



VOLUME 28 ISSUE 2

The International Journal of
**Educational Organization
and Leadership**

Brazilian Teachers' Absenteeism
Work Design Predictive Model

AMALIA RAQUEL PÉREZ-NEBRA, MARINA GREGHI STICCA, FABIANA QUEIROGA, AND NÚRIA TORDERA



THELEARNER.COM

THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL ORGANIZATION AND LEADERSHIP

<https://thelearner.com>
ISSN: 2329-1656 (Print)
ISSN: 2329-1591 (Online)
<https://doi.org/10.18848/2329-1656/CGP> (Journal)

First published by Common Ground Research Networks in 2021
University of Illinois Research Park
60 Hazelwood Drive
Champaign, IL 61820 USA
Ph: +1-217-328-0405
<https://cgnetworks.org>

The International Journal of Educational Organization and Leadership is a peer-reviewed, scholarly journal.

COPYRIGHT

© 2021 (individual papers), the author(s)
© 2021 (selection and editorial matter),
Common Ground Research Networks



Some Rights Reserved.
Public Licensed Material: Available under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International Public License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0). The use of this material is permitted for non-commercial use provided the creator(s) and publisher receive attribution. No derivatives of this version are permitted. Official terms of this public license apply as indicated here:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/legalcode>



Common Ground Research Networks, a member of Crossref

EDITOR

Bill Cope, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA
Mary Kalantzis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

MANAGING EDITOR

Kortney Sutherland, Common Ground Research Networks, USA

ADVISORY BOARD

The Advisory Board of The Learner Research Network recognizes the contribution of many in the evolution of the Research Network. The principal role of the Advisory Board has been, and is, to drive the overall intellectual direction of the Research Network. A full list of members can be found at <https://thelearner.com/about/advisory-board>.

PEER REVIEW

Articles published in *The International Journal of Educational Organization and Leadership* are peer reviewed using a two-way anonymous peer review model. Reviewers are active participants of The Learner Research Network or a thematically related Research Network. The publisher, editors, reviewers, and authors all agree upon the following standards of expected ethical behavior, which are based on the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) Core Practices. More information can be found at <https://cgnetworks.org/journals/publication-ethics>.

ARTICLE SUBMISSION

The International Journal of Educational Organization and Leadership publishes biannually (June, December). To find out more about the submission process, please visit <https://thelearner.com/journals/call-for-papers>.

ABSTRACTING AND INDEXING

For a full list of databases in which this journal is indexed, please visit <https://thelearner.com/journals/collection>.

RESEARCH NETWORK MEMBERSHIP

Authors in *The International Journal of Educational Organization and Leadership* are members of The Learner Research Network or a thematically related Research Network. Members receive access to journal content. To find out more, visit <https://thelearner.com/about/become-a-member>.

SUBSCRIPTIONS

The International Journal of Educational Organization and Leadership is available in electronic and print formats. Subscribe to gain access to content from the current year and the entire backlist. Contact us at cgscholar.com/cg_support.

ORDERING

Single articles and issues are available from the journal bookstore at <https://cgscholar.com/bookstore>.

OPEN RESEARCH

The International Journal of Educational Organization and Leadership is Hybrid Open Access, meaning authors can choose to make their articles open access. This allows their work to reach an even wider audience, broadening the dissemination of their research. To find out more, please visit <https://cgnetworks.org/journals/open-research>.

DISCLAIMER

The authors, editors, and publisher will not accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may have been made in this publication. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Brazilian Teachers' Absenteeism: Work Design Predictive Model

Amalia Raquel Pérez-Nebra,¹ University of Brasília, Brazil
Marina Greggi Sticca, University of São Paulo, Brazil
Fabiana Queiroga, Université Côte d'Azur, France
Núria Tordera, University of Valencia, Spain

Abstract: Sickness-related absenteeism in teachers represents financial, social, and human costs. This study aimed to analyze the relationship between work characteristics and lengths of absence. The main hypothesis is that different work characteristics are predictors of different lengths of absenteeism. In total, 1,530 teachers participated in the study. The results supported the main hypothesis and suggested that physical demands, task identity, and job complexity are useful to explain absenteeism. It is concluded that analyzing different absenteeism lengths makes it possible to broaden the phenomenon discussion and qualify the relationship between work characteristics and absenteeism. This study has implications for interventions to reduce absenteeism.

Keywords: Work Context, Sickness Absence, Task Characteristics, Work Characteristics, Work Design

Introduction

Absenteeism can be defined as non-attendance when an employee is scheduled to work (Price 1997). It is a phenomenon that has financial, social, and human impacts. The financial cost is part of the public policy agenda in different countries, mainly in impoverished countries, while the social cost is the social repercussion of the teacher's work, and the human cost is the result of the illness. Teacher absenteeism is a globally growing concern and has consequences for governments, schools, institutional managers, teachers, and students, along with a decrease in education quality (Obiero, Mwebi, and Nyang'ara 2017).

Sickness Absenteeism

Sickness absenteeism refers to absences from work due to reasons related to health problems. According to different reviews (Čikeš, Ribarić, and Črnjar 2018; Møller 2019; Steers and Rhodes 1978; Brooke 1986), absenteeism can be caused by demographic, personal, attitudinal, health-related, organizational, and work-related determinants. Several different measures of sick leave can be found, and it is deeply dependent on the social insurance legislation (Hensing et al. 1998). Absenteeism studies have been conducted in Western, Educated, Industrialized, Rich, and Democratic (WEIRD) countries (Henrich, Heine, and Norenzayan 2010; Muthukrishna et al. 2020) with different absenteeism approaches in specific sectors. Hensing et al. (1998) suggest five different ways to measure sickness absence, and they suggest applying one or more measure according to the aim of the study (Mckeown and Furness 1987). In the present case, the total duration of the absence is the major interest. As teachers that work directly with children and need substitution in the case of absence, it was decided to map absence lengths based on local insurance legislation.

