Journal section: Oral Medicine and Pathology Publication Types: Research

doi:10.4317/medoral.21419 http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4317/medoral.21419

Retrospective study of 149 cases of salivary gland carcinoma in a Spanish hospital population

Lucía Collazo-Fernández ¹, Julián Campo-Trapero ², Jorge Cano-Sánchez ³, Rosa García-Martín ⁴, Claudio Ballestín-Carcavilla ⁵

¹ DDS, MSD, Doctorate student. School of Dentistry, Complutense University of Madrid

- ² DDS, PhD, Lecturer. Department of Medicine and Orofacial Surgery, School of Dentistry, Complutense University of Madrid
- ³ DDS, PhD, Private Activity. Specialist in Oral Surgery, UK
- ⁴ Biologist. Department of Pathology of the 12 de Octubre Hospital of Madrid, Madrid
- ⁵ MD, PhD, Physician. Department of Pathology of the 12 de Octubre Hospital of Madrid

Correspondence: Department of Medicine and, Orofacial Surgery (Stomatology III), School of Dentistry, Complutense University of Madrid, Pza. Ramón y Cajal, s/n, 28040, Madrid, Spain, luciacollaz@gmail.com

Please cite this article in press as: Collazo-Fernández L Campo-Trapero J, Cano-Sánchez J, García-Martín R, Ballestín-Carcavilla C. Retrospective study of 149 cases of salivary gland carcinoma in a Spanish hospital population. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. (2017), doi:10.4317/medoral.21419

Received: 11/05/2016 Accepted: 08/01/2017

Abstract

Background: The clinical and histological characteristics of salivary gland tumors vary widely, complicating their diagnosis and management, and major differences have been recorded in the distribution of histopathological diagnoses among different countries.

Material and Methods: This retrospective study reviewed the demographic (age, sex) and clinicopathological (pathology diagnosis and localization) characteristics of cases diagnosed with primary SGC between June 1992 and May 2014 in the Pathology Department of the 12 de Octubre Hospital of Madrid. Diagnoses were recorded according to the 2005 WHO classification.

Results: The study included 149 SCG patients, aged between 11 and 94 yrs, with mean age at onset of 55.56 yrs and peak incidence in the eighth decade of life. The male:female ratio was 1.01. The parotid gland was the most frequently involved (75.2%). The most frequent carcinoma was mucoepidermoid carcinoma (24.2%), followed by acinic cell carcinoma (15.4%).

Conclusions: The demographic and histopathological characteristics of patients with salivary gland carcinomas in Spain, reported here for the first time, are broadly similar to those found in other countries.

Key words: Salivary gland carcinomas, descriptive, salivary glands, salivary gland tumors, head and neck cancer, oral cancer, Spain.

Introduction

The human body contains three major salivary glands (parotid, submandibular, and sublingual) and hundreds of minor salivary glands distributed throughout the upper aerodigestive tract. Numerous types of tumor originate in the salivary glands, and their histopathology is considered to be more complex and diverse than that of tumors at other anatomic sites (1). Malignant neoplasms of salivary glands constitute 1% - 3% of all head and neck cancers and only 0.3% of all malignant neoplasms (2). The reported incidence of malignant and benign salivary gland neoplasms in different counties has ranged between 0.2 and 9.7 and between 1.1 and 2.9 per 100,000 inhabitants, respectively (3). The clinical and histological characteristics of salivary gland tumors vary widely, complicating their diagnosis and management (4), and major differences have been recorded in the distribution of histopathological diagnoses among different countries (5-7). This drawback was addressed by the WHO in 2005 with a reclassification of these neoplasms and the introduction of new entities, establishing 38 subtypes divided between epithelial and mesenchymal cases. Data on the distribution of subtypes and the characteristics of patients are useful to improve our understanding of this disease, but no such information has been published on the distribution of salivary gland carcinomas in Spain. The objective of this study was to determine the distribution of demographic and histopathological characteristics in patients with SGC in a Spanish hospital population.

Material and Methods

Clinicopathological data of patients with primary malignant neoplasms of epithelial salivary gland origin were gathered from patients diagnosed in the Pathology Department of the 12 de Octubre Hospital of Madrid between June 1992 and May 2014. This type of tumor is treated by multiple specialists, including maxillofacial surgeons, otolaryngologists, dentists, plastic surgeons, and radiotherapists. Treatment was not considered in this study because the therapeutic approach varies among the specialties involved. A biopsy report was available for all diagnosed cases. The demographic and clinical characteristics reviewed include sex, age, localization, and histological type.

IBM SPSS Statistics 22 for Windows was used for the statistical analysis. Only definitive diagnoses were considered in the histopathological analysis and only primary lesions derived from salivary glands were included, excluding benign tumors, mesenchymal tumors, congenital anomalies, congenital cysts, chronic sialadenitis, sialo-lithiasis, vascular and lymphatic malformations, salivary gland invasions of skin carcinomas. All cases were recorded according to the 2005 WHO classification.

The current study was approved by Ethical Comitte of the 12 de Octubre Hospital (N° CEIC 15/ 177).

Results

A total of 149 cases with salivary gland carcinomas met the inclusion criteria between June 1992 and May 2014 and were reviewed in this study.

