı	ı				Unknown	ı
Horlick 1988 (NN)	2	36	Μ	Multifocal	Blue-black	,	Reactive	В
~		16	ц	Solitary	Brown-black	3x2		W
Sexton 1987 (OO)	3	32-43	В			ı	Reactive	Ч
Clark Lambert 1987 (PP)	0	,						
Frey 1984 (QQ)	1	39	В		Brown	2X1.8	Reactive	F
Wright 1983 y 1988 (RR,SS)	2	36	Ч	Multifocal	Brown	1.5	Reactive	В
		27	F	Solitary	Brown	2x3		
Prince 1984 (TT)	5	80	F	-		4x4	Reactive	В
		69	F		Brown-black	5x5	Reactive	В
		69	Μ		Gray-black	10	Reactive	M
		62	Ч		Gray-black	5x4	Reactive	M
		48	Μ		•	2.5	Reactive	M
		30	F		•	2x4	Reactive	В
		19	Μ		Blue-brown	2.0	Reactive	MB
		26	М		Blue-brown	3x1		M
		25	F	-		2x5		В
		19	F	•	•	2x2		В
		32	М		Blue-black	1x0.4		В
		19	F		Brown	-	Reactive	В
Goode 1983 (UU)	10	36	F	-	Brown	0.3	Reactive	В
		18	F		Dark-gray	4x4	-	
		22	Μ		Black	0.5	-	
		30	F			2x4	Reactive	В
		19	М		Blue-brown	2.0	Reactive	В
		26	Μ		Blue-brown	3x1		W
		25	ц	•	•	2x5	•	В

ea
Ś
ILE
atı
erg
Ē
1
Ъ
H
,õ
af
Ξ
C
÷
П
3
a
č
5
٦
Ξ
f
s
e
ä
<u> </u>
ē
-9
5
S
ŏ
le
Ē
ti
Ξ
5
÷
e S

Table 1 continue. Described case	s of melanoacantho	ma found ir	literature sea	urch.				
		19	F	-		2x2	-	В
		32	М	-	Blue-black	1x0.4	-	В
		19	F	-	Brown		Reactive	В
		36	F	I	Brown	0.3	Reactive	В
Zina 1982 (VV)	1	60	М		Brown-black	10	•	M
Schneider 1981 (WW)	1	6	F	Solitary	Brown	1.3x1.1	Reactive	В
Tomich 1979 (XX)	1	39	F	•	Brown	5	•	В
Matusoka 1979 (YY)	1	18	F	Multifocal	Black-Brown	5-6	Reactive	В
Schlappner 1978 (ZZ)	1	74	М		Brown	3x3	-	M
Delacrétaz 1975 (AAA)	1	87	F	ı		0.09x0.12	Reactive	M
Spott 1972 (BBB)	1	40	М		Black		Reactive	В
Sánchez Yus 1969 (CCC)	8	63	F					I
		ı	Μ					
		58	М	•	-		•	-
		ı	Μ					ı
		58	F					
			М	-	-		-	-
		36	М					
			F	-	-		-	-
Mishima 1960 (DDD)	12	61	F			0.12x0.14	Reactive	I
×.		46	F			$0.20 \times 0.20$		ı
		68	F			$0.10 \times 0.10$		ı
		59	М	ı		0.06x0.06		ı
		81	М	-		$0.10 \times 0.10$		
		77	F	ı		$0.20 \times 0.20$		ı
		60	F			0.20x0.15		ı
		54	F			0.13x0.16		ı
		62	М			1x1		ı
		62	М			0.25x0.20		ı
		63	М	•	-	$0.50 \times 0.50$		-
		68	М			0.45x0.28		
		61	М	-	-	0.20 x 0.15		-
Actual case	1	53	М	Multifocal	Brown-black	2.0	Reactive	M
Summary	115	5-87	Female	Solitary (11)=8%	Brown-black (51)=	0,04-8cm	Reactive	W(22)=19,13
		(61.45)	(08)	Multifocal $(0)=4$	%CC:44		(50) = /4, /5%	70%0
			Male (47)	111 8% 12.1	Blue-black (4)=		Unknown(29)=25,2	B(43)=37,39
			Unknown	$\bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{N}} Unknown(53) = 42,4$	3.48%		2%0	%
			5 	%	Ked-brown			LAM(4)=3,48
			Proportion		(2)=1.6%			2007 C-(C) V
			7.1		UIAY (2)-2,070 Thereast (26)-44.0			A(2)-2,00%
					0.744.00)IIMIUU			=30,43%
F (Female), M (Male), W (White),	B (Black), A (Asia	n), LAM (L	atin Americai	1 mestizo), K (Recurrei	nce).			
Capital letters in parentheses after	r the first author an	d year of th	e studies revie	ewed refer to a list of bi	ibliographic references u	sed in this table	and available online at v	www.medoral.es
XXXXXXX).								