Absenteeism is a behavioral variable with organizational outcomes (Price 1997; Čikeš, Ribarić, and Črnjar 2018); it is easily converted into costs (Saksvik, Grødal, and Karanika-Murray 2017; Čikeš, Ribarić, and Črnjar 2018; Mckeown and Furness 1987), and it has different nuances.

¹ Corresponding Author: Amalia Raquel Pérez-Nebra, Universidade de Brasília, Faculdade de Administração, Contabilidade e Economia (FACE), Programa de Pós—Graduação em Administração, Campus Darcy Ribeiro, Asa Norte, CEP 70910-900, Brasília DF, Brazil. email: amalia.perez@unb.br

It is sometimes considered a variable of counterproductive behavior at work or a variable of malaise (Warr and Nielsen 2018; Čikeš, Ribarić, and Črnjar 2018). Furthermore, it is categorized as (in)voluntary (Brooke 1986; Steers and Rhodes 1978), where choice and legitimation are the basis for this distinction and influence the choice between absenteeism and presenteeism (Cancelliere et al. 2011; Saksvik, Grødal, and Karanika-Murray 2017). Other categorizations and adjustments are made mainly due to the limitations of statistical analysis. No segmentation proposals based on organizational policies or context were found.

Absenteeism, which is a complex phenomenon, has been studied by different lines of thought that can be complementary. Organizational and work psychology has focused more on individual factors that can explain behavior (Čikeš, Ribarić, and Črnjar 2018; Saksvik, Grødal, and Karanika-Murray 2017), while business management focuses on organizational variables to explain it.

At the individual level, the demographic and personal variables have contradictory results (Pitts 2010; Parker, Morgeson, and Johns 2017; Čikeš, Ribarić, and Črnjar 2018; Gosselin, Lemyre, and Corneil 2013; Obiero, Mwebi, and Nyang'ara 2017). The organizational models that seek to explain absenteeism focus predominantly on the work characteristics that are recognized predictors of organizational outcomes (Parker, Morgeson, and Johns 2017; Parker, Knight, and Ohly 2019). In this sense, different sickness absenteeism models emphasize the importance of work characteristics by using slightly different names (Gosselin, Lemyre, and Corneil 2013; Hackman and Oldham 1976; Guise 1988; Brooke 1986; Steers and Rhodes 1978). However, no studies were found using the most recent work design model proposed by Morgeson and Humphrey (2006), which includes both motivational and demanding work characteristics. The educational field is also under-researched, and there are no studies from South America on absenteeism published in major journals. Thus, this study aims to analyze the relationship between work characteristics and absenteeism in Brazil with teachers in different lengths of absenteeism.

Work Characteristics as a Predictor of Sickness Absenteeism

Work design that includes work characteristics was chosen (Parker, Knight, and Ohly 2019) as an antecedent because it is classically associated with absenteeism. Work design is defined as “the study, creation, and modification of the composition, content, structure, and environment within which jobs and roles are enacted” (Morgeson and Humphrey 2008, 47). The work design is based on the job characteristics model by Hackman and Oldham (1976), which proposes that core job dimensions promote individual motivation, satisfaction, and performance by critical psychological states experienced as significant (Parker, Morgeson, and Johns 2017). These characteristics were adopted to explain behaviors at work and organizational results, such as turnover, motivation, and job satisfaction. Steers and Rhodes’ (1978) absenteeism emblematical model is quite similar in their premises.

The perspective of job characteristics has also been supported by studies in the area of education (Guise 1988). However, in this context, absenteeism is explained by the demand and the non-motivational work characteristics as the original authors had proposed (Parker, Morgeson, and Johns 2017). The results of the study correlate absenteeism in the context of education with the absence of material support (Croon et al. 2015), poor working conditions, lack of qualification of the technical teaching staff, lack of appropriate space for reflection on teaching practice, triple working hours, lack of control over time, behavioral problems of students (lack of limits and education, relationship difficulties), excessive bureaucracy, implementation of new educational initiatives, difficulty in relationships with supervisors, work organization, lack of recognition, and problems in the physical environment (ergonomics, furniture, equipment and noise, and temperature conditions) (Diehl and Marin 2016; Gasparini, Barreto, and Assunção 2005; Mazzola, Schonfeld, and Spector 2011).

Thus, a proposal that considers both motivational and demanding characteristics of the work should be considered in research in educational contexts (Demerouti et al. 2001).

Morgeson and Humphrey's (2008, 2006) proposal is a comprehensive model that describes the work design from four dimensions of characteristics: task, knowledge, social, and contextual. Part of these characteristics is motivational, and the other part is demandant.

According to Morgeson and Humphrey (2006), task characteristics include how the work is conducted and the nature of the task associated with a particular job, in this case, teachers. Knowledge characteristics describe the kinds of knowledge that are demanded or challenged by the job. Social characteristics reflect the magnitude of social exchange needed and offered by the task. Finally, contextual characteristics describe the physical factors demanded or offered by the job as comfort, physical demands, and technology.