- Sex and age

The age of patients at diagnosis ranged between 11 and 94 yrs, with a mean (standard deviation [SD] age of 55.66 (20.0) yrs and a peak incidence in the eighth decade of life. Three cases (2%) were diagnosed in pediatric age (<16 yrs). The study included 75 males (50.3%) and 74 females (49.7%), a male:female (M:F) ratio of 1.01.

The mean age at onset was lower in females (52.92 [21.3] yrs) than in males (58.43 [18.3] yrs). The age at diagnosis was 35.33 (14.01) yrs in patients with basal cell carcinoma, 47.8 (21.03) yrs in those with mucoepidermoid carcinoma (MEC), 47.8 (19.80) yrs in those with adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC), 49.8 (18.63) yrs in those with acinic cell carcinoma (ACC), 50.9 (18.56) yrs in those with carcinoma ex-pleomorphic adenoma, 50.9 (9.17) yrs In those with PLGA; 70.8 (14.01) yrs in those with squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), and 88 yrs in the patient with large cell carcinoma (Fig. 1). - Localization

The most frequent tumor localization in our series was the parotid gland (n=108, 72.5%) followed by the submandibular gland (n= 22, 14.8%), minor salivary glands (n=16, 10.7%), and sublingual gland (n=3, 2%) (Fig. 2).

(n=10, 10.7%), and sublingual gland (n=3, 2%) (Fig. 2). Among minor salivary glands , the most frequent localization was the lip (n=5), followed by soft palate (n=4), buccal mucosa (n=3), and genian area (n=2).

- Histological diagnosis

The most frequent carcinoma in our series was MEC (n=36, 24.2%), followed by AcCC (n=23; 15.4%), SCC (n=17; 11.4%), and ACC (n=16; 10.8%). MEC was equally prevalent in males and females (M:F=1), while SCC showed the highest male-female ratio (3.25). Myoepithelial carcinoma was the most frequent among females (M:F=0.33), followed by AcCC. Among males, SCC was the second most frequent, followed by ACC (Table 1).

PLGA (n=9) was only observed in minor salivary glands, where it was the most frequent type (56.3%). Among the 23 cases of AcCC, 22 (95.7%) were in the parotid gland, where it was the second most frequent type after MEC. In the submandibular gland, the most frequent type was MEC (n=6), followed by SCC (n=5) and ACC (n= 5) (Table 2). Submandibular gland involvement was more frequent among males (n=18, 81.8%) than among females (n=4, 18.2%); while parotid gland involvement was more frequent among females (n=61; 56.5%) than among males (n=47; 43.5%). There was no gender difference in minor salivary glands involvement (50%).




Fig. 1. Mean age by histological type. AD-NOS= adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified, PLGA= polymorphous low grade adenocarcinoma, AcCC = acinic cell carcinoma, ACC= adenoid cystic carcinoma, SCC=squamous cell carcinoma, CEXPA = carcinoma ex-pleomorphic adenoma, C = undifferentiated carcinoma, and MEC= mucoepidermoid carcinoma.




Fig. 2. Distribution of salivary gland carcinomas by site (n= 149).

Discussion

This study reports on the demographic distribution of patients with different salivary gland carcinomas treated at a major regional Spanish hospital serving around 750,000 patients. Little information is available on the characteristics of salivary gland carcinomas patients in Spain. One study reported a low incidence of major salivary gland carcinomas in this country that tended to decrease between 1991 and 2001 (8) contrasting with reports of an increasing incidence in some other countries, including the USA (9). This discrepancy may be attributable to differences in behaviors and risk factors among populations.

The mean age of the 149 salivary gland carcinomas patients in the present study was 55.6 yrs, within the range of reports in the literature from 45.2 (10) to 58.6 yrs (11). The peak incidence was in the eighth decade, much older than observed in Asia and Nigeria (10-16) and older

Diagnosis	Male	Female	Total	Ratio M:F
Ductal adenocarcinoma	8 (10,7%)	4 (5,4%)	12 (8,1%)	2
AD-NOS	3	1	4 (2,7%)	3
Polymorphouslow-grade ade- nocarcinoma	3	6	9 (6,1%)	0,5
Acinic cell carcinoma	7	16	23 (15,4%)	0,44
Large cell carcinoma	0	1	1 (0,7%)	-
Adenoid cystic carcinoma	10	6	16 (10.8%)	1,67
Basal cell adenocarcinoma	1	2	3 (2%)	0,5
Clear cell carcinoma	1	0	1 (0,7%)	-
Small cell carcinoma	0	1	1 (0,7%)	-
Squamous cell carcinoma	13	4	17 (11,4%)	3,25
Ephitelial-myoephitelial car- cinoma	0	3	3 (2%)	-
Carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma	7	6	13 (8,7%)	1,17
Myoephitelial carcinoma	1	3	4 (2,7%)	0,33
Mucoepidermoid carcinoma	18	18	36 (24,2%)	1
Carcinosarcoma	1	0	1 (0,7%)	-
Cystoadenocarcinoma	1	0	1 (0,7%)	-
Undifferentiated carcinoma	1	3	4 (2,7%)	0,33
Total	75	74	149 (100%)	1,01

Table 1. Classification of salivary gland carcinomas by diagnosis and sex.

Table 2. Distribution of salivary gland carcinomas by site (n= 49).