found in literat . ÷ Ĉ ..... Tahle 1 fitting removible prothesis, patients treated for chronic asthma, constant bite of the cheeks, hydrogen peroxide mouthwashes and nonspecific chronic trauma (18,19).

There are also described in the literature lesions suddenly appeared after implants surgery or associated with ferrous lactate chronic treatment for iron-deficiency anemia.

Zemtsov *et al.* proposed to their patients removing toothpastes and mouthwashes containing hydrogen peroxide resulting in the spontaneous resolution of melanoacanthoma. They show that the most common irritant are mercury and petroleum derivatives as cinnamic aldehyde, in toothpastes, which may cause allergic contact dermatitis (8).

Toothpastes with abrassive components, such as, calcium phosphate or calcium carbonate, act as irritant factors which produce tissue reaction after cronic contact (20).

Brooks *et al.* found that there are alterations of superficial dermis in cutaneous phenotype, but they didn't observed fast growth or spontaneous resolution as it does in oral melanoacanthoma (21,22).

Galindo *et al.*, along with other authors, argue that melacantoma does not need any specific treatment or follow up because there have not been reported cases of malignancy with features of dysplasia or atypia (6).

However, we found in the literatura (Zina, in 1982), a case of simple hydroacanthoma with a malignant transformation into a porocarcinoma. This extremely rare tumor classification is highly complex due to its histopathological similarities with other lesion and degenerative changes of any lesion with age. The relationship between melanocytes and keratinocytes is very similar to the realtion observed in the melanoacanthoma (23). This rare lesion was named Bort-Jadasshon intraepidermal epithelioma and it matches with the terms described by Bloch in 1927 and Mishima in 1960 when melanoacanthoma was called "non-cutaneous benign melanoepitelioma nevoid" (24,25).

Simon believes for there are three variants of melanocytic seborrheic keratosis: irritant, non-irritating and nested variants. He considers that oral melanoacanthoma is an irritant seborrheic keratosis and he suggests the term melanoacanthoma should be removed (12).

Concerning relations between melanoacanthoma and seborrheic keratosis it should be noted that the only difference between the two processes is that in melanoacanthoma can be found many melanocytes at every level of tumor epidermis, while in seborrheic keratoses, melanocytes are not increased and they can only be found in basal area (26). Authors like Sanchez Yus and Simon Huarte concluded that both tumors are the same entity and they should not be separated. The electron microscope, shows that the distribution and arrangement of melanocytes are notably different in melanoacantomas compared to seborrheic keratoses. Melanocytes are small with intense mitotic activity, melanin granules are present in the cytoplasm and several basal keratinocytes proliferate (27).

Clinical appearance similar to other pigmented lesions, family history, drug use or systemic drugs, hormones, heavy metals and changes in the morphological pattern are important for the differential diagnosis (11). Some pathological entities described in table 2 should be included.

Back to melanoacanthoma histology, this is a pigmented tumor that exhibits great morphological variability. Pathological characteristics show stratified squamous epithelium with proliferation of melanocytes and melanin presence in the basal layer and suprabasal layers without invading the underlying connective tissue, prone to the central keratinization (endoqueratinización). The predominant cell pattern prickly keratinocytes or basal differentiation is present in different areas. Melanocytes have extensive dendritic processes and striking areas of acanthosis (27).

