



# RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Rents instead of land. Credit and peasant indebtedness in late medieval Mediterranean Iberia: the kingdom of Valencia

Antoni Furió\*

University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain \*Corresponding author. Email: Antoni.Furio@uv.es

#### Abstract

The literature on the rural economy of the high and late Middle Ages has long established a close correlation between three significant features of the period: the spread of rural credit, the dynamism of the peasant land market and the expropriation of peasant land by the creditors, usually veomen or urban landowners. There has even been talk for some countries (northern Italy) of a deliberate strategy of territorial conquest, insofar as the credit provided by urban lenders would aim at the expropriation of land from insolvent debtors. This article studies for the Mediterranean Spain of the late Middle Ages, and in particular for the old kingdom of Valencia, other objectives of rural credit and other alternatives to peasant expropriation in case of insolvency. Based on the rich archival holdings of the region, mainly notarial and judicial records, the article studies the dissemination of rural credit, the different modalities (short and long term), the motivations of creditors and debtors, the types of interest, the guarantors and the goods given as collateral for the loans, their confiscation in case of delay or insolvency. It concludes that, unlike elsewhere, the creditors, rather than in land, were interested in rents, that is, in the annuities paid to them by the debtors as interest on the loans obtained. The spread of long-term credit, therefore, not only did not threaten or subvert but also strengthened a system of land ownership, tenure and management based on regular rents extraction.

# 1. Introduction

The expropriation of the peasantry has been widely considered a condition for the development of agrarian capitalism.<sup>1</sup> The forms and especially the chronology of this expropriation would mark the differences between England (and later the Low Countries were also added) and France and a good part of the European continent, where the ownership of land remained in the hands of the peasants until the end of the eighteenth century and even the beginning of the nineteenth.<sup>2</sup> French historians have never, however, shared this interpretation, let alone the idea of an alleged economic backwardness in France, for not following the same path as England (and the Low Countries) and having experienced greater resistance from the peasant ownership of land and more durable over time. In France (and also in Iberia as we will see in this article), the spread of rural credit and the dynamism

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

of the land market did not result, in general terms, in the dispossession of the peasantry, which continued to maintain its ownership and rights over land during the late Middle Ages and much of modern times. On the other hand, the idea that innovation and economic growth could only come from large entrepreneurs or urban owners, something that happened in England, but not in France, where a secure and complacent peasant tenantry was left in a relatively conservative occupation of its land, is still a prejudice, as many of the studies carried out in recent years on peasant innovation confirm.<sup>3</sup>

The Brenner debate – and much of the subsequent discussion – focused mainly on the contrast between England and France, overlooking Italy and Iberia, which could have contributed important nuances. However, the case of Italy is particularly remarkable for the close connection that historians have established between the spread of rural credit – and indebtedness – and the expropriation of the peasantry. The counterpart of loans was in many cases the loss of land by indebted peasants, unable to repay the credits received. All together, the expansion of rural credit and peasant indebtedness, but also the legal framework of peasant property (allodial title and emphyteutic tenure), the structure of peasant holding - (fragmented into various plots) population growth, the inheritance system and the increase in economic inequality, contributed to activate a dynamic land market, which had winners and losers. Among the first, many creditors - well-off peasants, urban landowners - who took advantage of the arrears of their debtors to appropriate their lands and increase their own farms; and among the latter, failing debtors who could not repay the loans or even pay the interest and saw how they were dispossessed of their plots or even of the entire tenure, offered as collateral or sold judicially to pay back their debts. Some authors, mostly specialists in centralnorthern Italy, and particularly in Tuscany, have even spoken of a strategy of territorial conquest by urban lenders, who invested in rural credit in order to obtain their debtors' parcels, taking advantage of the latter's inability to repay the loans, and with them amass large holdings called *poderi*, managed and worked by sharecroppers called *mezzadri*. The expropriation of the peasants, either by taking advantage of their indebtedness, as in north-central Italy, or by other means, constitutes a pivotal theme in the accounts of the transition from feudalism to capitalism. In fact, the literature on the rural economy of the high and late Middle Ages has long established a close correlation between three significant features of the period: the spread of rural credit, the dynamism of the peasant land market and the expropriation of peasant land by creditors, usually yeomen or urban landowners.

However, things were not like that – peasant indebtedness necessarily leading to expropriation – everywhere, nor did the connection between the three abovementioned processes always work. It would be good to compare the case of northern Italy with that of England and the Low Countries, in which the peasant expropriation process seems to have taken place, and even better to address Western Europe as a whole. In any case, the development in Iberia is more similar to that in France, where peasant property better with stood pressure from lords and urban owners and investors. Peasant expropriation was not there the consequence of the expansion of credit, because the creditors, as we shall see, were not interested in appropriating the land and changing the systems of exploitation, as in Italy and

England, but in continuing to receive rents, which did not necessarily translate into a lack of technical and productive innovation or economic backwardness. A more general view, covering the whole of Europe, might show that, although many phenomena were similar in different countries, the consequences were not the same. The use of mortgages to guarantee loans with land or other property helped markedly to increase the spread of rural credit, far beyond that achieved by the main and traditional forms of credit in the countryside, namely instalment purchases, obligations and small usury loans; all of the latter were mostly secured by moveables, including future sales of harvests and existing stock.<sup>5</sup> If this was not the case in England, this was due, according to Phillipp Schofield, to 'constraints associated with unfree land', which prevented contracting mortgages.<sup>6</sup>

These constraints, however, do not seem to have been decisive or even to have existed in southern Europe, where peasants mostly owned the land in allodial title or in emphyteusis. The latter, widespread since the late twelfth and early thirteenth centuries, had many traits in common with customary copyhold in England and, like the free (allodial) property, it allowed the tenant to sell, exchange, bequeath, donate or lease the land. Peasants could therefore gain access to a larger volume of credit by offering their plots as collateral or securities. In many regions of feudal Europe peasant tenure was not a compact holding or farm, but a miscellaneous set of small scattered parcels of different qualities, sizes, crops and legal status, since they could be both allodial (free) and subject to the seigneurial domain. In both cases, whether the plots were in freehold or emphyteutic tenure, peasants could mortgage them freely, with, in the second case, the authorisation of the lord, who regularly granted it because he received a share of the sale price (lluïsme / laudemio, alienation fine), which was very lucrative in a period of population growth and inflation but fixed rents. 8 Both allodial title and emphyteutic tenure therefore allowed peasants to mortgage or sell their land, thus giving rise, on the one hand, to the creation and expansion of consolidated or funded debt, much more versatile than pledge loans or short-term obligations, and, on the other, to the development and vitality of a very dynamic peasant land market, due to the impossibility of satisfying the debts that weighed on the plot. Not only were lords not against this peasant land market, but they were clear beneficiaries of the increased activity in it, as the manor accounts show.<sup>10</sup>

For one reason or another, because the lords and urban landowners received more profits from the continuous mercantile buying and selling of the land and from the regular rents they received from their long-term credits secured by real estate, the expropriation of the peasant land was not necessarily the best option and the former two options, continuous mercantile transactions in land and regular rents, were considered more beneficial. In this sense, my aim in this article is to analyse whether the expansion of rural credit and the land market led to peasant expropriation, especially in highly urbanised areas, where a greater interest could be presumed on the part of urban landowners to take direct control of farms around the cities. Most historians argue that this was the case in the countryside in central-northern Italy, strongly dominated by the cities and the penetration of urban capital. It was not, however, in Mediterranean Iberia, a region that was highly urbanised, with large cities such as Barcelona and Valencia, and also with a strong presence of urban capital in the countryside. There, however, unlike in

Italy, rather than the dispossession of the peasantry, taking advantage of peasant indebtedness, what creditors pursued was the regular and secure reception of rents, in the form of annuities, <sup>12</sup> for the loans granted.

How important could this disparity be and how did it reflect the different social and economic structure of the region? How strong were the rights of landowners and peasants? If it was not land, what were creditors investing in loans looking for? And on the other side of the transaction, why did peasant families need credit? For consumption, for investment or for both at the same time? Was peasant indebtedness structural, systemic, and to what extent did it weaken or strengthen the feudal system, based precisely on the receipt of rents, which was what the interest from long-term loans offered in the form of annuities?

To discuss these arguments, I will focus on the kingdom of Valencia, in Mediterranean Iberia, based on judicial documentation, particularly the records of the local courts of some towns and villages from the thirteenth to the fifteenth centuries. The structure of the article, after the introduction, is as follows: in section two, the kingdom of Valencia, the forms of land ownership and the archival sources are presented; in section three, short and long-term credit and indebtedness is studied from notarial and judicial sources; in section four, prosecution of arrears and auction sales of debtors' assets are analysed; finally, the last section presents results, discussion and conclusions. The nuances are always very important and, in this article, the quantitative approach, based on a sizeable sample of data – thousands of documents from several judicial courts – will alternate with the qualitative one, allowing us to see into individual cases and observe the motives and reasons of the actors, both lenders and debtors.

# 2. The kingdom of Valencia, the forms of land ownership and the archival sources

The kingdom of Valencia was a new political entity created in the thirteenth century on the lands seized from the Muslims in the eastern part of the Iberian Peninsula (the Sharq al-Andalus). These new territories could have been directly annexed to Aragon or Catalonia, as had happened in previous phases of the Christian-feudal expansion towards the south, but instead the conquering monarch, James I, decided to create a new kingdom, with his own laws and institutions, within the framework of the dynastic union that the Crown of Aragon constituted.<sup>13</sup> The same occurred with the neighbouring kingdom of Mallorca, conquered a decade earlier (1229), and with which that of Valencia had many similarities, since most of the settlers of both new kingdoms came from Aragon and, above all, Catalonia, as well as most of the political institutions, public offices and even the social system (feudalism) introduced by the conquerors to replace the previous one. However, and in this case unlike Mallorca, where the native Muslim population disappeared after a few years, exterminated or sold as slave labour in the Christian ports of the Mediterranean, in Valencia it survived for almost four more centuries, until its final expulsion in 1609. Muslims were still needed to work on the land, because there were not enough Christian settlers to do this. While in the thirteenth century Muslims were still the majority of the population of the new Christian kingdom, in the following centuries their numbers fell to

about a third of the total population.<sup>14</sup> In fact, they continued to be important in the hamlets and villages of the countryside of Alcoi and Cocentaina, studied in this article. Furthermore, many of the features of the institutional order, including the role of notaries in the contracting and registration of debt and the judicial system that pursued arrears and default, were very similar to those of other kingdoms and territories of the Crown of Aragon, but others were not.<sup>15</sup>

Moreover, the kingdom of Valencia was a highly urbanised region dominated by the demographic, economic and political importance of its capital, the city of Valencia, one of the main ports in the western Mediterranean and the second largest city in the Crown of Aragon, after Barcelona. It may have had about 20,000 inhabitants at the end of the thirteenth century, about 35,000 by the end of the fourteenth, and about 70,000 by the end of the fifteenth, when it was not only the most populous city in the Crown of Aragon, but also in the entire Iberian Peninsula. 16 It was not just the capital: there were also a number of small- and medium-sized urban centres, running from north to south, which acted as regional capitals and markets, replicating on a smaller scale the predominance of the city over the countryside. This importance of the cities, and especially that of Valencia, made agricultural production highly market-oriented, aimed at satisfying urban demand, and also the demands of foreign trade, particularly that of Italy. This means that the country's economy was based on commercial agriculture, whose main products, along with wheat, wine and oil, were profitable crops such as rice, sugar and mulberries. Valencian society was thus urbanised and commercialised, in the sense that English medievalists have given the term, <sup>17</sup> with numerous fairs and markets established by royal charters between the thirteenth and fifteenth centuries. 18

Without underestimating the importance of manufacturing and commerce, which were the origins of many fortunes, especially urban ones, land was the basis of power and wealth. There were many forms and even many levels of ownership and possession of land. On the one hand, there was allodial or free land, held in full ownership, which could be sold and mortgaged without the need for any authorisation; it was particularly important in the royal domain, but it was also present on manorial lands. The other predominant form of land ownership was emphyteutic tenure, in which the lord retained the 'direct domain', while the 'useful domain' or usufruct passed to the tenant, who could alienate it to third parties, through sale, exchange, bequest or mortgage, provided he had the lord's permission and paid him a part (lluïsme) of the sale price. Therefore, not only did both forms of ownership grant peasants great autonomy to use the land as they wished, provided that - in the case of emphyteusis - they paid the corresponding rents, they also allowed them to use it as a mortgage on the loans obtained. Below these two levels, even lower levels could still be found, since peasants who held land in allodial title and those who held it in emphyteutic tenure could in turn sub-let it, also in emphyteusis, to a third party for a short period (generally four years), or turn it over to sharecropping (mitgeria, as mezzadria in Italian). Conversely, only the first two levels – on the other hand the most common - allowed the land to be mortgaged. 19