	Parotid gland	Submandibular gland	Sublingual gland	Minor sali- vary glands	Total
Ductal adenocarcinoma	11 (91,7%)	-	1 (8,3%)	-	12 (100%)
AD-NOS	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	-	-	4
Polymorphouslow-grade ade- nocarcinoma	-	-	-	9 (100%)	9 (100%)
Largue cell adenocarcinoma	1 (100%)	-	-	-	1 (100%)
Acinic cell carcinoma	22 (95,7%)	-	-	1 (4,3%)	23 (100%)
Adenoid cystic carcinoma	7 (43,7%)	5 (31,2%)	1 (6,3%)	3 (18,8%)	16 (100%)
Basal cell carcinoma	3 (100%)	-	-	-	3 (100%)
Clear cell carcinoma	-	-	-	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
Small cell carcinoma	1 (100%)	-	-	-	1 (100%)
Squamous cell carcinoma	11 (64,7%)	5 (29,5%)	-	1 (5,8%)	17 (100%)
Ephitelial-myoephitelial car- cinoma	3 (100%)	-	-	-	3 (100%)
Carcinoma ex –Adenoma pleomorphous	10 (76,9%)	3 (23,1%)	-	-	13 (100%)
Undifferentiated carcinoma	4 (100%)	-	-	-	4(100%)
Myoephitelial carcinoma	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	-	-	4 (100%)
Mucoepidermoid carcinoma	28 (77,8%)	6 (16,6%)	1 (2,7%)	1 (2,7%)	36 (100%)
Carcinosarcoma	-	1 (100%)	-	-	1 (100%)
Cystoadenocarcinoma	1 (100%)	-	-	-	1 (100%)
Total	108	22	3	16	149 (100%)

Fable 3. Comparison of studies on salivary gland carcinomas.

than the seventh decade reported in Brazil (6) and the fifth decade in Mexico (17). There was no gender difference in the present study, with a male:female ratio of 1, similar to findings by Luksic *et al.* (1), Wang *et al.* (5), and Tian *et al.* (15). However, various authors have found salivary gland carcinomas to be more frequent in females (11,13,18,19). Thus, a male:female ratio of 0.96

was reported in one of the longest series, derived from a review of cases in Sweden over a period of almost 30 years records (20) and a ratio of 0.42 in Jordan (7). In contrast, salivary gland carcinomas were found to be more frequent in males than in females by some other researchers (3,6,10,14,16,21). Table 3 summarizes the histologic diagnoses reported in the literature.