The presence of inflammatory infiltrate is found in the great majority of melanoacanthomas. The block in the transference of melanin from melanocytes to keratinocytes is the nature of this entity. Alteration in the normal pattern and speed differentiation of keratinocytes alters cell characteristic of keratinocytes surface which inhibits pigment donation.

The etiological hypotheses of reactive origin pigmented lesion is supported by the frequent presence of inflammatory infiltrate of lymphocytes.

Langerhans cells are present in every Malpighian layer except from the basal layer. These cells are related to proliferation control of keratinocytes. Therefore, the study of Langerhans cells is interesting due to its disposition at every layers (27).

## Conclusions

The histopathological analysis of the melanoacanthoma suspicious lesion is crucial to rule out malignancy, as it may hide a subyacent oral melanoma. Any heterogenous pigmented lesion with irregular borders, raised surface, fast growth and sharp appearance should be biopsied. Since over 75% of reported cases indicate an irritating background, more emphasis must be put on the control of them. This can improve the quality of life of our patients and reduce morbidity of numerous pathologies.

# Table 2. Differential diagnosis of various pathologies.

Intraoral nevus	Characteristics	Frequent localitation, higher age incidence and race predilection Palate and gums	Clinical characteristics Brown-dark	Observations 0.1% of the population
		3rd and 4th decade		
Melanotic macula	Increased melanin. Presence of melanocytes in the basal and parabasal layer with normal stratified squamous epithelium	Vermilion lower lip, buccal mucosa and gingiva and palate		
Fisiological pigmentations	Pigmented macules of different sizes and configurations. It appears since childhood or puberty	Black race	Brown-dark	Infrequent
Laugier-Hunziker´s sindrome	Acquired benign pathology. Solitary or multiple macules, lenticular or linear, well-defined or diffuse margins	Buccal mucosa, lips and palmoplantar surfaces. Caucasian women. Second half of the adulthood. 50% of the cases with nail pigmentation	Brown-grey-dark	Infrequent
Peutz-Jegher´s síndrome	Autosomal dominant disorder. Hyperchromics multiple melanotic macules varying in size and shape.	Buccal mucosa, lips and tongue. 1st decade of life. 60% of patients age of 20	Presence of adenohamartomatous gastrointestinal polyps. Dark brown	A thorough follow-up of these patients because of the high risk of malignant transformation is necessary.
Addison´s disease	Endocrine disease with infectious origin, autoimmune or due to malignant adrenal gland. Adenocorticotropina overproduction of the hormone and hyperstimulation of the melanocytes.	Any region of the oral mucosa	Mucocutaneous hyperpigmentation. Diffuse / localized pattern. Associated symptoms: weakness, nausea, vomiting, anorexia, weight loss and postural hypotension.	It is crucial to diagnose oral lesions, they preced extraoral manifestations.
Vascular pathology	Petechiae, ecchymosis, bruises, varicose veins and hemangiomas	Any region of the oral mucosa	Bluish-red coloration. Pulsatile.	Risk of bleeding.
Osler-Weber-Rendu´s sindrome	Tumor with autosomal dominant hereditary pattern	Telangiectasia on the lips, tongue and extremities	Reddish-blue spider veins.	Oral changes and epistaxis are vital for early diagnosis.
Amalgama tatoo or focal Argirosis	It is a iatrogenic injury posterior to soft tissue implantation of amalgam particles.	Mucosa adjacent fillings	These can be detected in X- rays	Microscopically, the particles are typically aligned along the collagen fibers and blood vessels, a few lymphocytes and macrophages they can also be found