Among the models that explain the relationship between work characteristics and absenteeism, including demands, are the models demand-control and jobs demands-resource (JD-R) (Parker, Morgeson, and Johns 2017). The second model advances historically in relation to the first and will be the one used in the present work. The JD-R explains absenteeism from the relationship between motivation and tension and has been supported in the literature (Parker, Knight, and Ohly 2019). According to the model, all jobs include demands and resources. Demands can be defined as aspects of work that "require sustained physical or mental effort and are therefore associated with certain psychological and physiological costs" (Demerouti et al. 2001, 501). While resources are defined as aspects of work that are either or (a) functional to achieve work goals; (b) reduce work demands and the associated physiological and psychological costs; and (c) stimulate personal growth and development. Examples of resources include the support from colleagues and supervisors (who help achieve goals), work autonomy (which reduces demands), and performance feedback (which can facilitate learning).

The JD-R model integrates two basic psychological processes. First, the stress process, which is characterized by excessive demands and lack of resources, can generate negative consequences, such as poor performance and absenteeism (Schaufeli, Bakker, and van Rhenen 2009). In general, when demands are high and not compensated for by resources, the worker's energy is gradually drained, leading to negative consequences for the individual and the organization. The second motivational process is characterized by the existence of abundant resources that generate positive consequences.

Thus, considering that absenteeism has different categorizations, absenteeism of short length and absenteeism of long length are different and therefore will have different predictors. Studies indicate that motivational and demanding variables are related to different types of absenteeism (Bakker et al. 2003; Mathieu and Kohler 1990b; Schaufeli, Bakker, and van Rhenen 2009), so the first hypothesis of the work is suggested:

- H1: Different work characteristics will be predictors of different categories of absenteeism.

Studies also suggest a relationship between resource variables and low absenteeism: task characteristics (autonomy, task variety, task identification, performance feedback), social characteristics (social relationships, social support), and context characteristics (comfort at work) (Humphrey, Nahrgang, and Morgeson 2007; Parker, Knight, and Ohly 2019; Gosselin, Lemyre, and Corneil 2013; Steers and Rhodes 1978; Brooke 1986). However, job demand variables are related to more days of absence, and there is evidence that knowledge characteristics (job complexity—high responsibility) and context characteristics (physical demands) show inconclusive results with absenteeism (Parker, Knight, and Ohly 2019; Gosselin, Lemyre, and Corneil 2013; Humphrey, Nahrgang, and Morgeson 2007). Also, absenteeism seems to be more related to work design in precarious working conditions (Parker, Knight, and Ohly 2019), and organizational variables showed a weaker explanatory power in the models (Gosselin, Lemyre, and Corneil 2013). Based on this data, the following hypotheses of the work were proposed:

- H2: Resource variables will be negative predictors of absenteeism.
- H3: Demand variables will be positive predictors of absenteeism.

Thus, this study aims to examine the relationship between teachers' work characteristics and sickness absenteeism. The final goal is to assist public policy makers in improving work conditions that might foster health and reduce sickness absenteeism of the teachers. Teachers' absenteeism is a public social problem, and data from poor and less developed countries are scarce.

Method

Participants

A total of 3,495 elementary education teachers from the Brazilian Federal District, distributed in fourteen regional offices and headquarters, responded to the survey. Of these, filters were applied to locate class teachers: to be working in the classroom (that is, not having responded as "I don't work as a teacher" or "other"), not being readapted or in the process of readaptation (which also implies leaving the classroom), having been absent in the last six months for less than thirty days, and being in a school unit and not showing missing values in these answers. It was decided to analyze class teachers who were absent for less than thirty days, considering that absenteeism above this value can characterize a leave due to chronic illness (Pęciłło 2015) or the individual can be sick several times during a year (Hensing et al. 1998) and that there are specific policies for this type of leave in the organization. The sample was therefore composed of 1,530 class teachers.

Respondents were predominantly women (72.4%), most of whom were married (68.0%) or single (19.4%). Most of them were working in the initial grades (46.9%), i.e., from preschool to the 4th grade (known as activity teachers), followed by language teachers (28.0%), human sciences (10.2%), natural sciences (7.9%), and mathematics (6.4%).

Among the profile data, it should also be noted that the participants were equally divided on teacher training at the certificate level (in Brazil, technical level). Almost all teachers have at least university graduation as the last level of education (96.3%). The average age of the participants was 43.48 years ($SD = 7.86$).

The mean time of experience of teachers at the Department of Education of the Federal District (SEEDF) is also high (14.04 years; $SD = 8.21$), but experience in the current school indicates a level of teacher turnover. It is interesting to note the teachers who responded that they had been in the school unit for up to one year (19.7%), while only four (i.e., 0.1%) had been in the same school unit for thirty years or more.

The SEEDF is the smallest Department of Education in Brazil. It contains 692 public schools at different levels and types, with 35,000 employees. It was founded in 1962, two years after the new capital, Brasília, was inaugurated.

Instruments

Participants indicated by self-report how many days they missed work due to illness in the last six months. The self-reported method was preferred because many of the sickness-related absences do not lead to a medical certificate and, therefore, can make the report more reliable. This methodological alternative has already been used and successfully tested by other researchers (Mehlum et al. 2009; Pęciłło 2015).

The questionnaire by Borges-Andrade et al. (2019), a version of the Work Design Questionnaire by Morgeson and Humphrey (2006) adapted to the Brazilian context, was used to evaluate the job characteristics. The questionnaire contains seventy-one items organized in eighteen subcategories and four categories (task, knowledge, social, and work context characteristics). The reliability indicators were all above 0.72, except for working conditions with an alpha of 0.67. Sociodemographic characteristics were also asked.

Procedures

Data collection occurred both on paper and online. There was no significant difference in any of the personal or professional variables of the present study regarding the type of data collection performed; therefore, it was decided to group all data without distinction of the type of collection.