AuthorImages (G)Images (G)Images (G)Images (G)Images (G)Images (G)Controlcontrolyears<										
(1) <th< th=""><th>Author</th><th></th><th>Origin</th><th></th><th></th><th>Ü</th><th>ases</th><th></th><th>Diagnosis (%)</th><th>Location(%)</th></th<>	Author		Origin			Ü	ases		Diagnosis (%)	Location(%)
Othern et al. (D) 1997 Swelare give J900-1980 Site Ci- Ope 205-%10_2%C27%419%- Site Site <th< th=""><th></th><th>Country</th><th>Institution</th><th>years</th><th>Ν</th><th>MA</th><th>Ωď</th><th>M:F ratio</th><th>MEC/ AcCC/ACC/SCC/AD-NOS</th><th>PG/ SMG/SLG/MSGs</th></th<>		Country	Institution	years	Ν	MA	Ωď	M:F ratio	MEC/ AcCC/ACC/SCC/AD-NOS	PG/ SMG/SLG/MSGs
Bell e al. (1) 2005 USA Hsspial 1992-2002 S S6 · 0.7 47%%%/18%/18%/7%/· 56%%/13%/4%/ 56%%/13%/4%/4%/ 56%%/13%/4%/4%/ 56%%/13%/4%/4%/ 56%%/13%/4%/4%/ 56%%/13%/4%/4%/ 56%%/13%/4%/4%/ 69%/2%/44/13%/4%/4%/ Alasteb and Alabiteh Joudan Hsspial 1991-2002 30 41 47 · 100 41.6%/5%/34%/3%/6%/6%/16%/ 46/3%/46%/13%/44/13%/46%/13%/44/13%/46%/13%/44/13%/46%/13%/44%/13%/46%/13%/44%/13%/46%/13%/44%/13%/46%/13%/44%/13%/46%/13%/44%/13%/46%/13%/44%/13%/46%/13%/44%/13%/46%/46%/13%/46%/13%/46%/13%/46%/13%/46%/13%	Ostman et al, (20) 1997	Sweden	Swedenregistry	1960-1989	3305	•		96'0	20,5%/10,2%/22,7%/4,9%/-	57,5%/11,5%/1,33%/22,6%
In er of (q) 2005 Brasil Cancenisitatie 1971 $(10 + 0.4, (0.2005, -0.2.%, (1.2.%, 0.3.%, 0.7.%, 0.3.%, 0.7.%, 0.3.%, 0.7.%, 0.3.%, 0.7.%, 0.3$	Bell et al, (11) 2005	NSA	Hospital	1992-2002	85	58,6		0,7	47%/8%%/18%%/7%/-	50%/9%/7%/34%
Al-lateba and Absiduel, (7) 2003 Indual Hospital [19] 34.9 \cdot 0.2 $266\%/13.\%6.\%\%$, $40\%/16.\%/3.3\%6.\%\%$, Absart (21) 2017 Izan Hospital [198+2002 20 \cdot 1.0 $3.66\%/1.3\%6.\%\%/3.\%6.\%\%$, $46\%/16.\%/3.3\%6.\%%$, Absart (21) 2017 Izan Hospital [198+2002 2.0 $- \cdot$ 0.7 $3.2.\%/7.\%/3.\%6.\%\%$, $4.6\%/16.\%/3.3\%6.\%%$, Absart (21) 2017 Izan Hospital [197+2012] 2.9 $- \cdot$ 0.7 $3.2.\%/7.\%/3.\%6.\%\%$, $4.6\%/16.\%/3.3\%6.\%6.\%%$ Absart (10) 2018 Wet China University 197+2012 2.9 4.5 1.3 $3.6\%/7.\%\%$, $4.8\%/\%6.\%%$, $4.8\%/\%6.\%%$, Absart (10) 2018 Wet China University 197-2016 2.3 $4.5\%/5.\%%$, $3.4\%/7.\%$, Absart (10) 2018 Turkey Swetch 198%-20.%%, $3.4\%/7.\%$, $3.4\%/7.\%$, $3.4\%/7.\%$, Absart (10) 2013 East (10) 2013 East (10) 2013 East (10) 2013 $2.2\%/5.\%$, $2.2\%/5.\%$, $2.$	Ito et al, (6) 2005	Brasil	Cancerinstitute	1972-2001	161		Ta	1,09	41,6%/5,6%/24,2%/1,2%/4,3%	49,7%/6,2%/-/44,1%
Amari, (.1) 2007 Imar, (.1) 2007 Imar, (.2) 2008 Imar, (.	Al-kateeb and Ababneh, (7) 2007	Jordan	Hospital	1991-2002	30	54,9	ı	0,42	26,6%0/13,3%0/43,3%0/6,7%0/-	40%/16,7%/-/43,3%
Interact, (10) 2008 UK Inversity 1974-2005 260 - 0 3.2,7%/13%/21%/61%/65 2.3,8%/57%/63%/65 Literact, (10) 2008 Nest China University 1997-2005 1992 45.2 44-6 1,33 18,8%/6,7%/13%/13%/12%/16%/65 61%/15%/61%/63% 23,8%/57%/63%/63% Kiber are, (12) 2008 India University 1997-2006 36.2 44-8 1,03 19%/77%/25%/05% 61%/15%/61%/63% 43,5%/61%/63%/63% Tilakarature era, (12) 2009 Stritt are, (13) 2009 Stritt are, (13) 2009 31 31,9%/73%/65% 43,5%/63%/65%/65%/64%/17%/65% 33,4%/61%/64%/64%/75%/05%/64%/64%/75%/05%/64%/64%/75%/05%/64%/64%/75%/05%/64%/64%/75%/05%/64%/64%/75%/05%/05%/64%/75%/05%/64%/75%/05%/64%/75%/05%/64%/75%/05%/64%/75%/05%/64%/75%/05%/64%/75%/05%/64%/75%/05%/05%/64%/75%/05%/05%/64%/75%/05%/05%/64%/75%/05%/05%/64%/75%/05%/05%/64%/75%/05%/05%/05%/64%/75%/05%/05%/05%/05%/05%/05%/05%/05%/05%/0	Ansari, (21) 2007	Iran	Hospital	1984-2003	41	47		1,05	36,6%/-/7,3%/7,3%/14,6%	46,3%/14,6%/-/39%
Literal, (10) 2008 West China University 1995-3002 132 45.5 4-6 1,33 188%66, 1%8, 1%7, 1%8, 1%8, 1%7, 3%0, 3%0, 3%0, 3%0, 3%0, 3%0, 3%0, 3%0	Jones et al, (18) 2008	UK	University	1974-2005	260			0,7	32,7%/7,3%/23,8%/0,4%/5%	23,8%/5,7%/3,5%/67%
Subhabraj. (1) 2008 India University 1991-2006 357 352 45-8 0,79 43,1%/32% 6 [%/15%/32% 6 [%/15%/32% Tilkkarature et al. (13) 2009 Sri Lanka University 1997-2007 357 322 47-8 0,79 43,1%/35%/36% 6 [%/15%/36% 6 [%/15%/35%/36%/37%/35%/34%/37%/35%/34%/35%/34%/35%/34%/35%/34%/35%/34%/34%/77%/33%/34%/34%/77%/33%/34%/34%/77%/33%/34%/34%/77%/33%/34%/34%/77%/35%/34% Kara et al. (13) 2010 Turkcy Several 1987-2007 2329 - 4* 1 30,1%/77%/35%/36%/35%/36%/35%/36%/35%/36%/35%/34%/35%/35%/34%/35%/35%/34%/35%/35%/34%/35%/35%/34%/35%/35%/34%/35%/35%/34%/35%/35%/34%/35%/35%/34%/35%/35%/34%/35%/35%/34%/35%/35%/35%/35%/34%/35%/35%/35%/35%/34%/35%/35%/35%/35%/35%/35%/35%/35%/35%/35	Li et al, (10) 2008	West China	University	1995-2002	1392	45,2	4ª-6ª	1,33	18,8%/6,1%/18,1%/7,1%/16,4%	48,5%/9,6%/3,1%/38,7%