Table	2	continue.	Differential	diagnosis	of various	pathologies.
				0		

Melanoma	Related to removable	Maxillary	The clinical features are	Primary melanoma of
	prothesis trauma,	gingiva and the	extremely variable, they can	the oral cavity is a rare
	irritants such as tobacco	hard palate	suddenly appear and fast	malignancy (0.2% to
	and alcohol and poor	mucosa are the	growth causing ulceration,	8% of all melanomas in
	oral hygiene.	locations most	bleeding and pain (these	Europe and the United
		affected African	features are related to the	States).
		blacks, asians,	early stages of oral	Clinically classified
		americans and	melanoma), or they can	into four types: nodular
		hispanics.	present macules, plaques,	melanoma, diffuse
		I ongue, lips or	patches or nodules	surface melanoma,
		Jaw mucosa are	asymptomatic for years, (in	acral lentiginous
		nradilaction	the most advanced) stages.	maligna malanama
		The neak		Determining the depth
		incidence is in		of invasion in
		the seventh		millimeters is the most
		decade of life		important factor,
		and it is more		especially in cutaneous
		common in		melanoma prognosis.
		males.		Most of the cases of
				oral melanoma revised
				were diagnosed when
				stages so that the ratio
				of survival significantly
				decreases.
Lentigo malign		Middle-aged.	Dark diamond structures.	The dendritic
		Peak incidence	Slate gray globules points.	melanocytes are
		65-80 years.	Isobara structures.	cytologically atypical
		Developed in	Zig-zag pattern (Brown or	and they are grouped
		areas of sun	blue-grey dots or blue	only on the basis of
		exposure, 86%	combined with lines)	epithelium.
		neau anu neck.		recurrence
Squamous cell carcinoma				They also contain
and salivary glands				dendritic melanocytes
tumors salivary glands				5
Kaposi's sarcoma	Characteristic in	Palate and	It shows red, blue or purple	Histologically, early
	HIVpatients, but also	gingiva	macula in early stages and	onset maculas are
	found in liver transplant		pigmented nodules and	composed of lines of
	patients, drug injecting		plaques in later stages. The	endothelial vessels
	immunocompromised		bleeding	lesions contained
	patients		biecung.	spindle-shaped cells
	putients			interspersed in the
				endothelium.
Smoker's Melanosis	It is a benign dark	Anterior gum	Brown-dark	It usually disappear
	pigmentation in the oral	and interdental		when the patient gives
	mucosa developed in	papilla		up smoking, persistence
		1 1		
	25% of the smokers.			make them candidates
	25% of the smokers.	1 1		make them candidates for pathological
Diffuse and multifocal	25% of the smokers.	Hard nalate and	Brown-dark	make them candidates for pathological analysis.
Diffuse and multifocal drug-induced melanosis	25% of the smokers.	Hard palate and	Brown-dark	make them candidates for pathological analysis. Oral pigmentation dissapears after
Diffuse and multifocal drug-induced melanosis	25% of the smokers. Drugs that cause oral pigmentation are: minocycline,	Hard palate and gingiva	Brown-dark	make them candidates for pathological analysis. Oral pigmentation dissapears after removing the drug
Diffuse and multifocal drug-induced melanosis	25% of the smokers. Drugs that cause oral pigmentation are: minocycline, antimalarials,	Hard palate and gingiva	Brown-dark	make them candidates for pathological analysis. Oral pigmentation dissapears after removing the drug
Diffuse and multifocal drug-induced melanosis	25% of the smokers. Drugs that cause oral pigmentation are: minocycline, antimalarials, chemotherapy and	Hard palate and gingiva	Brown-dark	make them candidates for pathological analysis. Oral pigmentation dissapears after removing the drug