The research had several strategies of internal dissemination (circular letters, web page, and word-of-mouth), and it took two years of data collection until there was a significant adherence of teachers to the research. However, most of the participants responded to the online questionnaire, which was available for two weeks. During this period, there were some issues, such as the publication of an ordinance limiting the number of absentees by attendance at work and a strike by the teachers. These were reasons that led to the change from paper-and-pencil collection to the online collection, which represented 85.3 percent of the survey responses.

Data Analysis

The first step in the analysis of the absenteeism data was to compare it with the organization's data. The mean of the last six months of the complete sample was 11.02 days ($SD = 28.22$; $Mo = 0$; $Me = 2.0$). The report on the organization's absenteeism profile revealed an index of sickness-related absenteeism in the same period of 8.31 days (SEEDF Directorate of Health Epidemiology 2018). This comparative data provided researchers with additional confirmation that the self-reported data were a reliable alternative for obtaining this information.

The data on sickness-related absenteeism had to be analyzed according to the nature of the variable. It tested the possibility of using raw data. The normality test of the complete sample by standardized asymmetry value (i.e., divided by the error) was 134.00, and kurtosis was 322.09, i.e., results that make linear analyses unfeasible. The assumption of a Poisson distribution was also tested, but the test result was significant, implying a violation of the assumption.

The literature that analyzes sickness absenteeism presents different forms of treatment and profiling that is based on an annual measure: by quartiles (van Rhenen et al. 2008), zero or more than one absence, excluding chronically ill people (Peçiflo 2015) (in this case, it was not clear what would be defined as chronically ill, but it can be understood as above thirty days of absenteeism by the context), above and below fifteen days of leave (Framke et al. 2016; Tüchsen, Christensen, and Lund 2008), and percentage of absence per group, in which case it was completed with two levels of analysis (Väänänen et al. 2008). This data together suggest that an alternative is to segment the sample, and it depends partly on the available data (e.g., quartiles or many cases of zero absenteeism). Again, mapping lengths based on practice is a viable alternative.

Despite the data available in the literature, the institution's practice is to differentiate between work leaves. According to the national decree, absences under fifteen days (fourteen days or less) do not require an expert medical report, and longer absences are submitted to this procedure. Bimonthly absences of up to three days can be registered directly by the school on the timesheet. For medical certificates of more than three days and less than fourteen days, the professional needs to go and change the certificate in the responsible area and then present it to the school board (i.e., school director). Certificates of longer than fifteen days need to be approved in the health sector and undergo another type of procedure.

After the segmentation of fewer than thirty days, normality tests suggested problems (asymmetry of 30.81 and kurtosis of 29.56 for both types of analysis). Therefore, sickness-related absenteeism was segmented into five length profiles: Profile 0—zero absences (39.2% of the sample), Profile 1—between one to three absences (28.4%), Profile 2—between four to seven absences (seven considering that the annual double would be fourteen days of absenteeism; 15.9%), Profile 3—between eight to fourteen absences (9.9%), and Profile 4—between fifteen to twenty-nine absences (6.5%).

Regarding the work design, two categories presented normality issues (Information Processing and Skill Variety, with standardized asymmetries of -21.83 and -23.41, respectively). Although the problem of normality exists, Field, Miles, and Field (2012) suggest a visual inspection of the variables depending on the sample size, and there were no bimodal cases or “U” curves. The reliability analyses were performed using the SPSS.

To examine the relationship between work design and sickness-related absenteeism, pseudo R squares and probability tests with 95 percent confidence intervals were performed with multinomial logistic regression using Profile 0 (zero absences) as a comparison reference. Spearman correlation was conducted as the variable is not normal.

Results

Initially, the correlations between sickness-related absenteeism² among teachers and categories of work design were analyzed. The work design factors that least correlated with the absenteeism of the class teachers were those related to the knowledge and social support characteristics, but there are exceptions. In these cases, even though the magnitudes are also not expressive, the significance of the correlations indicates that the lack of support tends to increase teachers’ absences.

On the other hand, almost all the factors of task characteristics dimension and physical demands were significantly associated with absenteeism. The factors related to the perception of autonomy (when work scheduling rho = -0.14; decision-making and carrying out work rho = -0.12; *p* < 0.01 for both), task identity (rho = -0.13; *p* < 0.01), and feedback from job (rho = -0.08; *p* < 0.01), in which the correlations were significant and inverse. This information reveals a tendency for absenteeism to be greater when teachers perceive less autonomy or do not clearly understand how the work should be performed. Finally, the negative perception of the working context also seems to affect the absences of teachers. This can be seen in the correlations of the comfort factor (rho = -0.10; *p* < 0.01) and the working conditions (rho = -0.12; *p* < 0.01). Moreover, the higher the perception of physical demands, the greater the number of absences (rho = 0.12; *p* < 0.01).

The correlations observed point to coherence between the constructs and show the potential of the work design in explaining absenteeism among teachers. This information encouraged the performance of logistic regressions with the insertion of the Work Design Questionnaire (WDQ) factors as predictive variables of absenteeism, which was segmented into four lengths (as explained in the method). The logistic regression model tested explained about 8 percent of the absenteeism variance (Pseudo R² = 0.08). Next, the significant factors for each segment of absenteeism are presented. The first of them is Model A (Profile 1—between one to three absences), illustrated in Table 1.