Tiakaratue et al. (13) 2010 Si: Lanka University 1987-2003 357 357 42. 0.79 43.1%65%66 %66 %66 %66 %66 %66 %66 %66 %66 %	Subhashraj, (12) 2008	India	University	1991-2006	262	56	6 ^a	1,08	19%/7%/25%/13%/12%	61%/15%/<1%/23%
Kara <i>et al.</i> (1J 2010 Turkey Several 1987-2006 34, 1987-2006 34, 1987-796, 33, 34, 1987, 33, 34, 1987, 798, 33, 34, 1987, 798, 33, 34, 1987, 798, 32, 298, 558 Finan, (15) 2010 East China University 1985-2007 2239 $ 4^{a}$ 1 30, 1967, 1996, 966, 196, 196 34, 1967, 796, 32, 396, 558, 558 Finan, (15) 2010 East China University 2001-2011 124 54 7^{a} 1, 06 31, 496, 176, 1696, 196 34, 1967, 776, 32, 366 Puscea <i>et al.</i> (17) 2012 Croatia University 1985-2009 279 56 $-$ 1 30, 1966, 196, 166, 196 34, 1967, 776, 32, 366 Mestic University 1985-2009 279 56 $-$ 1 30, 1966, 126, 196 34, 1967, 7766, 126, 156 34, 1967, 7766, 126, 156 34, 1967, 7786, 20, 20, 566, 1566	Tilakaratne <i>et al</i> , (13) 2009		University	1990-2007	357	52	4ª-8ª	62'0	43,1%/5%/26,9%/-/3,6%	14%/7,8%/0,84%/77,3%
Tian, (15) 2010 East China University 1985-2007 2239 - 4^{a} 1 30,1%/7,9%/30,4%/1,6%/6,1% 31,4%/4%/17,75/7-16,6% 20,2%/7,2%/147,6% Fonseca <i>et al</i> , (17) 2012 Brasil University 2001-2011 124 54 7" 1,06 31,4%/4%/17,75/7-16,6% 20,2%/7,2%/147,6% Hospica University 1985-2009 279 56 - 1 4,5,2%/8,6%/3%/25%/4/- 20,2%/7,2%/17%/35%/25%/4/- Mesico University 1985-2007 83 - 5" 0.8 53,1%/17.5%/25%/4/- 20,2%/7.5%/57%/4/- Mesico University 1985-2007 83 - 5" 0.8 53,1%/12.5%/52%/4/- 26,5%/12%/11.5% 37,6%/7.5%/07.5%/61.9% 34,1%/7.7%/35%/44/- Mesico University 1985-2012 146 5.2,9 - 1,57 0,18 53,1%/12.5%/07.5%/07.5%/11.5% 32,6%/10.5%/0.6%/61.9% 34,1%/7.7%/35%/0.6%/61.9% Milit <i>a al</i> , (3) 2013 Tian University 194 52.9 - 1,57 0,9%/07.5%/01.3%/01.5%/01.5%/01.5%/0	Kara <i>et al</i> , (14) 2010	Turkey	Several	1987-2008	30	48,1	3ª-5ª	1,64	105/3,3%/36,6%/6,6%/16,6%	43,3%/13,3%/-/33,3%
Fonseca et al, (1) 2012 Basil University 2001-2011 124 54 7° 1,06 31,4%,4%,017,75/-76,6% 20,2%/17,5%/-47,6% Luksic et al, (1) 2012 Croatia University 1985-2009 279 56 - 1 45,2%,6%,6%/-33,7%,0,7%,6,1% 45,2%/1,5%/-47,6% Mejia-Velazquez et al, (1) 2012 University 1985-2009 279 56 - 1 45,2%,6%/-3,7%,0,7%,6,1% 30,2%,7%,17%,0,2%,44% Mejia-Velazquez et al, (1) 2013 Turkey Hospital 1984-2012 146 52.9 56 - 1,57 19,1%,6,7%,0,7%,6,1% 32,2%/10,5%/10,6%	Tian, (15) 2010	East China	University	1985-2007	2239		4ª	1	30,1%/7,9%/30,4%/1,6%/6,1%	34,1%/7,7%/3,2%/55%
Luksic <i>et al.</i> (1) 2012 Croatia University 1985-2009 279 56 - 1 45,2%,6,6,%,3,7%,0,7%,6,1% 45,2%,7,5%,7,5%,7,5%,7,5%,7,5%,7,5%,7,5%,	Fonseca <i>et al</i> , (17) 2012	Brasil	University	2001-2011	124	54	Ţa	1,06	31,4%,4%,17,75/-/26,6%	20,2%/7,2%/-/47,6%
Mejia-Velazquez et al, (19) 2012 México University 2000-2007 83 -7 6^{3} $53,19\%/12,5\%/25\%/12,5\%/25\%/12,5\%/25\%/12,5\%/12,5\%/06\%/16\%/06\%/36$ Kizil et al, (3) 2013 Turkey Hospital 1984-2012 146 $52,9$ $ 1,57$ 19,1\%/5,9\%/25,7\%/12,9\%/12,9\% $52,6\%/10,5\%/0,66\%/36$ Kizil et al, (3) 2013 Turkey Hospital 19 años 221 $47,9$ $5^{3}-6^{3}$ $1,2$ $27,6\%/2,7\%/12,9\%/12,9\%/12,9\%/12,9\% -7,-1/- Saghravanian et al, (3) 2013 Nigeria Hospital 19 años 221 47,9 5^{3}-6^{3} 1,2 27,6\%/12,9\%/13,9\%/13,9\%/13,9\% -7,-1/- Saghravanian et al, (22) Iran University 1971-2009 80 45,9 -7 -7,-1/ -7,-1/- Saghravanian et al, (5) 2015 Iran University 1971-2009 80 45,9 -7,-2\%/13,0/13,0/9,0/13,0/9,0/13,0/9,0/13,0/9,0/13,0/13,0/12,0/13,0/13,0/13,0/12,0/12,0/13,0/13,0/12,0/13,0/12,0/12,0/12,0/12,0/12,0/12,0/12,0/12$	Luksic <i>et al</i> , (1) 2012	Croatia	University	1985-2009	279	56		1	45,2%/8,6%/33,7%/0,7%/6,1%	45,2%/7,5%/2,5%/44,8%