Diffuse and multifocal drug-induced melanosis	25% of the smokers. Drugs that cause oral pigmentation are: minocycline, antimalarials, chemotherapy and antiretroviral drugs used	Hard palate and gingiva	Brown-dark	make them candidates for pathological analysis. Oral pigmentation dissapears after removing the drug
Diffuse and multifocal drug-induced melanosis	25% of the smokers. Drugs that cause oral pigmentation are: minocycline, antimalarials, chemotherapy and antiretroviral drugs used in the treatment of HIV	Hard palate and gingiva	Brown-dark	make them candidates for pathological analysis. Oral pigmentation dissapears after removing the drug
Diffuse and multifocal drug-induced melanosis	25% of the smokers. Drugs that cause oral pigmentation are: minocycline, antimalarials, chemotherapy and antiretroviral drugs used in the treatment of HIV patients.	Hard palate and gingiva	Brown-dark	make them candidates for pathological analysis. Oral pigmentation dissapears after removing the drug
Diffuse and multifocal drug-induced melanosis Simple pigmented	25% of the smokers. Drugs that cause oral pigmentation are: minocycline, antimalarials, chemotherapy and antiretroviral drugs used in the treatment of HIV patients. Malignant tumor often	Hard palate and gingiva	Brown-dark Brown-dark	make them candidates for pathological analysis. Oral pigmentation dissapears after removing the drug Extremely rare
Diffuse and multifocal drug-induced melanosis Simple pigmented malignant bydroaconthome	25% of the smokers. Drugs that cause oral pigmentation are: minocycline, antimalarials, chemotherapy and antiretroviral drugs used in the treatment of HIV patients. Malignant tumor often confused with melanoacanthoma	Hard palate and gingiva Predilection for the limbs of women	Brown-dark Brown-dark	make them candidates for pathological analysis. Oral pigmentation dissapears after removing the drug Extremely rare
Diffuse and multifocal drug-induced melanosis Simple pigmented malignant hydroacanthoma	25% of the smokers. Drugs that cause oral pigmentation are: minocycline, antimalarials, chemotherapy and antiretroviral drugs used in the treatment of HIV patients. Malignant tumor often confused with melanoacanthoma, seborrheic keratoses and	Hard palate and gingiva Predilection for the limbs of women.	Brown-dark Brown-dark	make them candidates for pathological analysis. Oral pigmentation dissapears after removing the drug Extremely rare
Diffuse and multifocal drug-induced melanosis Simple pigmented malignant hydroacanthoma	25% of the smokers. Drugs that cause oral pigmentation are: minocycline, antimalarials, chemotherapy and antiretroviral drugs used in the treatment of HIV patients. Malignant tumor often confused with melanoacanthoma, seborrheic keratoses and Bowen's disease	Hard palate and gingiva Predilection for the limbs of women.	Brown-dark Brown-dark	make them candidates for pathological analysis. Oral pigmentation dissapears after removing the drug Extremely rare
Diffuse and multifocal drug-induced melanosis Simple pigmented malignant hydroacanthoma	25% of the smokers. Drugs that cause oral pigmentation are: minocycline, antimalarials, chemotherapy and antiretroviral drugs used in the treatment of HIV patients. Malignant tumor often confused with melanoacanthoma, seborrheic keratoses and Bowen's disease. Described by Yu-Yun	Hard palate and gingiva Predilection for the limbs of women.	Brown-dark Brown-dark	make them candidates for pathological analysis. Oral pigmentation dissapears after removing the drug Extremely rare