Table 1: Linear Logistic Regression Predicting Absenteeism—Model A
(Profile 1—One to Three Absences)

<i>Variable</i>	<i>B (SE)</i>	<i>Odds Ratio</i>	<i>(95% CI)</i>
(Constant)	.02 (.58)		
<i>Task Characteristics</i>			
Work scheduling autonomy	-.16 (.10)	.85	(.70-1.03)
Decision-making/working method autonomy	.02 (.11)	1.02	(.83-1.25)
Task variety	.17 (.10)	1.19	(.98-1.45)
Task significance	-.01 (.11)	1.00	(.81-1.23)
Task identity	-.20 (.10)*	.82	(.67-1.00)
Feedback from job	.10 (.09)	1.11	(.94-1.31)
<i>Knowledge Characteristics</i>			
Job complexity	.15 (.07)*	1.16	(1.02-1.31)
Information processing	-.11 (.13)	0.90	(.70-1.15)
Problem-solving	.01 (.16)	1.02	(.75-1.37)
Specialization	.04 (.11)	1.04	(.85-1.28)

² Correlations were made with the absenteeism profiles.

<i>Variable</i>	<i>B (SE)</i>	<i>Odds Ratio</i>	<i>(95% CI)</i>
<i>Social Characteristics</i>			
Social support	-.03 (.11)	.97	(.79-1.19)
Interdependence	.07 (.07)	1.07	(.94-1.23)
Interaction outside the organization	-.03 (.07)	.97	(.85-1.10)
Feedback from others	-.01 (.08)	1.00	(.86-1.16)
<i>Working Context Characteristics</i>			
Comfort at work	-.01 (.06)	.99	(.88-1.12)
Physical demands	-.01 (.06)	.99	(.89-1.11)
Working conditions	-.05 (.08)	.95	(.81-1.12)
Equipment use	-.06 (.08)	.94	(.81-1.09)

Note: Pseudo R² = 0.08 (Cox and Snell), 0.09 (Nagelkerke); gl = 1; SE = standard error; CI = confidence interval; **p* < 0.05
 Source: Pérez-Nebra et al.

For this segment of absences (between one to three absences), the factors of task identification and job complexity showed significant predictive power (*p* < 0.05). Consistent with what had already been observed in Spearman’s correlations, the higher the perceived job complexity ($\beta = 0.146$) and the lower the perceived role-clarity ($\beta = -0.201$), the higher the absences of this short length tend to be. This factor is also relevant to explain Model B (Profile 2—between four to seven absences) presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Linear Logistic Regression Predicting Absenteeism—Model B (Profile 2—Four to Seven Absences)

<i>Variable</i>	<i>B (SE)</i>	<i>Odds Ratio</i>	<i>(95% CI)</i>
(Constant)	-.91 (.73)		
<i>Task Characteristics</i>			
Work scheduling autonomy	-.17 (.12)	.85	(.85-1.06)
Decision-making/working method autonomy	.06 (.13)	1.06	(1.06-1.37)
Task variety	.14 (.12)	1.15	(.91-1.47)
Task significance	-.06 (.13)	.94	(.73-1.21)
Task identity	-.26 (.12)*	0.77	(.61-.98)
Feedback from job	.14 (.10)	1.14	(.94-1.40)
<i>Knowledge Characteristics</i>			
Job complexity	.01 (.08)	1.01	(.86-1.18)
Information processing	.05 (.16)	1.05	(.77-1.42)
Problem-solving	.29 (.19)	1.34	(.92-1.96)
Specialization	-.13 (.13)	.88	(.69-1.13)
<i>Social Characteristics</i>			
Social support	-.07 (.13)	.93	(.73-1.19)
Interdependence	.16 (.08)	1.17	(1.00-1.38)
Interaction outside the organization	-.05 (.08)	.95	(.82-1.11)
Feedback from others	-.10 (.09)	.91	(.76-1.09)
<i>Working Context Characteristics</i>			
Comfort at work	-.17 (.08)*	.85	(.73-.99)
Physical demands	.18 (.07)**	1.19	(1.04-1.36)
Working conditions	-.03 (.10)	.97	(.79-1.19)
Equipment use	-.08 (.09)	.92	(.77-1.10)

Note: Pseudo R² = 0.08 (Cox and Snell), 0.09 (Nagelkerke); gl = 1; SE = standard error; CI = confidence interval; **p* < 0.05 ***p* < 0.01

Source: Pérez-Nebra et al.

Besides task identity ($\beta = -0.259$), work context factors related to comfort ($\beta = -0.168$) and physical demands ($\beta = 0.175$) were significant to predict the segment between four to seven absences (*p* < 0.05). For the first two factors, the impact is negative, and the physical demands factor is directly related to the teachers’ absenteeism. This last factor was also important to explain the Model C segment (Profile 3—between eight to fourteen absences), shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Linear Logistic Regression Predicting Absenteeism—Model C
(Profile 3—Eight to Fourteen Absences)

Variable	B (SE)	Odds Ratio	(95% CI)
(Constant)	.26 (.82)		
<i>Task Characteristics</i>			
Work scheduling autonomy	-.15 (.13)	.87	(.66-1.14)
Decision-making/working method autonomy	-.15 (.15)	.86	(.64-1.15)
Task variety	.27 (.15)	1.32	(.99-1.75)
Task significance	-.09 (.15)	.91	(.68-1.21)
Task identity	-.08 (.14)	.93	(.70-1.22)
Feedback from job	-.04 (.12)	.96	(.77-1.22)
<i>Knowledge Characteristics</i>			
Job complexity	.11 (.10)	1.12	(.92-1.36)
Information processing	.10 (.18)	1.10	(.77-1.58)
Problem-solving	-.11 (.23)	.90	(.58-1.40)
Specialization	-.02 (.15)	.98	(.72-1.32)
<i>Social Characteristics</i>			
Social support	-.23 (.14)	.80	(.60-1.05)
Interdependence	.02 (.10)	1.02	(.84-1.24)
Interaction outside the organization	-.01 (.09)	1.00	(.83-1.20)
Feedback from others	-.14 (.11)	.87	(.69-1.08)
<i>Working Context Characteristics</i>			
Comfort at work	-.01 (.09)	.99	(.82-1.19)
Physical demands	.23 (.08)**	1.26	(1.08-1.48)
Working conditions	-.20 (.13)	.82	(.64-1.05)
Equipment use	-.03 (.11)	.97	(.78-1.21)

Note: Pseudo R² = 0.08 (Cox and Snell), 0.09 (Nagelkerke); gl = 1; SE = standard error; CI = confidence interval; **p* < 0.05 ***p* < 0.01

Source: Pérez-Nebra et al.