Kizil <i>et al.</i> (3) 2013 Turkey Hospital 1984-2012 146 52,9 - 1,57 19,1%/5,9%/25,7%/7,2%/11,2% 52,6%/10,5%/0,66%/3 Lawal <i>et al.</i> (16) 2013 Nigeria Hospital 19 años 221 47,9 $5^a \sigma^a$ 1,2 27,6%/42,1%/1,3%/1,3%/13,6% 52,6%/10,5%/0,66%/36 Saghravanian <i>et al.</i> (22) Iran University 1971-2009 80 $45,9$ $ 41,3\%/-28,8\%/-115\%$ MSG 83,75%/MSG 16 Saghravanian <i>et al.</i> (22) Iran University 1971-2009 80 $45,9$ $ 41,3\%/-28,8\%/-115\%$ MSG 83,75%/MSG 16 Vang <i>et al.</i> (5) 2015 Northeast Hospital 2004-2014 574 51 $0,99$ $27,9\%/14,6\%/20,9\%/3,3\%/4\%$ $48,6\%/11/3/3\%/3/7$ Present study Spain Hospital 1992-2014 149 $55,7$ 8^a 1 $24,2\%/15,4\%/10,8\%/11,4\%/2,7\%$ $72,5\%/14,8\%/2,0\%/10,7\%$ N = cases, MA = mean are. PD= peak decade, MEC=mucoepidermoid carcinoma, AD-NOS = adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified, PG = partoid gland, SMG = submandibular gland, SLG = subling ual gland, MSG = major salivary	Mejia-Velazquez <i>et al</i> , (19) 2012	México	University	2000-2007	83		S ^a	0,8	53,1%/12,5%/25%/-/-	-/-/-
Lawal et al, (16) 2013NigeriaHospital19 años22147,9 5^3-6^a 1,2 $27,6\%/2,7\%/42,1\%1,3\%13,6\%$ $-/-/-$ Saghravanian et al, (22)IranUniversity1971-200980 $45,9$ $ 41,3\%/-28,8\%/-115\%$ MSG $83,75\%$ MSGs16,Saghravanian et al, (22)IranUniversity1971-200980 $45,9$ $ 41,3\%/-28,8\%/-115\%$ MSG $83,75\%$ MSGs16,NortheastHospital $2004-2014$ 574 51 $6,9$ $27,9\%/14,6\%/20,9\%/3,3\%/4\%$ $48,6\%/11,\%3\%/37,4$ Present studySpainHospital $1992-2014$ 149 $55,7$ 8^a 1 $24,2\%/15,4\%/10,8\%/11,4\%/2,7\%$ $72,5\%/14,8\%/2\%/10,1$ N = cases, MA= mean age , PD= peak decade, MEC=mucoepidermoid carcinoma, AcCC=acinic cell carcinoma, CCA= adenoid cystic carcinoma, SCC= squamMSGs= minor salivary glandsMSG= unior salivary gland, SMG= submandibular gland, SMG= sublingual gland, MSG= major salivary gland $MSG= major salivary and salivary gland$	Kizil et al, (3) 2013	Turkey	Hospital	1984-2012	146	52,9		1,57	19,1%/5,9%/25,7%/7,2%/11,2%	52,6%/10,5%/0,66%/36,2%
Saghravanian et al, (22)IranUniversity1971-20098045,941,3%/-/28,8%/-/15%MSG 83,75%/MSGs16,2013NortheastHospital2004-2014574510,9927,9%/14,6%/20,9%/3,3%/4%48,6%/11%/3%/37,4Wang et al, (5) 2015NortheastHospital2004-2014574510,9927,9%/14,6%/20,9%/3,3%/4%48,6%/11%/3%/37,4Present studySpainHospital1992-201414955,78°124,2%/15,4%/10,8%/11,4%/2,7%72,5%/14,8%/29//10,7N = cases, MA= mean age, PD= peak decade, MEC=mucoepidermoid carcinoma, ACC=acinic cell carcinoma, ACC=acinic cell carcinoma, CCA= adenoid cystic carcinoma, SCC= squamc carcinoma, ACC=acinic cell carcinoma, CCA= adenoid cystic carcinoma, SCC= squamc carcinoma, ACG= sublingual gland, MSG= major salivary glandsMSGs= minor salivary glandsSMG= submandibular gland, SMG= submandibular gland, MSG= sublingual gland, MSG= major salivary	Lawal <i>et al</i> , (16) 2013	Nigeria	Hospital	19 años	221	47,9	5ª-6ª	1,2	27,6%/2,7%/42,1%1,3%13,6%	-/-/-
Wang et al, (5) 2015Northeast ChinaHospital2004-2014574510,9927,9%/14,6%/20,9%/3,3%/4%48,6%/11%/3%/37,4Present studySpainHospital1992-201414955,78ª124,2%/15,4%/10,8%/11,4%/2,7%72,5%/14,8%/2%/10,7%N = cases, MA= mean age, PD= peak decade, MEC=mucoepidermoid carcinoma, AcCC=acinic cell carcinoma, CCA= adenoid cystic carcinoma, SCC= squame carcinoma, AD-NOS= adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified, PG= parotid gland, SMG= submandibular gland, SLG= sublingual gland, MSG= major salivary glands	Saghravanian <i>et al</i> , (22) 2013	Iran	University	1971-2009	80	45,9	•	•	41,3%/-/28,8%/-/15%	MSG 83,75%/MSGs16,25%
Present studySpainHospital1992-201414955,7 8^a 1 $24,2\%/10,8\%/11,4\%/2,7\%$ $72,5\%/14,8\%/2\%/10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,1$	Wang <i>et al</i> , (5) 2015	Northeast China	Hospital	2004-2014	574	51		66'0	27,9%/14,6%/20,9%/3,3%/4%	48,6%/11%/3%/37,4%
N = cases, MA= mean age, PD= peak decade, MEC=mucoepidermoid carcinoma, AcCC=acinic cell carcinoma, CCA= adenoid cystic carcinoma, SCC= squamc carcinoma, AD-NOS= adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified, PG= parotid gland, SMG= submandibular gland, SLG= sublingual gland, MSG= major salivary MSGs= minor salivary glands	Present study	Spain	Hospital	1992-2014	149	55,7	8ª	1	24,2%/15,4%/10,8%/11,4%/2,7%	72,5%/14,8%/2%/10,7%
	N = cases, MA= mean a carcinoma, AD-NOS= a MSGs= minor salivary g	ge, PD= peal denocarcinor flands	c decade, MEC= na not otherwise	=mucoepidern specified, PC	ioid carcii i= parotid	noma, Ao gland, S	cCC=acin SMG= sub	ic cell car	cinoma, CCA= adenoid cystic car ar gland, SLG= sublingual gland,	cinoma, SCC= squamous cel , MSG= major salivary gland