However, although the forms of access to land granted peasants wide-ranging rights over it, two factors worked against them: population growth and the inheritance system. The former reduced the size of the holdings (from an average size of



Map of the kingdom of Valencia with the towns mentioned in the text

about 9 ha in the thirteenth century to less than 5 ha two centuries later), and the second meant that land was divided equally among all the offspring, which led to the fragmentation of the holdings. As a consequence, land was not generally held in compact units but was split up into highly scattered and isolated plots. Landholdings were therefore continuously being restructured; parcels were added or subtracted depending on the hereditary divisions, purchases, sales and their use as pledges for loans. In turn, both the land and credit markets benefited from the great autonomy with which peasants could dispose of land and from the factors that forced them to sell or mortgage it.<sup>20</sup>

The legal framework regulating access to and ownership of land, contained in the kingdom's legal code, the *Furs de València*, <sup>21</sup> in turn facilitated access to and the wide spread of credit. Credit was as omnipresent in Valencian society and its economy as it was in the documentary sources. It appeared constantly in normative texts – theological treatises and ecclesiastical provisions, royal and municipal laws and ordinances – that condemned and prohibited usury, discussed the legality of

the sale of rents (annuities) and regulated its operation. It also featured in fiscal sources, particularly wealth registers, since the receipt of rents - understood as another component of the taxpayer's assets - was subject to taxation. But without a doubt the two sources that best reflect and attest to the economic and social importance of credit are notarial and court records. They are very abundant for the fifteenth century, but for some localities, including the city of Valencia, the surviving series date back to the second half of the thirteenth century. The type of information they contain is different, because while notarial documents are records of the contracting of debt - in the form of loans at interest, rent sales and many other types of credit – the judicial registers record not only the debt, but also arrears and default, as well as the prosecution and penalisation of the latter. In other words, the lender and the borrower could go to the notary or the judge to declare, authenticate and document the debt in writing. In general, the most important loans, and especially the sale of rents (censals and violaris), which required many safeguard clauses, were formalised by notaries, 22 while those of smaller amounts – mostly instalment purchases, never the sale of rents - were declared before the judge and recorded in the court books.<sup>23</sup> However, regardless of where the debt had been declared and recorded, whether before the notary or before the judge, complaints over arrears and all actions aimed at forcing the debtor to settle the debt or offer his own assets - movable property or real estate - for sale at public auction, and with the amount of the sale to satisfy the creditor and cover the legal costs, were made in court and were recorded in the judicial books.

Short-term credit - notably, but not only, loans at interest granted by Jewish moneylenders - has been studied for many years from both notarial and judicial documentation,<sup>24</sup> as have also the origins and formation of the long-term credit market, from notarial sources focusing mainly on the city of Valencia.<sup>25</sup> On the contrary, the prosecution and punishment of arrears, which can only be examined from the judicial records, has to date barely been addressed: just one study of a rural community at the end of the fifteenth century, carried out by a team of which I was a member and published almost thirty years ago. <sup>26</sup> In this paper, I have directed my attention primarily to the notarial and judicial records of three localities: the city of Valencia, whose fertile countryside (the huerta, irrigated land) was strongly subject to urban influence,<sup>27</sup> and especially the towns of Cocentaina and Alcoi, more rural in nature, although with a large population working in manufacturing and nonagricultural activities. The oldest documents, from the second half of the thirteenth century, have recently been published, 28 while those from the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries are still unpublished and have been consulted directly in the Archive of the Kingdom of Valencia and in the Municipal Archives of Alcoi and Cocentaina.<sup>29</sup> In the case of the latter, the continuity of the series throughout the last two and a half centuries of the Middle Ages is admirable and seven moments in time have been examined (1304, 1342, 1372, 1433, 1451, 1476 and 1490). All of them have allowed to me gather a sample consisting of 4,071 documents.

# 3. Short-term and long-term credit and indebtedness

Both notarial and judicial records show that during the second half of the thirteenth and the early decades of the fourteenth century, short-term credit was the

only form of credit available, coexisting thereafter with long-term credit based on the sale of rents. In the former case, it took the form of debt recognitions in the notarial records, and of payment obligations in the court books. That is to say, the debtor acknowledged that he owed a certain amount (in cash or in kind) to the creditor in a document formalised by a notary, or he 'obliged' himself, before the judge, to pay a certain amount to the creditor.

Let us start with the notarial documentation, the forms of credit that can be found in it and the importance that credit operations had in the set of transactions formalised before a notary. Between 1296 and 1303 the notary of Alcoi Pere Miró drew up between 136 and 190 deeds each year. In total, about 900 deeds exist from this period, which were recorded in the only book surviving from the thirteenth century.<sup>30</sup> As we can see in Table 1, the weight of credit and debt is absolute, in both numerical terms (the number of deeds) and in monetary terms (the volume of operations in cash). Five main types of deeds can be distinguished: sales, debt recognitions, receipts, emphyteutic grants and a miscellaneous one that includes all the other types of deeds. In turn, sales can be of land, houses, crops, seeds, animals and slaves, which, except in the first two cases, I have grouped together generically as "Others." Debt recognitions include credit operations contracted to finance the purchase of animals, land, houses, cloths, cereals or wine, as well as cash (mutua) and in-kind loans and deposits or commands. Receipts and emphyteutic grants are sections without internal subdivisions and "Others" comprises all the remaining types, from marriage contracts and wills to donations (propter nuptias or inter vivos), property partitions, appointment of attorneys, work and apprenticeship contracts, arbitration awards, exchanges, leases, animal sharecropping contracts, and so on.

With 230 deeds, debt recognitions constitute the most important section in numerical terms and the second in monetary value, behind sales.<sup>31</sup> This is due to the large number of sales in one single year, 1297, which doubles that of debt recognitions, but in all the other years the volumes are very similar, with debt recognition sometimes higher. In reality, however, credit and indebtedness occupy a more prominent place, insofar as they are not limited to debt recognitions, but they also extend to the two other large sections, sales and receipts. Indeed, sales are rarely paid for in cash at the time the transaction occurs; they are financed by debt recognition deeds, formalised on the same day as the sale is made. In a first document, the buyer purchases a plot of land, a house or any other good from the seller, and immediately afterwards a second document is drawn up in which the buyer acknowledges that he owes the seller the amount of the price of the good sold and agrees to pay it, in one or more specific periods. Sales and debt recognitions are thus closely linked, as are receipts (àpoques), since in most cases they are payment recognitions, that is, of having received the amount owed. It could then be said that most deeds (569 out of 892) and, above all, the vast majority of the economic volume registered in them (87,249 out of 89,243 sous) are related to credit operations, while the rest of the contracts occupy a very secondary place. In other words, people went to the notary primarily to record loans and debts in writing, and only secondarily to formalise a wide variety of other activities in economic and social life: wills, marriage contracts, work and apprenticeship contracts. In the six years of the Alcoi registry, for example, 46 marriages

Table 1. Deeds recorded by the notary of Alcoi Pere Miró between 1296 and 1303

	1296		1	1297	1	1298	1	1300	1	1301	1302		TOTAL 1296-1302	
	Deeds	Amount (in sous)	Deeds	Amount (in sous)										
Sales	38	3756	47	12,537	36	6484	44	4312	37	5738	15	1286	217	34,113
• of land	24	2970	30	9772	21	3625	32	2987	24	2975	7	409	138	22,738
• of houses	10	341	17	2765	11	1919	11	1315	13	2763	7	737	69	9840
• others	4	445	-	-	4	940	1	10	-	-	1	140	10	1535
Debt recognitions	48	5355.5	54	6059	47	6001	36	5470.5	33	6006	12	2605	230	31,456
• for the purchase of animals	10	1668.7	11	1188	9	1302	4	137	3	527.6	3	602	40	5425.5
• for the purchase of land	5	693	12	1901	8	1825	8	1721.6	5	1100	-	-	38	7240.6
• for the purchase of houses	4	-	3	355	3	450	2	931	8	2010	1	100	21	3846
• for the purchase of cloths	4	194.7	7	682	3	296	1	30.6	-	-	-	-	15	1203.4
• for loans (in cash or in kind)	10	787	5	30	4	252	7	845	1	400	3	1369	30	3683
for deposit or command	5	538	6	462	9	441	3	-	-	320	4	292.3	27	2053.3
• others	10	1474	10	1441	11	1435	11	1485	12	1676	4	534		8045
Receipts	29	3351	32	3282.5	18	2758	15	1814	21	4375	7	6100	122	21,680
Emphyteutic grants	11	-	13	-	45	-	46	-	2	-	3	-	120	-
Others	35	-	44	1594	34	-	31	-	43	400	17	-	204	1994
Marriage	6	-	11	400	8	-	5	-	12	-	4	-	46	400
• Donations	4	_	9	500	5	-	7	_	10	400	3	_	38	900

(Continued)

186

Table 1. (Continued.)

	1296		1297 1298		1300		1301		1302		TOTAL 1296-1302			
	Deeds	Amount (in sous)	Deeds	Amount (in sous)	Deeds	Amount (in sous)	Deeds	Amount (in sous)	Deeds	Amount (in sous)	Deeds	Amount (in sous)	Deeds	Amount (in sous)
• Wills	6	-	5	-	7	-	8	-	7	-	3	-	36	-
Appointment of attorney	8	-	3	-	7	-	4	-	3	-	3	-	28	-
Property partitions	4	-	1	-	2	-	5	-	5	-	0	-	17	-
• Others	7	-	15	694	5	-	2	-	6	-	4	-	39	694
TOTAL	161	12,462.5	190	23,472.5	180	15,243	171	11,596.5	136	16,518.9	54	10,211	892	89,243

All bullet-pointed rows are sub-totals.

and 36 wills were recorded, compared to 230 debt recognitions and 569 deeds related in some way to credit and debt.

Table 1 also allows us to see that most of the debts contracted before a notary were related to the purchase of real estate or operations of a certain economic volume, while, as we will see later, the debts declared before the judge (*Obligacions*) were for minor transactions. In any case, there were also forms of credit (loans, deposits, acknowledgments of debt for the purchase of animals, food, seeds and others) registered either before a notary or in court.

Two hundred years later, although the types of notarial deeds were still very similar, things had changed substantially with regard to credit, as can be seen in Table 2, which shows the results obtained for 1470. If we start with the similarities, we can see that the fifteenth-century record contains the same number of deeds as the more extensive one from the late thirteenth century (190 in 1297) and that the internal structure is practically the same. On the other hand, the weight of each of the sections has varied significantly; some have disappeared and others have appeared. Emphyteutic grants have disappeared, there being none in 1470, and within debt recognitions deposits and commands have disappeared. We find instead long-term credit, in the form of sales of rents or annuities, which was not yet present in the late thirteenth and early fourteenth centuries. By the late fifteenth century, however, they constituted one of the most important sections of notarial records. In 1470 sales (of land, houses, etc.), which in 1296-1302 accounted for 24.2 per cent of deeds, had fallen to just half, 12.1 per cent, while the section "Others" rose from 22.8 per cent to 35 per cent. However, what is truly relevant is that credit represented most of the deeds formalised before the notary, both directly (52.6 per cent) and indirectly (64.7 per cent, also including sales).

In both the late thirteenth and late fifteenth centuries, credit – with its corollary, debt – was the main reason why peasants went to the notary. I say peasants because most of the actors in these deeds are explicitly referred to as such (agricultor in Latin, llaurador in Catalan) by the documents. They were going to buy and sell – land, houses, animals, grain, wine, cloth, etc. – but above all to finance these purchases with credit. The difference is that in the thirteenth century they did so through debt recognitions, while in the fifteenth century, even when debt recognitions were still important (the most important, in fact, in numerical terms and in monetary volume) debtors also resorted in significant numbers to the sale of annuities as a financial instrument. By this date, the sale of rents was already a fully consolidated form of credit and in Table 2 we can see that the monetary volume of new sales (carregaments, 9,690 sous) was very similar to that of cancellations (quitaments, 9,890).