High physical demand had a significant prediction ($\beta = 0.232$; $p < 0.05$) for the segment between eight to fourteen absences. This was the only factor that significantly explained this period of absences among the class teachers and the next segment (Profile 4—between fifteen to thirty absences), as shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Linear Logistic Regression Predicting Absenteeism—Model D
(Profile 4—Fifteen to Thirty Absences)

Variable	B (SE)	Odds Ratio	(95% CI)
(Constant)	-1.65 (1.00)		
<i>Task Characteristics</i>			
Work scheduling autonomy	-.30 (.16)	.74	(.54-1.02)
Decision-making/working method autonomy	.18 (.18)	1.19	(.84-1.70)
Task variety	.22 (.18)	1.24	(.88-1.76)
Task significance	-.02 (.18)	.98	(.68-1.39)
Task identity	-.11 (.17)	.90	(.65-1.25)
Feedback from job	.06 (.14)	1.07	(.81-1.41)
<i>Knowledge Characteristics</i>			
Job complexity	.18 (.12)	1.20	(1.00-1.51)
Information processing	-.10 (.22)	.91	(.59-1.38)
Problem-solving	-.00 (.28)	1.00	(.58-1.71)
Specialization	.10 (.19)	1.10	(.77-1.59)
<i>Social Characteristics</i>			
Social support	-.22 (.17)	.80	(.57-1.12)
Interdependence	-.01 (.12)	.99	(.78-1.25)
Interaction outside the organization	-.15 (.11)	.86	(.69-1.07)
Feedback from others	-.08 (.13)	.92	(.71-1.19)

Variable	B (SE)	Odds Ratio	(95% CI)
<i>Working Context Characteristics</i>			
Comfort at work	.09 (.11)	1.09	(.89-1.35)
Physical demands	.29 (.09)**	1.33	(1.11-1.61)
Working conditions	-.20 (.15)	.82	(.62-1.10)
Equipment use	.04 (.13)	1.04	(.81-1.34)

Note: Pseudo R² = 0.08 (Cox and Snell), 0.09 (Nagelkerke); gl = 1; SE = standard error; CI = confidence interval;

* $p < 0.05$ ** $p < 0.01$

Source: Pérez-Nebra et al.

Table 4 shows that the perception of physical demand had a significant prediction ($\beta = 0.288$; $p < 0.01$). This piece of data seems to reveal that as the number of absences increases, the predictive power of the other characteristics of the work decreases, but the perception of physical demands remains. In other words, the higher number of absences among the class teachers seems to be explained more by the perceived physical demands than by the other characteristics of their work.

Thus, the results support this study's H1, in which different lengths of absenteeism reveal work characteristics that are predictors for absenteeism. In addition, they support H2 (resource characteristics reduce absenteeism) and H3 (demand characteristics increase absenteeism).

Discussion

The objective of this study was to analyze the relationship between work characteristics and absenteeism of different lengths among teachers in Brazil. One of the first data points that stood out was the number of teachers who are in school units but do not work as class teachers. The hypotheses of the study gained support. It was verified that lengths of absenteeism have different work characteristics as predictors (H1), resources function as protectors for absenteeism (H2), and demands are detrimental to absenteeism (H3). Although the results support the hypotheses, the fact that different types of demands and resources predict different lengths of absenteeism brings a novel element to this study, highlighting the importance of working with categories of absenteeism that are in line with organizational practices and policies.

Only one task characteristic, task identity, was a negative predictor in groups with few absences (Humphrey, Nahrgang, and Morgeson 2007). In general, knowledge characteristics are incapable of predicting absenteeism except for job complexity, which presents a positive relationship with absenteeism, i.e., the greater the perception of complexity, the higher the rate of absenteeism, contrary to what Humphrey, Nahrgang, and Morgeson (2007) found. Job complexity was also described in another study as a positive predictor of presenteeism at work (Pérez-Nebra, Queiroga, and Oliveira 2020), supporting the result in the present study.

Social characteristics were not able to predict absenteeism. This is unexpected since different studies and interventions in absenteeism have found relationships between absenteeism or presenteeism and social characteristics (Parker, Knight, and Ohly 2019; Gasparini, Barreto, and Assunção 2005; Cancelliere et al. 2011; Humphrey, Nahrgang, and Morgeson 2007), particularly for an audience that has a fundamentally emotional job (Yin, Huang, and Lv 2018). Grant and Parker (2009) suggest that restrictions on work characteristics may be moderated by the opportunity effect of helping users of the service offered, consistent with the proposal by Knight and Parker (2019), who suggest that certain work characteristics occur only in extremely precarious contexts. In this sense, the context may act as a moderator between social characteristics and absenteeism. Another analysis refers to the absence of the explanation at the individual level of analysis and shifts attention so that the group level can impact the variable and the phenomenon (i.e., by the school the individual works) (Mathieu and Kohler 1990a; Väänänen et al. 2008). Also, the fact that relational variables do not appear as predictors breaks a series of paradigms at the individual level and the paradigm that individual social relationships are the most significant predictors in this type of work.