The parotid gland was the most frequent localization (72.5%) in our study, as in most large case series (3,5,10-12,14,20). However, minor salivary glands were the most frequent site in some other studies (7,13,15,18)and were the second most frequent in most reports (3,6,10,11,14,20,21). The type of center in which cases originate may affect this result, given that university centers are more likely to encounter minor salivary glands lesions than major salivary gland lesions, which are generally treated in hospital settings. In the present series, the submandibular gland was the second most frequent localization, followed by minor salivary glands, similar to the report by Saghravanian et al. (22), in which 16.25% of cases were minor salivary glands. It should be taken into account that the biopsy results are classified by anatomic site in our hospital and some minor salivary glands may be categorized as of intraoral rather than salivary gland origin, producing a possible underestimation. Only 2% of the salivary gland carcinomas were in the sublingual gland, consistent with previous findings of the very low prevalence (1,3,5,10,12,13,15,18,20) or absence of cases at this site (6,7,14,17,21), although a prevalence of 7% was reported in one study (11). Among the minor salivary glands, the most frequent salivary gland carcinomas localization was the palate, as also reported in other similar series (1,3,5,7,16).

MEC was the most frequent histopathological diagnosis (24.2%), as found by various authors (5,6,11,13,18,19,21,22) followed by AcCC (15.4%) and SCC (11.4%). In some other series, the percentages of MEC and ACC cases were similar (10,15) or ACC was the most frequent (3,7,5,12,14,16,20). It should be borne in mind that ACC frequently affects minor salivary glands and that PLGA was considered an ACC in the past (6). Since its first description in 1983, a relatively high frequency of PLGA has been reported in some studies (23). Both ACC and PLGA show a cribriform morphology and perineural infiltration; therefore, the differential diagnosis, which is highly critical for the management and prognosis of patients, can be difficult in a small biopsy (24). In our series, 6.1% of salivary gland carcinomas were PLGAs, similar to findings of Al-kateeb et al. (7), and they were all localized in minor salivary glands. A study by de Souza et al. (8) found differences in PLGA frequency between continents, ranging from 3.9% in Asia to 20% in Oceanía, consistent with data recently published by Wang et al. (5). Luksic ateeb and Ababneh (7) reported no cases of PLGA, which may in part explain why ACC was the most frequent diagnosis (43.3%). Kizil et al. (3) observed PLGA in 5.9% of cases and, although ACC was the most frequent, the percentage of cases was lower (25.7%). A higher percentage of SCC cases (11.4%) was recorded in the present series than in other studies (1,6,18,20), and