The notarial records of Alcoi, a town with a marked presence of peasants among its population and with some 346 hearths (about 1,500 inhabitants) in 1469, have enabled us to verify the overwhelming weight of credit and indebtedness in all the transactions carried out before the notary. The obligations declared before the judge only contain credit operations, but they provide us with a complementary view, insofar as they record minor transactions – they do not include the sale of annuities – for which it was not worth going to the notary. If at the top of the pyramid of formal credit we find debt recognitions, deposits and sales of rents, all of them

# 188 Antoni Furió

Table 2. Typology of notarial deeds, Alcoi 1470

	Deeds	Amount (in sous)
Sales	23	7816
• of land	14	5156
• of houses	5	1740
• others	4	920
Debt recognition	51	23,070
• for the purchase of animals	16	7971
• for the purchase of land	15	9395
• for the purchase of houses	3	1380
for the purchase of cloths	7	2710
• for loans (mutua)	5	1170
for deposit or command	0	
• others	5	444
Annuities	32	19,580
Sales of rents	20	9690
Cancellation/ending	12	9890
Receipts	17	13,785
Emphyteutic concessions	0	-
Others	67	400
Marriage	9	-
Donations	6	-
• Wills	7	-
Appointment of attorney	30	-
Property partitions	1	-
• Others	14	400
TOTAL	190	64,651

Source: Arxiu Municipal d'Alcoi, 1470, sign. XV.1 All bullet-pointed rows are sub-totals.

registered by the notary, at the bottom we find the obligations declared in court, with a predominance of instalment purchases, certainly the most widespread form of credit, although for smaller amounts.<sup>32</sup> The main difference between the debts declared before the notary (debt recognitions) and before the judge (obligations) seems to lie fundamentally in the volume and legal complexity of the operation. Commonly, debt recognitions used to accompany a previous purchase document. People went to the notary to buy – and formalise the purchase in writing – a plot of land, a house or any other good and instead of paying for it immediately in cash they financed it with an acknowledgment of debt. On the contrary,

obligations were made for minor transactions, which had not entailed any prior documents, nor required many legal clauses.

For obligations we use the judicial records of Cocentaina, a town seven kilometres from Alcoi – where no judicial documentation has survived – with very similar characteristics and population (some 500 hearths), although with a greater presence of artisans. As I said earlier, I have used seven books from the court of Cocentaina, corresponding to the years 1304, 1342, 1372, 1433, 1451, 1476 and 1490, in order to analyse not only the structure of rural credit, but also its evolution in the last 200 years of the Middle Ages.

Table 3 shows the number of deeds and the volume of money that they represented in these seven sample years. Although, in the perspective of the series the year 1342, with 603 deeds, seems to be an exception, the number of judicial obligations and sentences, high in the first half of the fourteenth century, fell continuously in the following decades (127 and 124 in 1433 and 1451, respectively, and less than half of that, about 60, in 1476 and 1490). The volume of money that these operations represented also fell, from an average of around 20,000 sous in the fourteenth century to around 10,000 in the fifteenth century. We can go a little further, adding the debt declared before the notary to that declared before the judge. It is true that the data correspond to two different localities, Alcoi and Cocentaina, respectively, but it is also true that they are very close to one another, they are quite similar, and the total sum will in any case offer us a minimum amount. Between 1296 and 1302 the annual debt registered in notarial deeds was between 5,500 and 6,000 sous, if we limit ourselves only to debt recognitions, and between 8,500 and 9,000 if we also add settlements/receipts (àpoques); at the same time (1304), the obligations before the judge amounted to 19,232 sous. In total, then, in the early years of the fourteenth century, annual private debt was somewhere in the region of 28,000-29,000 sous. Almost two centuries later (1470 for the debt registered by the notary in Alcoi and 1476 for that recorded in the court books in Cocentaina), although there were fewer operations, the annual volume of debt was about 60,000 sous, of which 23,070 corresponded to debt recognitions, 13,785 to settlements/receipts, 19,580 to long-term annuities and 11,693 to obligations before the judge. Not only was the debt more than twice as high, despite the fact that the number of operations had fallen, but the number of debt recognitions and sales of rents (annuities) had increased, while the number of obligations had decreased. In other words, the volume of the debt had increased in nominal terms and people preferred to register it before the notary rather than before the judge. This is also in line with the increasingly widespread type of debt: long-term annuities and for significantly higher amounts.<sup>33</sup>

On the other hand, we can get an idea of what this volume of annual debt meant and what impact it could have had on the population. In the second half of the fifteenth century, Cocentaina, a medium-sized town with a strong manufacturing base, had about 600 hearths<sup>34</sup> (approximately 3,000 people), so that annual total debt of around 60,000 *sous* represented an average of 100 *sous* per household, a more than plausible amount considering that it included all types of debt, both short-term, for instalment purchases or consumer loans, and long-term, linked mainly to the sale of annuities. It is possible to closely study the type of debt contracted and the respective percentage of each one. We have already seen in Tables 1

Table 3. Credit and debt before the judge. Obligations in Cocentaina

Year	Number of deeds	Amount (in sous)_
1304	158	19,232
1342	603	37,406
1372	42	14,985
1433	127	10,436
1451	124	6,191
1476	63	11,660
1490	58	4,512.5

Source: Arxiu Municipal de Cocentaina, Cort del Justícia, sign. 3/1, 8/2, 14, 31/11, 38/1, 46/1 and 51. The archival series includes not only obligations but also sentences. In fact it is called Libre de obligacions e condempnacions.

and 2 what the dominant types were in the notarial records, and how long-term credit (annuities) had been growing until it almost equalled short-term loans. Table 4 shows the causes of the debts declared before the judge in the six sample years that we have analysed.

In practically every sample year, the purchase of animals is the main reason why people get into debt. It accounts for 82.6 per cent of the volume of all operations in 1372, almost half in 1304 and more than a quarter in 1342 and 1433, at which point its importance begins to wane, although it never ceases to be an important chapter. It was not only a market of high economic value but also a highly specialised one, in which we can distinguish between draught animals, on the one hand, and livestock, on the other. Donkeys and mules predominated among the former, but sales also included oxen and nags. The Muslims in the region (from Fraga, Muro and other hamlets and villages near the town) were particularly active in this market; they came to Cocentaina to sell the animals that they had reared to Christian buyers (from Alcoi or from Cocentaina itself and other Christian population centres). In turn, they bought cattle and, above all, cloth. Livestock sales were dominated by sheep and rams, sometimes imported from Castile, in numbers that amounted to several hundred a year, followed by goats and to a lesser extent cows and pigs. In 1342, for example, 221 sheep and 108 rams brought from Montalbanejo, a hamlet near Cuenca, were sold in five operations, staggered between 6 and 24 November, purchased by Christian and Muslim buyers from Penàguila and other villages in the Cocentaina area, for a total value of 1,560 sous. These purchases were not paid for in cash. In one case the payment of half was stipulated within 16 days and the other half at the next Carnival festival, about two months later; in another case, the full payment was also deferred to Carnival, and in another two, to Easter, more than four months later. As the deadlines were not met, the sellers reported the delay to the judge (28 April), but the debts were eventually paid (on 11 July and 18, 20 and 21 November, respectively), just one year after being contracted and registered in the court records of Cocentaina. Sheep were particularly valued in a manufacturing region like Cocentaina because the animals provided meat and especially wool for the local textile industry.<sup>35</sup>

In the debt obligations declared before the court of Cocentaina, cloth purchases come next after animals. Their economic importance stands out, together with that

Table 4. Cause of debt in Cocentaina's Obligations

	1	1304 1342			1	372	1	433	1	451	1476		1490	
Cause of debt	deeds	amount	deeds	amount	deeds	amount	deeds	amount	deeds	amount	deeds	amount	deeds	amount
Animals (Draft an./ livestock)	20	1952	68	8631	12	12,380	20	2736.5	16	1508	5	531.5	9	248.5
Cloths	13	920	165	4939	1	212	10	923	15	800	8	557.5	8	629
Food	80	2462.5	102	4098	7	497	15	700	13	491.5	4	79.5	3	100
Loan	4	313	53	4286	7	361	8	1001.5	2	58.5	3	67	7	359.5
Real Estate <sup>a</sup>	3	141.5	41	4295	-	-	12	909	17	971	11	591	5	461.5
• Land	1	75	25	3071	-	-	8	669	11	720	6	368	2	25
• Houses	2	66,5	16	1224	-	-	4	240	6	251	5	223	3	436.5
Raw materials	3	269.5	17	528.5	3	126	20	2922	23	1228	10	727.5	12	381.5
Command/deposit	-	-	1	3500	-	-	-	-	1	61.5	-	-	-	-
Work	-	-	14	124	-	-	2	68	9	144	9	679	2	35
Dowry/Wills	-	-	10	2716	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	8000	1	1800
Others	4	791.5	43	967	5	900	20	592.5	16	421	11	417	8	317.5
Indeterminate / Illegible	31	12,382	89	3322	7	509	20	584	12	508	1	10	3	180
Total	158	19,232	603	37,406	42	14,985	127	10,436.5	124	6191.5	63	11,660	58	4512.5

Source: Arxiu Municipal de Cocentaina, Cort del Justícia, sign. 3/1, 8/2, 14 m 31/11, 38/1, 46/1 and 51. Indeterminate/illegible refer to deeds that do not specify the cause of the debt or that are illegible due to the poor condition of the document.

The rows 'Land' and 'Houses' are sub-totals of 'real estate'.

of the acquisition of raw materials, from the middle decades of the fourteenth century onwards. This is not surprising, since Cocentaina was an important manufacturing nucleus in the centre of an industrial region. In 1304 cloth occupies a modest position, but by 1342 its importance has begun to be noted; it has risen to more than 50 per cent of the sales of animals, and it even overtakes them in the last quarter of the fifteenth century (1476 and 1490). People from all over the region (Agres, Alcoi, Alcolea, Benifallim, Benilloba, Castalla, Gorga, Onil, Pego, Penàguila, Seta and Xixona) flocked to Cocentaina to buy cloths. The vendors were usually cloth merchants (drapers) from Cocentaina or from the relatively nearby city of Xàtiva, the second largest urban centre in the kingdom after the capital Valencia. The amounts purchased were not usually very high, so they were clearly for the members of the buyer's family, although in other cases the buyers were also artisans working in the textile industry (weavers, carders, cloth shearers dyers), and purchases were not concentrated in one specific period, but were staggered throughout the year. As for raw materials, the importance of which continued to increase throughout the period, they included products such as wool, mulberry leaves (for silkworms), dyes, woad, saffron, rose madder, skins, hides, wax, lime and wood, necessary for both textile manufacturing and the construction industry.

Three items followed, whose importance in monetary volume was very similar, although it varied depending on the years: purchases of real estate, purchases of food and loans at interest. In the first case, they were purchases of land houses that were not paid for on the spot but the total amount was divided into various instalments or deferred for several months. Real estate transactions were not usually declared before the judge, since they were mainly registered by the notary, but they were still present and constituted an important part of the obligations in the court in Cocentaina. In any case, although obligations may not be the most appropriate source for studying the land market, they are for studying indebtedness and arrears in property and land transactions. Regarding food purchases, in only a few cases were they of seeds for the next sowing and in general it was food for consumption in the lean season, or 'hungry gap' (the period between one harvest and the next). It was mostly wheat and other minor cereals (barley, millet, oats, spelt and fodder), as well as olive oil, wine, meat, sardines and figs, a fruit highly appreciated, especially by the Muslim population. In many cases, the date stipulated to make the payment was 24 June, Saint John's Day, coinciding with harvest time. Three types of food transactions can be distinguished: purchases in instalments or with deferred payment; loans in kind; and anticipated harvest purchases, in which the buyer insured the crop produce, although payment for it would not be made until the moment the produce was harvested and delivered. Finally, the loans (mutua) are loans at interest, although this is only made explicit in the case of Jewish moneylenders, whose legal interest rate was set at 20 per cent, whereas when it came to Christian lenders, it was declared that there was no manifest usury in the loan (sine usura ficta aut manifesta), which is hardly credible. Table 4 shows that the loan at interest was important in economic terms, but it was far from being the main source of credit (in 1342 it represented 11.5 per cent of the total amounts borrowed or owed, but in all other years it was below 5 per cent). The radius of action for loans was considerably expanded and extended to more remote locations - and in the case of the last three, large urban centres - such as Vila-Joiosa, Alicante, Xàtiva and Valencia,

between 40 and 100 kilometres away. The table also shows the scant importance in numerical terms of other forms of credit, such as the *command* or *deposit*, among the obligations declared before the judge – only two in our sample, but the first of them, in 1342, was for a really high amount (3,500 *sous*). Most likely, this type of credit would be formalised mainly before the notary, and hence its negligible presence in court records.