#### References

1. Kennedy Babu SPK, Agila S, Sivaranjani P, Kashyap V. An unusual clinical presentation of gingival melanoacanthoma J Indian Soc Periodontol. 2013; 17: 657–60.

2. Wagner VP, Munhoz EA, Carrard VC, de Oliveira MG, Rados PV, Filho MS. Pigmented lesion with characteristics of malignancy: a case report. Gen Dent. 2013;61:e2-5.

3. Contreras E, Carlos R. Oral melanoacanthosis (melanoachantoma): report of a case and review of the literature. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2005;10:11-2; 9-11.

4. Arava-Parastatidis M, Alawi F, Stoopler TE. Multifocal pigmentation of the oral cavity. JADA. 2011;142:53-6.

5. Krahl D, Altenburg A, Zouboulis CC. Reactive hyperplasias, precancerous and malignant lesions of the oral mucosa. J Dtsch Dermatol Ges. 2008;6:217-32.

6. Galindo P, Padial M, Gómez M, Aneiros J, Mesa F and O'Valle F. Multifocal oral melanoacanthoma and melanotic macula after dental implants surgery. JADA. 2011;142:817-24.

7. Tapia JL, Quezada D, Gaitan S, Hernández JC, Paez C, Aguirre A. Gingival melanoacanthoma: case report and discussion of its clinical relevance. Quintessence Int. 2011;42:253-8.

8. Andrews BT, Trask DK. Oral melanoacanthoma: a case report, a review of the literature, and a new treatment option. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol. 2005;114:677-80.

9. Zemtsov A, Bergfeld WF. Oral melanoacanthoma with prominent spongiotic intraepithelial vesicles. J Cutan Pathol. 1989;16:365-9.

10. Horlick HP, Walther RR, Zegarelli DJ, Silvers DN, Eliezri YD. Mucosal melanotic macule, reactive type: a simulation of melanoma. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1988;19:786-91.

11. Gondak RO, da Silva-Jorge R, Jorge J, Lopes MA, Vargas PA. Oral pigmented lesions: Clinicopathologic features and review of the literature. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2012;17:e919–24.

12. Simon P, Requena L, Sánchez-Yus E. How rare is melanoacanthoma? Arch Dermatol. 1991;127:583-4.

13. Lawrence LM, Farquharson A, Brown RS, Vatanka HO. Oral tissue irritants in toothpaste: a case report. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2013;38:75-8.

14. Sajid M, Ilyas M, Basheer C, Tariq M, Daud M, Baig N, Shehzad F. Impact of nanoparticles on human and environment: review of toxicity factors, exposures, control strategies, and future prospects. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2015;22:4122-43.

15. Cvikl B, Lussi A, Gruber R. The in vitro impact of toothpaste extracts on cell viability. Eur J Oral Sci. 2015; 123:179-85.

16. Whitt JC, Jennings DR, Arendt DM, Vinton JR. Rapidly expanding pigmented lesion of the buccal mucosa. J Am Dent Assoc. 1988;117:620-2.

17. Sexton FM, Maize JC. Melanotic macules and melanoacanthomas of the lip. A comparative study with census of the basal melanocyte population. Am J Dermatopathol. 1987;9:438-44.

18. Yarom N, Hirshberg A, Buchner A. Solitary and multifocal oral melanoacanthoma. Int J Dermatol. 2007;46:1232-6.

19. Goode RK, Crawford BE, Callihan MD, Neville BW. Oral melanoacanthoma. Review of the literature and report of ten cases. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1983;56:622-8.

20. Bouquot JE, Koeppen RG, Haddad Y. Oral and maxillofacial pathology. Case of the month. Toothpaste-induced mucosal etching (TIME). Tex Dent J. 2014;131:574-6,610-2.

21. Brooks JK, Sindler AJ, Scheper MA. Oral melanoacanthoma in an adolescent. Pediatric Dermatolo. 2010;27:384-7.

22. Brooks JK, Sindler AJ, Papadimitriou JC, Francis LA, Scheper MA. Multifocal melanoacanthoma of the gingiva and hard palate. J Periodontol. 2009;80:527-32.

23. Zina AM, Bundino S, Pippione MG. Pigmented hidroacanthoma simplex with porocarcinoma. Light and electron microscopic study of a case. J Cutan Pathol. 1982;9:104-12.

24. Schneider LC, Mesa ML, Haber SM. Melanoacanthoma of the oral mucosa. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1981;52:284-7.

25. Mishima Y, Pinkus H. Benign mixed tumor of melanocytes and malpighian cells. Melanoacanthoma: Its relationship to Bloch's be-

nign non-nevoid melanoepithelioma. Arch Dermatol. 1960;81:539-50.

26. Pérez-Oliva N, Toribio J, Quiñones PA. Histologic aspects of seborrheic keratosis. Med Cutan Ibero Lat Am. 1990;18:70-7.

27. Schlappner OL, Rowden G, Philips TM, Rahim Z. Melanoacanthoma. Ultrastructural and immunological studies. J Cutan Pathol. 1978;5:127-41.