one explanation may be the classification of high-grade MECs as SCCs or undetermined adenocarcinomas in some cases (13). In most studies, either MEC or ACC was the most frequent diagnosis. AcCC was the second most frequent diagnosis in our patients (15.4%), with a similar frequency to that reported in Mexico (19) and Jordan (7). According to the WHO in 2005 and Kizil et al. (3), the AcCC is slightly more common among females, and in our series, the male:female ratio was 0.44. PLGA was more frequent in females, as also reported by Jones et al. (18). According to other authors, ACC (4,14) and SCC (3) were more frequent in males. The mean ages at diagnosis in our series were consistent with the few published data on this variable, being around 50 years of age for ACC (3) and MEC (3,6) and higher (70.8 yrs) for SCC (5,18).

In summary, the distribution of salivary gland carcinomas in this Spanish population, including the histological type and the age and sex of patients, was similar to previous reports in different countries (Table 3) except for a higher frequency of AcCC and SCC cases. These results confirm the higher frequency of AcCC and PLGA in females and of SCC and ACC in males. Further epidemiological studies in European populations are warranted to improve understanding of salivary gland carcinomas and develop strategies for their early diagnosis and management.

References

1. Lukšić I, Virag M, Manojlović S, Macan D. Salivary gland tumours: 25 years of experience from a single institution in Croatia. J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2012 Apr;40(3):e75-81

2. Bhattacharyya N, Fried MP. Determinants of survival in parotid gland carcinoma: a population-based study. Am J Otolaryngol. 2005 Jan Feb;26(1):39-44.

3. Kızıl Y, Aydil U, Ekinci Ö, et al. Salivary Gland Tumors in Turkey: Demographic Features and Histopathological Distribution of 510 Patients. Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery 2013;65(Suppl 1):112-120.

4. Speight PM, Barrett AW. Salivary gland tumours. Oral Dis. 2002 Sep;8(5):229-40.

5. Wang XD, Meng LJ, Hou TT, Huang SH. Tumours of the salivary glands in northestern China: a retrospective study of 2508 patients. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2015 Feb;53 (2): 132-7.

6. Ito FA, Ito K, Vargas PA, de Almeida OP, Lopes MA. Salivary gland tumors in a Brazilian population: a retrospective study of 496 cases. Int J Oral MaxillofacSurg. 2005 Jul;34(5):533-6

7. Al-Khateeb TH, Ababneh KT. Salivary tumors in north Jordanians: a descriptive study. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2007 May; 103(5):e53-9.

8. de Souza DL, Bernal MM, Curado MP. [Trends in the incidence of major salivary gland cancer in Spain (1978-2002)].Gac Sanit. 2012 Jan-Feb;26(1):65-8.

9. Davies L, Welch HG. Epidemiology of head and neck cancer in the United States. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2006 Sep; 135(3) : 451-7.

10. Li LJ, Li Y, Wen YM, Liu H, Zhao HW. Clinical analysis of salivary gland tumor cases in West China in past 50 years. Oral Oncol. 2008 Feb;44(2):187-92.

11. Bell RB, Dierks EJ, Homer L, Potter BE. Management and outcome of patients with malignant salivary gland tumors. J Oral Maxillofac Surg, 2005 Jul;63(7):917-28.

12. Subhashraj K. Salivary gland tumors: a single institution experience in India.Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2008 Dec;46(8):635-8.

13. Tilakaratne WM, Jayasooriya PR, Tennakoon TM, Saku T. Epithelial salivary tumors in Sri Lanka: a retrospective study of 713 cases. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2009 Jul;108(1):90-8.

14. Kara MI, Göze F, Ezirganli S, Polat S, Muderris S, Elagoz S. Neoplasms of the salivary glands in a Turkish adult population. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.2010 Nov 1;15(6):e880-5.

15. Tian Z, Li L, Wang L, Hu Y, Li J. Salivary gland neoplasms in oral and maxillofacial regions: a 23-year retrospective STUDY of 6982 cases in an eastern Chinese population. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2010 Mar;39(3):235-42.

16. Lawal AO, Adisa AO, Kolude B, Adeyemi BF, Olajide MA. A REVIEW of 413 salivary gland tumors in the head and neck region.J ClinExpDent. 2013 Dec1;5(5):e218-22.

17. Fonseca FP, Carvalho Mde V, de Almeida OP, Rangel AL, Takizawa MC, Bueno AG, Vargas PA. Clinicopathologic analysis of 493 cases of salivary gland tumors in a Southern Brazilian population. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2012Aug;114(2):230-9. 18. Jones AV, Craig GT, Speight PM, Franklin CD. The range and demographics of salivary gland tumours diagnosed in a UK population. Oral Oncol. 2008Apr;44(4):407-17

19. Mejía-Velázquez CP, Durán-Padilla MA, Gómez-Apo E, Quezada-Rivera D, Gaitán-Cepeda LA. Tumors of the salivary gland in Mexicans. A retrospective STUDYof 360 cases. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2012 Mar 1;17(2):e183-9.

20. Ostman J, Anneroth G, Gustafsson H, Tavelin B. Malignant salivary gland tumours in Sweden 1960-1989--an epidemiological STUDY. Oral Oncol. 1997May;33(3):169-76.

21. Ansari MH. Salivary gland tumors in an Iranian population: a retrospective study of 130 cases. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2007 Nov;65(11):2187-94.

22. Saghravanian N, Ghazi N, Saba M. Clinicopathologic evaluation of salivary gland neoplasms: a 38-year retrospective STUDY in Iran. Ann Diagn Pathol. 2013Dec;17(6):522-5.

23. De Araujo VC, Passador-Santos F, Turssi C, Soares AB, de Araujo NS. Polymorphous low-grade adenocarcionma: an analysis of epidemiological studies and hints for phatologist. Diagn pathol. 2013 Jan 15; 8 : 6.

24. Anderson JN Jr, Beenken SW, Crowe R, Soong SJ, Peters G, Maddox WA, Urist MM. Prognostic factors in minor salivary gland cancer. Head Neck. 1995 Nov-Dec;17(6):480-6.

Conflicts of interest:

None to declare