The same would probably be the case with the debts derived from the payment of the dowry, its restitution – in the event of the husband's death –, or the legacies left by the testator in his will. In our sample there are hardly any, barely a dozen deeds, although for very high amounts (8,000 sous in 1476 for the restitution of a single dowry, when none of the other items exceeds 600 sous, and another case also of a dowry in 1490 worth 1,800 sous). A penultimate chapter worth singling out, although not very important in aggregate economic terms, was debts due to unpaid wages. In general, the people affected were women who had worked as maids or domestics, or even breastfeeding babies or young infants, and whose employers had not yet paid them, but also of agricultural or even handicraft tasks pending payment. The debtors were therefore not poor peasants or craftsmen but well-off farmers, artisans, merchants, or notaries and other urban professionals in this case.

The generic concept of *Others* includes all other debts, of a very varied nature, but whose smaller numerical and economic importance makes it advisable to group them together as a single item in the table and, in any case, to briefly list them here. This varied group comprises such diverse and heterogeneous concepts as the delays in the payment of ecclesiastical tithes and first fruits; the leasing of mills, oil presses and ovens; the legal advice provided by lawyers and notaries; burials, funerals, and Masses celebrated for the souls of close relatives; clothes (doublets, shawls, *gonella*, *gramalla*, drawers, shoes, espadrilles, hats); bed linen; furniture; containers (jars, jugs); weapons (spears, swords, crossbows); saddles; looms; parchments; grocery items; hostel accommodation; the partition of property; the provision of nourishment for minors; and even a notary's salary for teaching an adolescent to read and write. Finally, a last section in the table, *Indeterminate/illegible*, includes the deeds that do not specify the reason for the debt, but the amount owed, and those that could not be read due to the state of conservation of the document.

Although it is not possible, for reasons of space, to analyse this sample – which includes more than 1,200 deeds – in greater detail in this article, we can draw some important conclusions about the type of credit and debt that was registered in the court records. In the first place, rural credit was for investment rather than for consumption. Even including all food purchases in the latter (wheat and other cereals, wine, oil and fruit), which would not be entirely correct because some of these purchases were speculative or intended for subsequent sale, this would not represent a really significant percentage, since, depending on the year of the sample, it was less than 10 per cent and even 1 per cent. In addition, if the purchase of cloths were also included in consumer credit, the total would not exceed 20 per cent. This means that the vast majority of the credit was destined for investment. People got into debt to procure animals – draught, for agricultural work and transportation, and livestock to sell as meat, hides and wool – and not just to buy them, because

there are examples of the temporary rental of mules. They also sought land and houses, raw materials and even work equipment such as looms. Second, most of the buyers/debtors were peasants from the villages and hamlets in the region, including those from Muslim communities, while the sellers/creditors were mainly concentrated in the towns, where businessmen, cloth merchants, notaries and also Jews lived. And third, the predominant form of credit was not loans or commands/ deposits – even if the value of just one of the latter could far exceed the sum of other types – but purchases in instalments or deferred payment. Credit contributed powerfully to running and lubricating the economy of this region, both agricultural and manufacturing, with large amounts of money – around 60,000 *sous* a year, if we add the obligations before the judge and the debt recognitions and annuities formalised by the notaries. But it did so more through purchases in instalments or with deferred payment, legally expressed as debt recognitions (before the notary) and payment obligations (before the judge), rather than through formal credit instruments.

# 4. Deadlines, arrears and auction sales of debtors' assets

In both cases, the buyers/debtors were obliged to pay the debt within a stipulated period. How long was this? Days, weeks, months, years? Did it coincide with a certain date in the religious or agricultural calendar? What would happen if, when the deadline arrived, the debtor did not pay? Can we measure the time that elapsed between the fixed term and the time of payment? How long had it been since the breach of the deadline and the seizure of the debtor's assets? The deadline stipulated in obligations for the payment of debts was most commonly established as ten days, which could be increased to one or two months or even longer, if the debtor offered a guarantor ( fermança), who, with his assets, was equally obligated to pay the debt. In a few cases no date was set for payment, but it was left to the discretion of the creditor, who could demand it at any time. Tables 5 and 6 show the dates that were used as deadlines, with the payment periods expressed in days, months and years. The cases grouped under the heading Indeterminate had no date or were illegible.

Debtors and creditors resorted to the religious and agricultural calendars to set the deadline for the payment of the debt. Three dates stand out above all the others, linked to three religious festivals: Saint John (June 24), St. Mary of August (August 15) and Saint Michael (September 29), followed at a great distance by Easter and Christmas. These three dates were linked to the times when winter cereals, grapes and spring cereals were respectively harvested. Throughout the year, people bought the products they needed – food, clothing, land, houses, raw materials, work tools, etc. – but they did not pay for them on the spot. Instead, they divided their payment into various instalments or they deferred it to harvest time, when they thought they would have cash. In the fourteenth century the most important payment dates were Saint John and St. Mary of August, especially the latter, which in 1342 was stipulated more than twice as many times as the former, but Table 5 shows that many more festivals during the year were used, from Carnival to Christmas. In the fifteenth century, festivals were no longer used so much; the deadline for most obligations was 10 days, perhaps because the greater importance

Table 5. Dates and periods set as deadlines for obligations in Cocentaina

Deadline	1304	1342	1372	1433	1451
10 days	7	91	1	1	87
15 days	2	7	3	-	2
All January	-	12	1	-	-
Carnival	2	11	2	1	-
All March	-	1	-	2	-
Easter	4	17	3	-	-
All April	-	2	-	-	2
Pentecost	2	7	-	-	-
All May	-	4	-	-	3
Saint John	27	51	2	1	2
All June	-	-	-	-	1
All July	1	2	-	1	1
All August	2	18	1	4	2
St. Mary of August	20	124	-	1	1
All September	1	-	-	1	-
St. Mary of September	-	1	-	-	-
Saint Michael	18	29	3	3	11
All October	-	1	1	1	-
All Souls	-	5	-	-	-
All November	-	-	-	1	-
Christmas	4	17	5	2	2
Other*	2	29	2	2	-
At creditor's discretion	10	22	-		-
Indeterminate	56	152	18	106	10
TOTAL	158	603	42	127	124

Source: Arxiu Municipal de Cocentaina, Cort del Justicia, sign. 3/1, 8/2, 14, 31/11 and 38/1. Other includes different combinations such as Easter and St. Mary of August, Easter and Christmas, Saint John and St. Mary of August, half in each instalment. The results for 1476 and 1490 are not included in this table because deadlines are only specified in three cases (Saint John, St. Mary of August and Christmas) and because only the initial 10-day deadline is indicated, respectively.

of manufacturing in the region meant that deadlines no longer had to be set according to the agricultural calendar.

As for the length of obligations, a clear fact emerges: they are short-term debts. Very few last beyond a year and the vast majority are granted for less than half a year. In some cases, there is no specific deadline for payment; it is left to the discretion of the creditor, who can demand it whenever he wants, and in many others, as we see in the table, the deadline does not appear either. But in all the cases where

# 196 Antoni Furió

Table 6. Length of deadlines for obligations

Temporary length	1304	1342	1372	1433	1451
10 days	7	104	1	1	87
15 days	1	7	3	-	2
20 days	5	1	-	1	1
1 month	11	24	1	3	4
2 months	26	48	5	2	3
3 months	14	54	5	2	4
4–6 months	20	79	6	6	9
7–12 months	6	121	2	6	4
13–24 months	1	13	-	-	-
more than 24 months	1	0	1	-	-
At will of the creditor	10	21	-	-	-
Indeterminate	56	131	18	106	10
TOTAL	158	603	42	127	124

Source: See Table 5

a payment date is stipulated, which is most common, in the great majority it is less than three months and even just ten days, the usual term in 1342 and in the second half of the fifteenth century. It should be emphasised here that the obligations generally correspond to instalment purchases, and for these, even if payment is in instalments or deferred, the term rarely exceeds six months (although in 1342, an exceptional year with regard to the number of obligations, more than 130 cases are recorded).

When the deadline expired, if the payment had been made, the creditor stated that he was satisfied and the debt was cancelled. Otherwise, non-payment was reported to the judge, whether it was obligations or acknowledgments of debt before the notary. In his claim (*reclam*) the creditor urged the judge to take legal action against the debtor, that is, to send him a *manament executori* ordering him to pay the debt or offer movable or immovable property with which to settle it within ten days. In cases where there is no claim or acknowledgment of the debt being paid, it can be assumed that there was an out-of-court settlement between the parties, and in fact there is an explicit case in which the creditor withdraws his complaint stating that he has reached an agreement with the debtor.

Table 7 shows that in the vast majority of obligations in Cocentaina no claim was made, although there is no evidence that they were paid: 63.9 per cent in 1304, 50.4 per cent in 1342, 42.5 per cent in 1433, and 65 per cent in 1451. And if those where a claim was made but it was not paid are added, the percentage is truly staggering: 75.3 per cent, 60.5 per cent, 59 per cent and 80.6 per cent, respectively. Apparently, then, a majority of the debts declared before the judge were never settled. Not even all those that were claimed before the judge were. There must therefore have been some form of out-of-court agreement, of negotiations between the creditor and the

	1304	1342	1433	1451
Obligations claimed	20	79	26	25
• Paid	2	18	5	6
• Unpaid	18	61	21	19
Obligations unclaimed	127	495	101	97
• Paid	26	191	47	16
• Unpaid	101	304	54	81
Indeterminate/illegible	11	29	0	2
TOTAL	158	603	127	124

Table 7. Claims and cancellations of obligations in Cocentaina

Source: Arxiu Municipal de Cocentaina, Cort del Justícia, sign. 3/1, 8/2, 31/11 and 38/1. The data for 1476 and 1490 have not been included because they hardly contain references to claims and debt cancellations.

debtor to settle the dispute privately, because otherwise it is impossible to understand how the system could continue to function, and that during the two centuries examined here buyers and sellers came before the judge to register debts and debtor's obligations to pay them. However, quite a large number of debtors did end up paying the amount owed, either before or after the creditor made his claim: 17.7 per cent in 1304, 34.6 per cent in 1342, 41 per cent in 1433 and 17.7 per cent in 1451. They are the ones whose obligations appear explicitly cancelled.

Finally, if the debtor had still not paid the debt after the claim was made, the judge ordered the court broker to auction off the debtor's assets for a period of ten days, if it was personal property, or 30, if it was real estate. Table 8 shows all the cases of judicial sales by public auction in Alcoi (19 cases in 1264), Cocentaina (113 cases between 1269-1295) and Valencia (815 cases between 1282-1287).

In Alcoi and Cocentaina, the two smaller towns, land figured highly in judicial public actions, but it was not so important in the city of Valencia, where it was exceeded by sales of houses and above all clothes. As we have already seen, most of the debts were due to instalment purchases and other forms of short-term credit, even very short term (less than six and less than three months), in which land was rarely used as collateral. In the event of non-payment, the lender kept the goods that the debtor had pawned or those he had assigned to be sold by the court and thus pay the creditor. Lenders could be either Jews or Christians. They were not generally interested in land and real estate, but they were in furniture, jewellery, clothing, animals and weapons, which is shown in Table 8. Borrowers could seek credit for consumption or investment (more for the latter than the former, as we have seen), but what is clear is that lenders did not seek land. Many of the loans were not guaranteed by any particular pledge, but by the general obligation of the debtor's assets or those of his guarantors (fideiussores). When a pledge is indicated, it is usually an animal or a part of the crop for small amounts or a house or jewellery for large sums. However, as stated, these pledges (penyores) were seldom required. García Marsilla only finds them in 23 out of a total of 566 loans in

Table 8. Judicial sales due to debts in the 13th century

Location and year	furniture/ tools	clothes	weapons	animals	crops	houses	land	mixed	Total
Alcoi, 1264						6	11	2	19
Cocentaina, 1269–1295	26	12	5	5	14	6	24		113
Valencia, 1282–1287	59	294	47	34	10	175	152	53*	815

Source: See note 28. \*These 53 cases are made up of 38 sales of debts and censuses, 6 of slaves and 9 of a generic nature.