The contextual characteristics negatively predict the group of the average length of absences (comfort) and positively the absenteeism in the groups of average and higher lengths of absences. Comfort at work and physical demands were also found in other studies with teachers (Pérez-Nebra, Queiroga, and Oliveira 2020). According to Brazilian culture, it is common for elementary school teachers to carry children on their lap, which can intensify their physical demands.

This work contributes by testing the complete proposal of Morgeson and Humphrey (2006) in the Brazilian version (Borges-Andrade et al. 2019), expanding studies already conducted on the relationship between work design and absenteeism. Furthermore, the JD-R model was considered for the construction of the hypotheses. As a result, it was possible to advance the studies already conducted that fundamentally explained absenteeism by demand variables, in addition to mapping resources variables and those protective of absenteeism. The study of absenteeism progressed considering the lengths of absences and their relationship with work design aligned with organizational policies and practices. Finally, the study relied on an extensive sample of class teachers in a context with no literature report available.

Practical Implications and Recommendations

From a practical point of view, it was believed that this work has implications for school organizations at the level of management analysis and public policy. Firstly, it points out why the different nuances of the phenomenon of absenteeism must be understood. Secondly, it identifies different variables that can both foster (job complexity, physical demand) and reduce (task identification, comfort) absences. In this sense, increasing task identification and comfort and reducing job complexity perception and physical demands in the educational field will reduce absenteeism and, consequently, the public costs associated with it. Moreover, a system for monitoring and assessing risks at work and policies associated with work redesign can be implemented to adopt preventive measures for absenteeism (Badubi 2017).

From the point of view of public policies, reducing the ratio of the number of students per teacher in the initial grades can be a protective strategy to reduce the cost of the physical demands of these teachers in the school context; because physical demand is responsible for the largest number of absences and the present sample has a bias towards “activities teachers” (i.e., the first cycle of elementary school and kindergarten), this can be done in a complementary way. Moreover, from a managerial perspective, establishing psychological contracts of what is expected from the employee from the beginning (Schalk and Rousseau 2012) and defining expectations can be a good practice to remedy the problem in its initial stages.

Limitations and Prospective Studies

This work is not without limitations. Besides the natural limitations that a study with a cross-sectional and self-report design may have, it presents a sample bias for female teachers and activity teachers. While this is true, it is also the reality of most schools, as they are mostly composed of women from the early grades of elementary school and kindergarten. Although control variables could be included in the study to identify the school, size, geolocation, national evaluation, and public attendance of the schools, teachers had a strong resistance toward any possible identification of them. Individual variables could also be included as a usual predictor of absenteeism. However, the individual predictor is usually related to social identity and other social variables involved, which is out of the scope of this work. Finally, the results found here are limited to educational contexts similar to a Brazilian one, where it is known to aggregate a series of particularities such as that of WEIRD countries. Thus, to make generalizations about the job characteristics that predict absenteeism, it is necessary to document their occurrence in other contexts and samples.

Studies that could include more contextual variables of the school and conduct multilevel analysis of absenteeism phenomena (as an absenteeism climate in Väänänen et al. 2008) could improve and test other models. This is a methodological aspect that deserves special attention in

view of the hierarchical nature of the educational context. Moreover, combining absenteeism and presenteeism, as presented in different research models, could clarify the absenteeism phenomena. Finally, as Gosselin, Lemyre, and Corneil (2013) proposed, a more comprehensive approach, where absenteeism and presenteeism are combined and predicted by individual, contextual, and organizational variables, could be tested in the teachers' context.

Conclusion

As initially pointed out, absenteeism is a social problem with several costs and needs to be observed as an organizational symptom. Despite the reported limitations, the results of this study, which described the relationship between work characteristics and absenteeism, expand the study of absenteeism in several directions. First, by using a demand and resource model in different lengths of absenteeism, it was able to show that different lengths have different predictors, showing the importance of aligning with organizational policies and practices to establish categories of absenteeism in a non-WEIRD context. While the magnitudes observed for the prediction values express the difficulty of capturing such a complex phenomenon, they also follow a pattern that has already been found in other studies that analyzed absenteeism (Hensing et al. 1998; Humphrey, Nahrgang, and Morgeson 2007).

Also, the results of this work allowed for practical implications for management by analyzing work characteristics (i.e., variables that can be modified) and presenting different strategies depending on the length of absenteeism that the organization has. Namely, for small lengths of absenteeism, an increase in task identity and a decrease in job complexity is preferred; for longer lengths of absenteeism, it is preferable to increase comfort and decrease physical demands. Finally, by relying on an extensive sample of teachers, the results of this work can be used as a basis for designing both preventive (e.g., using people management practices oriented to support employees) and treatment interventions (anticipating changes in public policies or providing physiotherapy professionals); it also offers a new perspective in favor of healthier teaching staff at work.

Acknowledgement

We thank the Department of Education of the Federal District (SEEDF) for the opportunity to work and collaborate registered in GAB 066158/2016. We also thank the Federal District Research Support Foundation process SEI 00193-00000102/2019-97.