1298-1350,<sup>37</sup> something, in his opinion, indicative of a fairly fluid capital market, in which there was already enough confidence in the fulfilment of contracts to make these practices unnecessary.<sup>38</sup> In any case, whether the loan contract included a pledge or not, default entailed the confiscation and sale of the debtor's assets. As has been studied by Angelina García, in the event of non-payment, Jews who lent money to the peasants in the countryside around Valencia in the first half of the fourteenth century mostly obtained agricultural harvests, from wine and raisins to wheat, dried fruit, almonds, figs and, much more expensive on the market, saffron and sugar.<sup>39</sup> If there was any kind of strategy in rural credit, it was certainly not so much one of territorial conquest as of the appropriation and commercialisation of crops. Credit and the purchase of harvests are in fact two sides of the same coin: the penetration of urban - Jewish in this case - capital in the countryside surrounding the city. Together with them, the other goods appropriated by creditors or confiscated and sold judicially to settle debts coincide with those found by myself in the judicial records and systematised in Table 8: clothing, work equipment, animals, weapons and jewelry.

Even if they had not been used as collateral, the judicial sale of land and houses was also a resource used to settle outstanding debts. In the records of the court of Valencia it gave rise to a specific series called Major Sales (*Vendes majors*). In just three months, from June to August 1353, some 40 cases were conducted in which houses and land were sold by order of the judge at the request of the creditors. In total, 15 parcels of land (worth more than 4,000 *sous*), 14 houses and 6 personal assets (including money and rents) were auctioned, while in four other cases the file is not clear. Of all of them, in only three cases was the buyer the creditor himself, so no strategy of territorial appropriation by lenders can be deduced from these judicial sales. The debtors offered their lands to be sold, and to settle the debt with the amount of the sale, but they could have offered other assets, both movable and immovable, and in fact some did.

The importance of collateral, and particularly land, increased with the expansion of long-term credit. Although the first manifestations of this type of credit, in the form of sales of annuities, date from the last decades of the thirteenth century, in reality it did not become widespread until after the 1370s. García Marsilla documents only 18 annuities for the decade 1351–1360, 27 for 1361–1370, 167 for 1371–1380 and 321 for 1381–1390. As for claims for non-payment presented

before the judge (justícia civil) of Valencia between 1361 and 1372, 104 were against defaulters on Jewish loans, 11 for life rents (violaris) and four for perpetual rents (censals). 42 By the second half of the fifteenth century, the sale of annuities had become one of the main forms of credit, although not the only, or the majority, one. In Alcoi, as we have already seen (Table 2), the monetary volume of the annuities registered by the notary in 1470, 19,580 sous, was close to that of all other forms of credit (loans, debt recognitions, etc.), 23,070 sous. The proportion is slightly lower in nearby Cocentaina in that year, analysed in this case through judicial documentation. Of the 208 manaments executoris activated by the judge against debtors in 1470 (Table 9) and registered in the court books, 68 corresponded to claims for unpaid annuities and 140 for other types of outstanding debts (for the purchase of animals, food, houses, land, loans and other unspecified obligations) - equivalent in monetary value to 7,337 and 20,275 sous, respectively - for a total amount of 27,612 sous. 43 In other words, long-term credit, the most formalised type of credit and the one for which land could be used as collateral, occupied an important place in the second half of the fifteenth century, but it was far from predominant, surpassed by instalment purchases and other forms of short-term credit.

As we can see, the security of the annuities was also guaranteed, as in the case of loans, obligations and debt acknowledgments, by the judicial machinery. When the debtor delayed payment of rents, the creditor, personally or through a prosecutor, appeared before the court, showed the judge the original document of the debt and required that the debtor in arrears be ordered to pay. If the plaintiff resided in a location other than the debtor's, his claim was transmitted by the judge of one town or village to his counterpart in the other one, urging him to take the necessary steps. In all cases, these began with the judicial officer (agutzil or saig), going to the debtor's house in order to notify him of the execution order (manament executori) enforced against him. In this order, the debtor was urged to pay the amounts claimed within ten days, as well as the penalties and expenses and court costs incurred during the legal proceedings. After ten days, if the debtor had not paid, the creditor went back to the court, requesting that the debtor's assets be valued, in order to be able to recover the amount owed. Then the court officials went to the debtor's house to make an inventory of any goods that could be found there, usually animals and household furniture. An estimate was then made of the possessions seized and a caplleuta (the man charged with looking after the debtor's seized possessions), generally a neighbour, a relative or even the debtor himself, was appointed to keep them intact and in good condition until the time of the auction. Only if the movable property was not enough to satisfy the debt, the penalties and the court costs, did the judge also proceed to seize the immovables: defaulter's houses and land. 44 Of the 208 execution orders issued by the justice of Cocentaina - 74 through Lletres and 134 through Manaments executoris - in 1470 only seven ended with the seizure and sale at judicial auction of real estate (four houses and three plots of land).<sup>45</sup> In the vast majority of cases the property seized was chattels and animals: mules, chests, beds, mattresses, bed sheets, blankets, clothing, and even a steel crossbow.<sup>46</sup>

The most dramatic outcome for peasant families was unquestionably the confiscation of their property and assets. As has been said, the first thing to be seized were the goods the peasant needed the most to work his land, the draught

Table 9. Execution orders sent by or to the Justicia of Cocentaina (1470)

		on to unpaid nuities		ion to other aid debts	TOTAL		
	Deeds	Amount (sous)	Deeds	Amount (sous)	Deeds	Amount	
Lletres	44	4804	30	9287	74	14,091	
Execucions	24	2533	110	10,988	134	13,321	
Total	68	7337	140	20,275	208	27,612	

Source: Arxiu Municipal de Cocentaina, Cort del Justícia, 44 (1470). See note 43.

animals: oxen, horses, mares, donkeys and mules. Dispossessed of part of his means of production, and with the maintenance of the family economy in danger, the defaulter had no choice but to resort to credit again, which plunged him even further into debt. If the debtor had no animals, or these were insufficient to satisfy the debt, the judge's attention was directed towards the furniture in the house. The description of this tells us about the objects typically found in the home and gives an idea of the material living conditions of peasants in Valencia, especially the poorest of them. The first to be seized were the items of furniture in the bedroom (the bed and the bedding) and those in the dining room (tables, benches and rugs) and the kitchen (bowls, dishes, boilers, pans and containers). Finally, in the barn and in the yard, the authorities seized all the agricultural implements, work tools, and even weapons (spears, swords, shields and helmets). Any crops or reserves of food that might have been stored in the house were also confiscated, and sometimes the creditor even asked for the sequestration of the crops still in the ground. Once the deadline had expired -30 days according to the law, plus another ten days of grace, during which time the confiscated assets were held by the caplleuta - the court broker proceeded to their public auction.

The purpose of the judicial sale by way of a public auction was to obtain a price equivalent to the amount claimed, plus the fine and court costs.<sup>47</sup> After the auction, the buyer had ten days to pay the amount he had bid, while the debtor was given five days to assess the court costs, which had to be paid along with the debt and the penalty, on top of the sale price. If more money was obtained for the goods, the difference was restored to the defaulter. That, however, did not occur very frequently. In fact, a fair price for the auctioned goods was not always found, nor did all auctions find bidders. In 1511, for example, the judge of Sueca, a town 30 kilometres south of Valencia, apologised to the governor for failing to collect the amount claimed, alleging that he had confiscated the debtor's assets and had auctioned them publicly, but there had been no bidders, since 'it is customary in Sueca for nobody to buy anything through the court'. If no bidders could be found, the creditor himself could be forced to purchase the confiscated property, including the land, even if he was not interested in it. This was not necessarily advantageous for him. On the one hand, despite the advantage of buying land at a price below market rate, the profit made might not compensate for the value of the unpaid annuities. On the other hand, the purpose of lenders

when extending credit was not to expropriate the debtor's land, but to make a profit in the case of a loan, close a deal in the case of an instalment purchase, and receive secure regular rents in the case of annuities.

Not all sales of land, including those forced by indebtedness, were conducted by judicial auction. Before this last resort, the debtor could try to sell the land himself on the market and obtain a better price. In 1452, to cancel certain amounts owed by his father - ad opus solvendi certas peccunie quantitates que per dictum quondam Antonium Nicolaum debebantur heredibus honorabilis dompne Damiate de Rexach, uxor quondam honorabilis Gilaberti de Rexach, militis, quondam, habitatoris civitatis Valencie, ratione possesionum et hereditatum infrascriptorum -, Joan Nicolau sold property consisting of five plots of land, purchased by Antoni Saurí for 800 sous. The cause of the debt was an annuity established by the deceased on these possessions, whose interest he could not pay. In other cases, the purpose of the sale was to cancel the rent, which required the loaned capital to be repaid. And so, to amortise an annuity of 3,000 sous of capital and 200 of interest (6.66 per cent), the debtor sold a farm in Foios to a third party, composed of domos, orto et VII cafiçatas et mediam (3.75 ha) of vineyard, for 3,000 sous, a sum that the buyer promised to pay within one year, assuming until then the interest payments.<sup>48</sup> All these sales shed light for us on the difficulties faced by peasants when repaying loans or continuing to pay the interest, something that compelled them inexorably to dispose of their possessions, alienated in favour of their creditors or third parties.

In any case, lenders did not seem to be interested in obtaining the lands of their debtors. In only three cases out of 208, as we have seen, did non-payment end with the judicial sale of the debtor's land, and in not one single case did it pass into the hands of the creditor, and when creditors did take possession of land for non-payment, they quickly sold it or granted it again in emphyteusis to other tenants. Take the case, for example, of the house and plot located in Massalfassar, 10 kilometres north of Valencia, that Bartomeu Vinader, a local peasant, sold in 1424 to the nobleman Jaume de Centelles, the direct lord of the land and at the same time the creditor of an annuity of 33 sous 4 diners established on the property, for the symbolic price of 5 sous. On the same day, the lord granted the same plot to a new tenant, Pasqual Carinyena, for an entry fee of 360 sous. To pay this fee, the new tenant did not disburse a sum in cash, but established, in favour of the lord, a new annuity that he secured on the parcel, for capital equivalent to the entry fee, and with a pension of 30 sous at a rate of 8.33 per cent. 49 The price of 5 sous of the first sale was clearly fictitious and masked the annuity established on the tenure. Most likely, the first tenant could not pay the rent, and was forced to sell the possession to the lord, who immediately transferred it to another tenant. It was not the lord's intention to expropriate his peasants, but to ensure the regular collection of rents, whether agrarian (emphyteutic) or established (censals). In fact, as shown in another study, lords and urban landowners took little part in the peasant land market, in which peasants played a prominent, preponderant place as sellers and buyers. On the contrary, nobles and ecclesiastical institutions, and even merchants and citizens, did not establish any monopolies in this market, nor were they in any way significant in it, thus ruling out any strategy of dispossession of the peasantry and territorial concentration in urban or aristocratic hands. The few lords who bought plots of

# 202 Antoni Furió

land – nine in total – immediately granted them in emphyteusis. Both they and the urban lenders were after rents, not land. They did not set out to change the agrarian system, accumulating land to manage it directly, or lease it in the short term, but to ensure the regular receipt of rents.<sup>50</sup>

# 5. Conclusion

The recourse to long-term credit, secured on the debtor's property, provided peasants with the financial means to make important payments or even to purchase land. However, the price to pay for this was getting into structural debt – rents differ from the loan precisely because they are long-term (life-time or perpetual) debts – and adding the regular payment of interests on debts to seigniorial exactions, ecclesiastical tithes and royal and municipal taxes. Non-payment of the debt could eventually entail the seizure of the land and its judicial sale at public auction. It was not land that creditors – noblemen, citizens, and also rich peasants – sought, and in fact there was no process of territorial accumulation and expropriation of the peasantry, but the regular secure rents that their credit investments yielded for them and in particular the annuities charged on peasant land.

Peasants got into debt, as we have seen, for many reasons. One of them, but not the only or the most important one, was in order to buy land. And they lost their property, mostly the movable assets and more rarely the real estate, to pay off the debt. Rural indebtedness was deeply and structurally rooted in the fragility of peasant economies and their precarious balance to ensure their reproduction, threatened by poor harvests, increased taxation and the small size of the holdings. Indebtedness and the land market were definitely interwoven, and many peasants sold their lands to pay their debts before the judicial machinery forced them to do so and the parcels were judicially auctioned off. Among other reasons, this may help us to understand the great dynamism of the peasant land market, and the incessant circulation of plots and debts recorded by the Valencian documentary sources in the final two centuries of the Middle Ages. What is clear in any case is that lords and urban landowners did not take advantage of this peasant indebtedness to take possession of the lands of their tenants or debtors and introduce profound changes in the management system, as was the case, for example, in northern and central Italy.

This may explain why the land market remained mostly the business of peasants and there was no real strategy of territorial conquest and expropriation of the peasantry. The lords, noblemen or bourgeois, immediately granted the plots they recovered in perpetual emphyteusis: their goal was not to accumulate land, but to ensure the collection of rents. The land market, even though it was very active and contributed to intensifying the inequalities among peasants, did not undermine the foundations of the agrarian system, based on the predominance of the small peasant holding and the constraints of the seigneurial exaction; on the contrary it guaranteed its stability and continuity after the Middle Ages.

#### **Notes**

1 In Capital, expropriation is at the forefront of Marx's explanation of the origin of capitalism: 'the expropriation of the agricultural producer, of the peasant,' is the basis of the 'so-called primitive accumulation,'

- this is, of 'the historical process of divorcing the producer from the means of production' (Capital I, chapter 26). See M. Duggett, 'Marx on peasants', Journal of Peasant Studies 2, 2 (1975), 159–82; and the seminal book by M. Dobb, Studies in the Development of Capitalism (London, 1946). See also for different Marxist views on the development of Capitalism and the role played by peasant expropriation, E. Meiksins Woods, The origin of capitalism: a longer view (London, 2002). See also for a different Marxist view, H. Heller, The Birth of capitalism: A 21<sup>st</sup> century perspective (London, 2011), and S. Dimmock, The origin of capitalism in England, 1400–1600 (Leiden, 2014), and also of the same author, 'Expropriation and the Political Origins of Agrarian Capitalism in England', in X. Lafrance and C. Post eds., Case studies in the origins of capitalism. Marx, Engels and Marxisms (London, 2019), 39–62.
- 2 The subject gave rise to a lively debate in the second half of the seventies in the pages of Past and Present following the publication of an article by Robert Brenner. Brenner, one of the best representatives of what has been known as 'political Marxism', emphasised the greater power of the English lords over the peasantry compared to their continental counterparts - they possessed comparatively large demesnes or home farms and they enjoyed comparatively greater controls over customary peasant tenures - in order to explain the peasant eviction. In turn, lords leased the land to their emerging large tenant farmers, or new capitalist entrepreneurs, who opened the path to the agrarian development with innovation - including a dramatic change in land use from arable to pasture - and economic growth. In any case, expropriation of the peasantry would have been the previous and necessary step to make the land worthwhile and profitable and to make possible the road to agrarian capitalism. R. Brenner, 'Agrarian Class Structure and Economic Development in Pre-Industrial Europe', Past and Present 70 (1976), 30-75, as well as the different replies and contributions published in the same journal and collected in T. H. Aston and C. H. E. Philpin eds., The Brenner debate: agrarian class structure and economic development in pre-industrial Europe (Cambridge, 1985). A later book, in which Brenner himself also participated, included Flanders and Holland playing a decisive role in the economic development of Europe, cf. P. Hoppenbrowers and J. Luiten van Zanden eds., Peasant into Farmers? The transformation of rural economy and society in the Low Countries (Middle Ages - 19<sup>th</sup> century) in light of the Brenner debate (Turnhout, 2001).
- 3 G. Béaur, 'Credit and Land in Eighteenth-Century France', in Phillipp Schofield and Thijs Lambrecht eds., Credit and the rural economy in North-Western Europe, c. 1200-c. 1850 (Turnhout, 2009), 153–67; G. Béaur and J.-M. Chevet, 'Institutional Change and Agricultural Growth', in G. Béaur, Phillipp Schofield, J.-M. Chevet and M. T. Pérez-Picazo eds., Property rights, land markets and economic growth in the European Countryside (13th–20th Centuries) (Turnhout, 2013), 19–68; G. Béaur and J-M. Chevet, 'L'émergence de la propriété « parfaite » et l'ouverture du marché foncier, moteurs de la croissance agricole?', Histoire et Sociétés Rurales 48 (2017), 49–92. For Iberia, Pau Viciano, 'Pagesos que innoven. La petita explotació en les transformacions agràries de la fi de l'edat mitjana', in M. Barceló, G. Feliu, A. Furió, M. Miquel and J. Sobrequés eds., El feudalisme comptat i debatut. Formació i expansió del feudalisme català (Valencia, 2003), 503–22; A. Furió and P. Viciano, 'Peasant agency. Technical and productive innovation and market involvement in Iberia in late Middle Ages', in Innovative peasants. land property, investment, work and agrarian change in late Middle Ages, session held at the 4<sup>th</sup> EURHO conference on Rural History, Paris, September 2019; and the following pages of this article.
- 4 The expression 'strategia di conquista territoriale' was coined by Ch. M. de la Roncière, Un changeur florentin du Trecento: Lippo di Fede del Sega (1285 env. - 1363 env.) (Paris, 1973), 66, but the concept, both with this term and with others, has been used by many other authors. See, among others, G. Pinto, 'Note sull'indebitamento contadino e lo sviluppo della proprietà fondiaria cittadina nella Toscana tardomedievale', Ricerche Storiche 10 (1980), 3-19, and 'Mezzadria poderale, contadini e proprietari nel catasto fiorentino del 1427', Società e Storia, 12, 1981, 459-68; G. Piccinni, "Seminare, fruttare, raccogliere". Mezzadri e salariati sulle terre di Monte Oliveto Maggiore (1374-1430) (Milan, 1982); L. Calzolai, 'Il Mugello nel basso medioevo. Organizzazione del territorio e "mondo" rurale', Rivista di storia dell'agricoltura 31, 2 (1991), 108-45 (È fin troppo noto il meccanismo che un po' ovunque consentì alla borghesia cittadina, che perseguiva una vera e propria strategia di conquista territoriale, di mettere in difficoltà questi piccoli proprietari prestando il denaro di cui i contadini avevano bisogno e ottenendo in cambio il pegno della terra', p. 126); P. Pirillo, Costruzione di un contado. I fiorentini e il loro territorio nel Basso Medioevo (Florence, 2001), 200; G. Francesconi, Districtus civitatis Pistorii. Strutture e trasformazioni del potere in un contado toscano (secoli XI-XIV) (Pistoia, 2007); D. Balestracci, A. Barlucchi, F. Franceschi, P. Nanni, and G. Piccinni, A. Zorzi eds., Uomini, paesaggi, storie. Studi di storia medievale per Giovanni Cherubini (Siena, 2012).

- 5 C. J. Zuijderduijn, Medieval Capital Markets. Markets for Renten, State Formation and Private Investment in Holland (1300–1550) (Leiden-Boston, 2009), 265–6. For Iberia, A. Furió, 'Endettement paysan et crédit dans la Péninsule Ibérique au bas Moyen Âge', in M. Berthe ed., Endettement paysan et crédit dans l'Europe médiévale et moderne (Toulouse, 1998), 139–67.
- 6 C. Briggs and C. J. Zuijderduijn eds., Land and credit. Mortgages in the Medieval and early modern European Countryside (London 2018); P. Schofield, 'Access to credit in the early fourteenth-century English countryside', in P. R. Schofield and N. J. Mayhew eds., Credit and debt in Medieval England c. 1180-c. 1350 (Oxford, 2002), 106–26 (119). From this C. Jaco Zuijderduijn deduces 'how a lack of clear property rights increased transaction costs in the capital market and hindered contracting funded debt', and, as a result, 'capital formation was not within reach of the vast majority of the population', Zuijderduijn, Medieval Capital Markets, 266, quoting J. L. Bolton, The Medieval English economy 1150–1500 (London, 1980), 283.
- 7 E. Serra, 'Notes sobre els orígens i l'evolució de l'emfiteusi a Catalunya', Estudis d'història agrària, 7 (1987), 127–38; E. Hubert, 'Urbanisation, propriété et emphytéose au Moyen Âge: remarques introductives', in E. Hubert and O. Faron eds., Le sol et l'immeuble. Les formes dissociées de propriété immobilière dans les villes de France et d'Italie (XII<sup>e</sup>-XIX<sup>e</sup> siècle): actes de la table ronde organisée par le Centre Interuniversitaire d'Histoire et d'Archéologie Médiévales (Rome-Lyon, 1995), 1–8; J.-C. Hélas, 'Emphyteusis tenure: its role in the economy and in the rural society of eastern Languedoc', in K. L. Reyerson and J. Drendel eds., Urban and Rural communities in Medieval France. Provence and Languedoc, 1000–1500 (Leiden, 1998), 193–208; Pere Benito Monclús, Senyoria de la terra i tinença pagesa: estudi sobre les relacions agràries al comtat de Barcelona de la fi dels sistemes d'explotació dominical als orígens de l'emfiteusi (unpublished doctoral thesis, Universitat de Barcelona, 2 vols. 2000); G. Feliu, La llarga nit feudal. Mil anys de pugna entre senyors i pagesos (Valencia, 2010).
- 8 The forms of peasant land tenure, emphyteusis, *lluïsme* and other manorial rights are explained in more detail in section 2.
- 9 Although today 'funded debt' refers in some countries to government debt obligations such as bonds that are backed by the full faith and credit of a public authority, the term is actually related to the duration of the loan: 'funded debt' is also called long-term debt since the term exceeds twelve months, during which time the debt is funded by interest payments made by the borrower. This distinction between short and long-term debt, or *funded debt*, also used by Zuijderduijn (see note 1) and other authors, is crucial in this text. 10 Ll. To, 'Le marché de la terre et la seigneurie dans la Catalogne médiévale', in L. Feller and C. Wickham eds., *Le marché de la terre au Moyen Âge* (Rome, 2005), 479–542. See also other regional contributions in this same volume and in S. Cavaciocchi ed., *Il mercato della terra. Secc. XIII-XVIII (XXXV Settimana di Studi dell'Istituto Internazionale di Storia Economica 'F. Datini'* (Florence, 2004).
- 11 See above, n. 4; see also A. Sapori, 'I mutui dei mercanti fiorentini del Trecento e l'incremento della proprietà fondiaria', in *Studi di Storia Economica I* (Florence, 1955), 191–221; G. Cherubini, 'La proprietà fondiaria in *Italia nei secoli XV e XVI nella storiografia italiana'*, *Società e Storia* 1 (1978), 9–33; G. Pinto, *La Toscana nel tardo Medioevo. Ambiente, economia rurale, società* (Florence, 1982); G. Cherubini, *L'Italia rurale nel basso medioevo* (Bari, 1984), 207–23; J.-C. Maire Vigueur, 'Les rapports ville-campagne dans l'Italie communale: pour une révision des problèmes', in *La ville, la bourgeoisie et la genèse de l'État moderne* (XIIe-XVIIIe siècles) (Paris, 1988), 21–34; G. Piccinni, 'La proprietà della terra, i percettori dei prodotti e della rendita', in C. Poni, G. Pinto and U. Tucci eds., *Storia dell'agricoltura italiana, III. Il Medioevo e l'età moderna* (Florence, 2002), 145–68; G. Pinto, 'City and countryside in Medieval Italy: some reflections on twentieth-century historiography', *Acta Poloniae Historica* 119 (2019), 45–60.
- 12 Except in England, the sale of rents or annuities, both over lifetime and, more often, perpetually, was a very widespread type of credit in Western Europe, from the Empire and the Low Countries to northern France and Iberia. In the Crown of Aragon a political entity that included Catalonia, its main member and its economic and political engine, and the kingdoms of Aragon, Valencia and Mallorca they were known as *violaris* (life) and *censals* (perpetual) and are explained in more detail in section 3.
- 13 On the Crown of Aragon see J. Lee Shneidman, The rise of the Aragonese-Catalan empire 1200–1350 (New York, 1970); J. N. Hillgarth, The problem of a Catalan Mediterranean Empire 1229–1327 (London, 1975); T. N. Bisson, The Medieval Crown of Aragon. A Short History (Oxford, 1986); D. J. Kagay, War, government, and society in the medieval Crown of Aragon (Aldershot, 2007); E. Belenguer ed., Història de la

Corona d'Aragó (Barcelona, 2007); D. S. H. Abulafia and F. Sabaté eds., The crown of Aragon: a singular Mediterranean empire (Leiden, 2017).

- 14 R. I. Burns, Islam under the crusaders: colonial survival in the 13<sup>th</sup> century kingdom of Valencia (Princeton, 1973), and by the same author, Medieval colonialism: post-crusade exploitation of Islamic Valencia (Princeton, 1975), and Muslims, Christians, and Jews in the crusader kingdom of Valencia: Societies in symbiosis (Cambridge, 1984); P. Guichard, Les Musulmans de Valence et la Reconquête (XI<sup>e</sup>-XIII<sup>e</sup> siècles) (Damascus, 1991); D. S. H. Abulafia, 'Jews, Christians and Muslims in the Catalan kingdom of Majorca, 1229–1343', in J. Irmscher ed., Rapports entre juifs, chrétiens et musulmans: eine Sammlung von Forschungsbeiträgen (Amsterdam, 1995), R. I. Burns, 'Muslims as Property: Slavery Episodes in the Realms of Aragon 1244–1291', Sharq al-Andalus, 14/15 (1998), 61–80; H. J. Hames ed., Jews, Muslims, and Christians in and around the Crown of Aragon: essays in honour of Professor Elena Lourie (Boston, 2003); A. Mas Forners, Repoblació o colonització? Indígenes, colons i esclaus a la Mallorca medieval (Palma de Mallorca, 2002); B. A. Catlos, The victors and the vanquished: Christians and Muslims of Catalonia and Aragon, 1030–1300 (New York, 2004); R. Benítez Sánchez-Blanco ed., Entre tierra y fe. Los musulmanes en el reino cristiano de Valencia (1238–1609) (Valencia, 2009).
- 15 J. Lalinde, La jurisdicción real inferior en Cataluña (Barcelona, 1966); F. Sabaté, El veguer a Catalunya. Anàlisi del funcionament de la jurisdicció reial al segle XIV (Barcelona, 1993); M. A. Zapata Buxens, 'Batlles reales y batlles de sanchs. Contribución al estudio de las jurisdicciones locales catalanas en la Baja Edad Media y a las puertas de la Edad Moderna', Espacio, Tiempo y Forma 13 (2000), 251–71; A. Planas Rosselló, 'Los asesores de los vegueres y el baile de Mallorca (ss. XIII–XVIII)', Boletín de la Sociedad Arqueológica Luliana 58 (2002), 75–92; M. Turull, El gobierno de la ciudad medieval: administración y finanzas en las ciudades medievales catalanas (Barcelona, 2009); F. Sabaté, 'Justice, juridiction et pouvoir dans la Catalogne du bas Moyen Âge', in N. Offenstadt and O. Mattéoni eds., Un Moyen Âge pour aujourd'hui: pouvoir d'État, opinion publique, justice: mélanges offertes à Claude Gauvard (Paris, 2010), 278–85; L. Sales Favà, 'Los libros de la corte del baile : fuente para el estudio de las élites urbanas y sus actividades financieras en el noreste catalán (siglos XIV–XVI)', in A. Collantes de Terán and J. A. Bonachía eds., Fuentes para el estudio del negocio fiscal y financiero en los reinos hispánicos (siglos XIV-XVI) (Madrid, 2010), 249–66.
- 16 J. Guiral-Hadziiossif, Valence, port méditerranéen au XV<sup>e</sup> siècle (1410–1525) (Paris, 1986); A. Furió and J. V. García Marsilla, 'La ville entre deux cultures. Valence et son urbanisme entre Islam et féodalité', in S. Bourdin, M. Paoli and A. Reltgen-Tallon eds., La forme de la ville. De l'Antiquité à la Renaissance (Rennes, 2015), 37–55; A. Furió, 'València, 'mare e cap de tot lo regne'', Afers 30 (2015), 149–79; D. Igual, 'Valencia: opportunities of a secondary node', in W. P. Blockmans, M. M. Krom and J. Wubs-Mrozewicz eds., The Routledge handbook of maritime trade around Europe 1300–1600 (London, 2017), 210–27; P. Iradiel, El Mediterráneo medieval y Valencia: economía, sociedad, historia (Valencia, 2017).
- 17 R. H. Britnell and B. M. S. Campbell eds., A commercialising economy. England 1086 to c. 1300 (Manchester, 1995); R. H. Britnell, The commercialisation of English society 1000-1500 (Manchester, 1996). 18 A. Furió, 'Producción agraria, comercialización y mercados rurales en la Corona de Aragón', in José Ángel Sesma Muñoz ed., La Corona de Aragón en el centro de su historia (Zaragoza, 2010), 363-425, and 'Los mercados rurales en la Corona de Aragón', in G. Navarro and C. Villanueva eds., Industrias y mercados rurales en los reinos hispánicos (siglos XIII-XV) (Murcia, 2017), 93-124; E. Guinot Rodríguez, 'Colonización feudal y ordenación económica de un territorio de conquista: la fundación de mercados y ferias en el primer siglo del Reino de Valencia (1233-1350)', in G. Navarro and D. Igual eds., El País Valenciano en la baja Edad Media. Estudios dedicados al profesor Paulino Iradiel (Valencia, 2018), 179-210. 19 J. M. Cruselles, 'Producción y autoconsumo en contratos agrarios de la huerta de Valencia, siglos XIV y XV', in Actes Ier Col·loqui d'Història de l'Alimentació a la Corona d'Aragó (Lleida, 1995), II, 61-78; A. J. Mira and P. Viciano, 'Arrendaments i parceries. La gestió indirecta de la terra al País Valencià (segles XIV-XV)', Anuario de Estudios Medievales 32 (2002), 481-500; F. Garcia-Oliver, 'La ciutat contra el camp a la tardor medieval', in M. Barceló, G. Feliu, A. Furió, M. Miquel, and J. Sobrequés eds., El feudalisme comptat i debatut. Formació i expansió del feudalisme català (Valencia, 2003), 539-58; A. J. Mira Jódar, 'Ordenación del espacio agrario y conducción a corto plazo de la tierra en la Huerta de Valencia (1285-1350), Studi Medievali 45 (2004), 159-204; P. Viciano, Senyors, camperols i mercaders: el món rural valencià al segle XV (Catarroja, 2007); A. Furió and F. Garcia-Oliver, 'Household, peasant holding and labour relations in a Mediterranean rural society. The Valencian country in the late Middle Ages', in Agrosystems and labour relations in European rural societies (Turnhout, 2010), 31-56; A. J. Mira Jódar,

- 'La propiedad agraria eclesiástica en Valencia en la baja Edad Media. Rentas, gestión de la tierra y explotación campesina', in F. Ammannati ed., Religione e istituzioni religiose nell'economia europea (1000–1800) (Florence, 2011), 465–76; P. Viciano, Els peus que calciguen la terra: els llauradors del País Valencià a la fi de l'edat mitjana (Valencia, 2012); F. Garcia-Oliver, 'Élites campesinas en el entorno de la ciudad de Valencia: los Castrellenes', Studia historica. Historia medieval 35, 2 (2017), 119–44.
- 20 A. Furió and F. Garcia-Oliver, 'Dificultats agràries en la formació i consolidació del feudalisme al País Valencià', Estudi General 5/6 (1985–86), 291–310; F. Garcia-Oliver, Terra de feudals. El País Valencià en la tardor de l'edat mitjana (Valencia, 1991); A. Furió, 'El mercado de la tierra en el País Valenciano a finales de la Edad Media', Hispania LV (1995), 887–919; A. Furió, 'Reproducción familiar y reproducción social: familia, herencia y mercado de la tierra en el País Valenciano en la Baja Edad Media', in F. García González ed., Tierra y familia en la España meridional, siglos XIII-XIX. Formas de organización doméstica y reproducción social (Madrid, 1998), 25–43.
- 21 G. Colon and A. Garcia eds., Furs de València, 9 vols. (Barcelona, 1974-2002).
- 22 A. Furió, 'Le crédit dans les registres notariaux de la région de Valence au bas Moyen Âge', Mélanges de l'École française de Rome. Moyen Âge 117 (2005), 407–40.
- **23** A. Furió, 'Crédit, endettement et justice: prêteurs et débiteurs devant le juge dans le royaume de Valence (XIII°-XV° siècle), in Julie Mayade-Claustre ed., *La dette et le juge. Juridiction gracieuse et juridiction contentieuse du XIII° au XV° siècle* (Paris, 2006), 19–54.
- 24 A. Garcia, 'El préstamo judío en la huerta de Valencia durante el siglo XIV', Annals de l'IDECO 1 (1982), 183–223; J. Hinojosa, 'Los judíos del reino de Valencia durante el siglo XV', Annals de la Universidad de Alicante. Historia Medieval 3 (1984), 143–81; J. Hinojosa, 'El préstamo judío en la ciudad de Valencia en la segunda mitad del siglo XIV', Sefarad 45, 2 (1985), 315–6; J. R. Magdalena Nomdedéu, Judíos y cristianos ante la 'Cort del Justicia' de Castellón (Castellón, 1988); A. J. Mira Jódar, 'Els diners dels jueus: activitats econòmiques d'una família hebrea al món rural valencià', Revista d'història medieval 4 (1993), 101–26; A. Furió, 'Diners i crèdit: els jueus d'Alzira en la segona meitat del segle XIV', ibid, 127–60; P. Viciano, 'Endeudarse para consumir e invertir. La función económica de las obligaciones en una villa rural valenciana (Castellón de la Plana en 1499)', Historia Agraria 61 (2013), 13–44, and by the same author, 'Mercado cerealista, crédito a corto plazo y desigualdad económica en el reino de Valencia. Las villas de Cocentaina y Castellón en el siglo XV', Hispania 78 (2018), 103–37.
- 25 J. V. García Marsilla, Vivir a crédito en la Valencia medieval. De los orígenes del sistema censal al endeudamiento del municipio (Valencia, 2002), and 'Estructura de la propietat i mercat de les rendes a la València posterior a la conquista (1238–1350)', in Barceló et alii, El feudalisme comptat i debatut, 389–402.
- **26** A. Furió, J. V. García Marsilla, A. J. Mira Jódar, S. Vercher and P. Viciano, 'Endeutament i morositat en una comunitat rural. El censal a Sueca a finals del segle XV', in *Actes de la V Assemblea d'Història de la Ribera (1988)* (Almussafes, 1993), 119–65.
- 27 Due to the enormous nature of the preserved documentation, in the case of Valencia only the thirteen century court records (about 2,000 documents) and a single volume of auction sales from the mid-fourteen century have been analysed.
- 28 For notarial documentation, R. Bañó ed., Un notal alcoià dels anys 1296–1303 (Barcelona, 2013), and judicial records, E. Guinot, M. A. Diéguez and C. Ferragud eds., Llibre de la Cort del Justícia de València, 1 (1280–1282) (Valencia, 2008); R. M. Gregori, J. V. Garcia Marsilla and R. J. Pujades eds., Llibre de la Cort del Justícia de València, 2 (1283–1287) (Valencia, 2008); A. Silvestre ed., Llibre de la Cort del Justícia de València, 3 (1287–1288, 1298) (Valencia, 2008); J. Torró ed., Llibre de la Cort del Justícia de Cocentaina (1269–1290) (Valencia, 2009); J. Torró ed., Llibre de la Cort del Justícia de Cocentaina (1294–1295) (Valencia, 1209); M. A. Diéguez and C. Ferragud eds., Llibre de la Cort del Justícia d'Alcoi (1263–1265) (Valencia, 2011).
- **29** Arxiu del Regne de València, *Justícia Civil*, 1353, "Vendes majors"; Arxiu Municipal de Cocentaina, *Cort del Justícia*, sign. 3/1, 8/2, 14, 31/11, 44/1, 38/1, 46/1 and 51; Arxiu Municipal d'Alcoi, *Protocols notarials*, sign. XV.1.
- **30** The book, edited by Ricard Bañó (*Un notal alcoià*, *cit.*) contains a total of 909 deeds, but some are incomplete and do not contain enough information, so the database we have used consists of 892 documents.
- **31** Let us see some examples of debt recognition before a notary. In the first one, dated 13 August 1296, Jaume Jofré and his wife Maria acknowledge that they owe 200 *sous* to Bernat Centonja and his family, *quos nobis bono amore mutuastis* (that you *lent* us). As a pledge for the loan, the debtors offer an orchard,

possession of which passes to the lender until the debt is paid (pro quibus denariis mitimus vobis pignora quoddam ortum nostrum quod habemus in orta de Alcoy..., sub tali condicione: quod vos teneatis dictum ortum usque vobis sit solutum de predicto debito), as well as the fruit from the said orchard (Damus vobis donacione pura facta inter vivos omnia expleta que ibi sunt vel erunt, cuicumque generis sint, usque vobis sit solutum de predicto debito, et nihil detis nobis vel nostris). In addition to this pledge, the debtors also offer all their assets as collateral (Obligamus omnia bona nostra) and name a guarantor who also obligates all his assets (Damus vobis fidanciam salvitatis Mathe d'Açagra, qui concessit et obligavit omnia bona sua). In the second deed, dated 9 September of the same year, the creditor, Domingo de Torregrossa, extended the period in which the debtor, Joan de Fonts, had to settle the debt. The document also informs us about the reason for the loan (the purchase of two pairs of oxen), the date by which it had to be repaid, and other additional conditions: 'Domingo de Toregrossa, on behalf of myself and my family, I give you, Joan de Fonts, a period of grace with regard to the 260 sous that you should have paid me by now for the two pairs of oxen that you bought from me, and for which you had to give me a quarter of all the fruits that you would sow with the said oxen, so that you may pay me the said money at the next Carnival festival, and of the other fruits, which are yours, you do not have to give me anything.' A few days later, on an unspecified date, but almost certainly in the same month of September, Pere d'Avinyó and Domingo Ibáñez de Campos, inhabitants of the hamlet of Les Olles, within the district of the city of Xàtiva, acknowledged that they owed to Mateu d'Açagra, a resident of Alcoi, 562 s. and 9 d., for the 105 goats that they had bought from him, promising to pay half at the next Eastertide and the other half on Saint John's Day in the month of June (the 24th). Finally, two other documents clearly show the connection between the purchase of land and the contracting of a debt. On 4 March 1297, Romeu Company and his wife Antonia purchased, for 360 sous from Ramon de Claramunt, a piece of land that the latter had bought that same day from Ramon Daviu and his wife Esclaramunda for 310 sous. However, Romeu and Antonia did not pay in cash there and then; in another deed, registered on the same day, they acknowledged that they owed the seller, Ramon de Claramunt, the said 360 sous, of which they promised to pay half on the next Saint Mary's Day in August (the 15th) and the other half on the same day the following year. As collateral they obligated all their assets and named Jaume Torregrossa as the guarantor (fidanciam). Bañó ed., Un notal alcoià, cit., docs. 48 (p. 48), 75 (p. 59) and 82, (pp. 62-63). The five documents attest not only to the legal form assumed by credit in notarial deeds, but also to the nature of the economic operations formalised by them (debt recognitions), their monetary volume, much greater than that registered in the obligations before the judge, the terms (generally of months or a few years, in contrast to the shorter term of obligations), the collaterals and the guarantors. And in a very particular way, the close connection between the land and credit markets, since in general the purchases of parcels or compact properties were financed with the deferral of payment and, therefore, the establishment of a debt.

- 32 Obligations were registered in a very brief act, with very few formulas, in which the debtor obliged himself before the judge to pay the creditor a certain amount for a particular reason within a stated period. Let's look at two examples taken from the court records of Cocentaina. In the first, dated 13 September 1341, Domingo Vidal 'obliges himself and all his assets to give and pay to Bernat Cirera, present, 320 sous... from now to the next feast of Saint John in the month June. Which amount he confesses he owes him by reason of a house that he bought from him in the town of Cocentaina'. Arxiu Municipal de Cocentaina, Cort del Justícia, 1341-1342, sign. 8/2. In the second, registered on 29 April 1449, the peasants Bernat Munçó and Joan Pérez 'voluntarily oblige themselves to give and pay Bartomeu Maïques, present, 84 sous for cloth they have bought and owe him, which they promise to pay from now to the next month of April', ibid, 1449, sign. 37/2. In both cases we have the names of the debtor and the creditor, who appear together before the judge, the amount owed, the reason for the debt and the period in which the debtor agrees to settle it. As collateral, the debtor obligates all his assets, which may be sold to pay off the debt. On 'Obligacions' see Magdalena Nomdedéu, Judíos y cristianos, cit.; Furió, 'Crédit, endettement et justice'; P. Viciano, 'Mercado cerealista, crédito a corto plazo y desigualdad económica en el reino de Valencia. Las villas de Cocentaina y Castellón en el siglo XV', Hispania 258 (2018), 103-37, and by the same author, 'Endeudarse para consumir e invertir'.
- 33 On this new type of long-term credit see below and note 41.
- 34 J. A. Llibrer, *Industria textil y desarrollo regional. La Vall d'Albaida y el Comtat en el siglo XV* (unpublished doctoral thesis, Universitat de València, 2010).
- 35 J. A. Llibrer, Los orígenes de la industria de la lana en la Baja Edad Media. El Comtat en el siglo XV (Valencia, 2007).

- **36** In the latter case, the marriage had been celebrated 26 years earlier, in 1464, when the wife's family had contributed 1,200 *sous* as a dowry and the husband had contributed half, 600, as *creix* or *augmentum*. On the death of the husband, the widow should have received both amounts and the judge of Cocentaina obligated the executors of the deceased husband to pay the widow 1,800 *sous*.
- 37 García Marsilla, Vivir a crédito, cit., pp. 40 and 53.
- **38** *Ibid*, p. 53. On the rarity of both the pledge in Jewish loans and land sales to repay the debt, see also Furió, 'Diners i crèdit'.
- 39 Garcia, 'El préstamo judío'. See also Hinojosa, 'El préstamo judío en la ciudad de Valencia'.
- **40** Arxiu del Regne de València, Justícia Civil, *Vendes Majors*, 1353. The sample is still small because it is part of an ongoing investigation.
- 41 These new rents *violaris* and *censals* –, derived from traditional emphyteutic rent, were no longer of an emphyteutical nature and were superimposed on the agrarian rents in the plots held in emphyteusis or were created anew in the plots held in allodium, free. Indeed, debtors sold the receipt of rents on their real estate, generally land, which, in the case of parcels of land, were added to the rents they already paid for the useful domain of these. This type of credit took the form of a sale, in which the debtor appeared as the seller, the lender or creditor as the buyer, the interest pension, annuity as the rent sold, and the borrowed capital as the sale price. On the origins and spread of this type of rent, see A. García, 'El censal', *Boletín de la Sociedad Castellonense de Cultura* 37 (1961) 281–310; 'El violari', in *Homenatge Sebastià García Martínez* (Valencia, 1988), 179–88; A. Furió, 'Crédito y endeudamiento: el censal en la sociedad rural valenciana (siglos XIV-XV)', in E. Serrano and E. Sarasa eds., *Señorío y feudalismo en la Península Ibérica (ss. XII-XIX)* (Saragossa 1993), 501–34; García Marsilla, *Vivir a crédito*; D. Rubio, *El crèdit a llarg termini a Barcelona en la segona meitat del segle XIV: els censals morts i els violaris* (Barcelona, 2003).
- **42** García Marsilla, *Vivir a crédito*, cit., p. 314, Table 40. Unfortunately, only the loans granted by Jews were recognised as usurious or at interest, so that among the claims it is not possible to know the number of loans granted by Christian lenders.
- 43 Court records include various series, including those directly related to credit and debt, *Obligacions, Manaments executoris* and *Lletres*. I have previously used the first of these to analyse the forms of credit and payment deadlines; the other two correspond to the judicial exhortations to debtors to pay the debt, different in that *Manaments executoris* are addressed directly to residents of the same locality while *Lletres* are letters sent by the judge to the judges in other localities in where the debtors reside, urging them to pay the debt.
- 44 In one of the cases in Cocentaina in 1470, the court officer declared that he had not found any movable assets to seize in the debtor's house because all of them had already been seized, so he auctioned the debtor's house. When no bidders were found, the creditor himself offered 10 *lliures* (pounds), which was the value of the debt. The judge did not accept it and ordered the officer to continue auctioning the house, until finally, after several attempts, a buyer was found who offered 20 *lliures* and to whom it was sold. As per the usual procedure, the buyer handed over the amount of the price to the judge, who closed the case by issuing a document of sale for the property.
- 45 It must be emphasised that the land was only auctioned when the debtor's movable assets were not enough to satisfy the debt, and that harvests were seized and sold off before land.
- **46** In many cases the asset seized and auctioned would be a knife or a writing pen, sold for a value equal to that of the debt, whose precise meaning (perhaps symbolic or as proof of the buyer's right to the debtor's property) is still not understood.
- 47 In fact, the fine and court costs could be even higher than the debt. In Cocentaina in 1470 the court costs could amount to between 20 and 40 sous, depending on whether the debtor resided in another locality and the judge had to send messengers with the execution orders to his colleague in other village or town. Instead the penalties or fines were proportional to the value of the unpaid annuity or loan. In this case, they used to be between 15 (for an annuity of 33 sous and 4 diners) and 20 sous, but they could be as much as 50 (for a debt of 212 sous and another of 490) and even 100 sous (for a debt of 430). AMC, Cort del Justícia, 44 (1470).
- 48 Both cases in A. Furió and A.J. Mira, 'Le marché de la terre dans le pays de Valence au Bas Moyen Âge', in Feller and Wickham, *Le marché de la terre au Moyen Âge*, 573–623 (613).
- 49 Ibid., 610.
- **50** *Ibid.*

# French Abstract

Les écrits publiés sur l'économie rurale du haut et de la fin du Moyen Âge ont établi depuis longtemps une corrélation étroite entre trois caractéristiques marquantes de cette époque : la diffusion du crédit et le dynamisme du marché foncier en milieu rural ainsi que l'expropriation des terres paysannes par les créanciers, généralement laboureurs riches ou propriétaires fonciers urbains. Pour certaines régions, comme l'Italie du Nord, on a même pu parler d'une stratégie délibérée de conquête territoriale, dans la mesure où le crédit accordé par les prêteurs urbains aurait pu viser à exproprier les terres des débiteurs insolvables. Pour l'Espagne méditerranéenne de la fin du Moyen Âge, et en particulier pour l'ancien royaume de Valence, l'auteur étudie d'autres objectifs du crédit rural et d'autres alternatives à l'expropriation paysanne en cas d'insolvabilité. Appuyé sur les riches fonds archivistiques de la région, principalement les actes notariés et documents judiciaires, l'article présente la diffusion du crédit rural, les différentes modalités (à court et long terme), les motivations des créanciers et débiteurs, les types d'intérêts, les garants et les biens proposés en caution des prêts, leur confiscation en cas de retard ou d'insolvabilité. En conclusion, contrairement à ce qui put être observé ailleurs, les créanciers, plutôt qu'aux terres elles-mêmes, s'intéressaient aux rentes, c'est-à-dire aux annuités que leur versaient les débiteurs à titre d'intérêts sur les prêts obtenus. La diffusion du crédit à long terme, par conséquent, non seulement n'a pas menacé ni renversé le système de propriété foncière, de tenure et de gestion basé sur l'extraction régulière de rentes, mais l'a, au contraire, véritablement renforcé.

# **German Abstract**

In der Literatur zur Landwirtschaft im Hoch- und Spätmittelalter gilt es seit langem als ausgemacht, dass in dieser Zeit drei charakteristische Merkmale eng miteinander verknüpft waren: Ausweitung des ländlichen Kredits, Dynamik des bäuerlichen Grundstücksmarktes und Enteignung des Bauernlandes durch die Gläubiger, die normalerweise wohlhabende Freibauern oder städtische Grundbesitzer waren. Für einige Länder (Norditalien) hat man sogar von einer bewussten Strategie der territorialen Eroberung gesprochen, wonach die von städtischen Gläubigern gewährten Kredite nur darauf abzielten, den insolventen Schuldnern ihr Land zu entziehen. Dieser Aufsatz untersucht für das mittelmeerische Spanien im Spätmittelalter, insbesondere für das Königreich Valencia, ob mit dem ländlichen Kredit nicht auch andere Zwecke verfolgt wurden und ob im Falle der Insolvenz nicht auch Alternativen zur bäuerlichen Enteignung bestanden. Auf der Basis reichhaltiger regionaler Archivbestände, vor allem Notariats- und Gerichtsakten, untersucht der Aufsatz die Verbreitung des ländlichen Kredits, seine unterschiedlichen Formen (kurz- und langfristig), die Motivation von Gläubigern und Schuldnern, ferner Zinsformen, Bürgen und die als Sicherheiten dienenden Güter sowie deren Konfiszierung im Falle von Zahlungsverzug oder Insolvenz. Er kommt zu dem Schluss, dass, anders als sonst, die Gläubiger nicht an Land, sondern an Renten interessiert waren, also an festen Zahlungen, die ihnen von den Schuldnern als Zins für die erhaltenen Darlehen zustanden. Durch die Ausbreitung des langfristigen Kredits wurde somit ein auf regulärer Rentengewinnung basierendes System des Besitzes, der Verpachtung und der Bewirtschaftung von Land nicht nur nicht bedroht oder gar unterminiert, sondern gerade umgekehrt gestärkt.