REFERENCES

- Badubi, Reuben Mokwena. 2017. "A Critical Risk Analysis of Absenteeism in the Work Place." *Journal of International Business Research and Marketing* 2 (6): 32–36. <https://doi.org/10.18775/jibrm.1849-8558.2015.26.3004>
- Bakker, Arnold B., Evangelia Demerouti, Elpine de Boer, and Wilmar B. Schaufeli. 2003. "Job Demand and Job Resources as Predictors of Absence Duration and Frequency." *Journal of Vocational Behavior* 62 (2): 341–356. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8791\(02\)00030-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8791(02)00030-1).
- Borges-Andrade, Jairo Eduardo, Adriano Lemos Alves Peixoto, Fabiana Queiroga, and Amalia Raquel Pérez-Nebra. 2019. "Adaptation of the Work Design Questionnaire to Brazil." *Psychology: Organizations and Work Journal* 19 (3): 720–731. <https://doi.org/10.17652/rpot/2019.3.16837>.
- Brooke, Paul P. 1986. "Beyond the Steers and Rhodes Model of Employee Attendance." *Source: The Academy of Management Review* 11 (2): 345–361. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258465>.
- Cancelliere, Carol, J. David Cassidy, Carlo Ammendolia, and Pierre Côté. 2011. "Are Workplace Health Promotion Programs Effective at Improving Presenteeism in Workers? A Systematic Review and Best Evidence Synthesis of the Literature." *BMC Public Health* 11:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-11-395>.

- Čikeš, Vedrana, Helga Maškarin Ribarić, and Kristina Črnjar. 2018. "The Determinants and Outcomes of Absence Behavior: A Systematic Literature Review." *Social Sciences* 7 (8):120. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci7080120>.
- Croon, Marcel A., Marc J. P. M. van Veldhoven, Riccardo Peccei, and Stephen J. Wood. 2015. "Researching Individual Well-Being and Performance in Context: Multilevel Mediation Analysis for Bathtub Models." In *Well-Being and Performance at Work*, edited by Marc J. P. M. van Veldhoven and Riccardo Peccei, 129–151. London: Psychology Press.
- Demerouti, Evangelia, Arnold B. Bakker, Friedhelm Nachreiner, and Wilmar B. Schaufeli. 2001. "The Job Demands-Resources Model of Burnout." *Journal of Applied Psychology* 86 (3): 499–512. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.86.3.499>.
- Diehl, Liciane, and Angela Helena Marin. 2016. "Adoecimento Mental Em Professores Brasileiros: Revisão Sistemática Da Literatura." [Mental Disorders in Brazilian Teachers: Systematic Review of Literature]. *Estudos Interdisciplinares Em Psicologia* [Interdisciplinary Studies in Psychology] 7 (2): 64. <https://doi.org/10.5433/2236-6407.2016v7n2p64>.
- Field, Andy, Jeremy Miles, and Zoë Field. 2012. *Discovering Statistics Using R*. Los Angeles: Sage.
- Framke, Elisabeth, Ole Henning Sørensen, Jacob Pedersen, and Reiner Rugulies. 2016. "Effect of a Participatory Organizational-Level Occupational Health Intervention on Short-Term Sickness Absence: A Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial." *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health* 42 (3): 192–200. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3559>.
- Gasparini, Sandra Maria, Sandhi Maria Barreto, and Ada Ávila Assunção. 2005. "O Professor, as Condições de Trabalho e Os Efeitos Sobre Sua Saúde." [The Teacher, Working Conditions and the Effects on His/Her Health]. *Educação e Pesquisa* [Educational and Research] 31 (2): 189–199. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s1517-97022005000200003>.
- Gosselin, Eric, Louise Lemyre, and Wayne Corneil. 2013. "Presenteeism and Absenteeism: Differentiated Understanding of Related Phenomena." *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology* 18 (1): 75–86. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030932>.
- Grant, Adam M., and Sharon K. Parker. 2009. "Redesigning Work Design Theories: The Rise of Relational and Proactive Perspectives." *Academy of Management Annals* 3 (1): 317–375. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19416520903047327>.
- Guise, Mary T. 1988. "Test of Hackman and Oldham's Job Characteristics Model in a Post-Secondary Educational Setting." Master's diss., College of Education Brock University. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/62640067.pdf>.
- Hackman, J. Richard, and Greg R. Oldham. 1976. "Motivation through the Design of Work: Test of a Theory." *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance* 16 (2): 250–279. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073\(76\)90016-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073(76)90016-7).
- Henrich, Joseph, Steven J. Heine, and Ara Norenzayan. 2010. "The Weirdest People in the World?" *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 33 (2–3): 1–75. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X0999152X>.
- Hensing, Gunnel, Kristina Alexanderson, Peter Allebeck, and Per Bjurulf. 1998. "How to Measure Sickness Absence? Literature Review and Suggestion of Five Basic Measures." *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health* 26 (2): 133–144. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14034948980260020201>.
- Humphrey, Stephen E., Jennifer D. Nahrgang, and Frederick P. Morgeson. 2007. "Integrating Motivational, Social, and Contextual Work Design Features: A Meta-Analytic Summary and Theoretical Extension of the Work Design Literature." *Journal of Applied Psychology* 92 (5): 1332–1356. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.92.5.1332>.
- Knight, Caroline, and Sharon K. Parker. 2019. "How Work Redesign Interventions Affect Performance: An Evidence-Based Model from a Systematic Review." *Human Relations* 74 (1): 69–104. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726719865604>.

- Mathieu, John E., and Stacey S. Kohler. 1990a. "A Cross-Level Examination of Group Absence Influences on Individual Absence." *Journal of Applied Psychology* 75 (2): 217–220. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.75.2.217>.
- . 1990b. "A Test of the Interactive Effects of Organizational Commitment and Job Involvement on Various Types of Absence." *Journal of Vocational Behavior* 36 (1): 33–44. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8791\(90\)90013-R](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8791(90)90013-R).
- Mazzola, Joseph J., Irvin Sam Schonfeld, and Paul E. Spector. 2011. "What Qualitative Research Has Taught Us about Occupational Stress." *Stress and Health* 27 (2): 93–110. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smi.1386>.
- Mckeown, K. D., and J. A. Furness. 1987. "Sickness Absence Patterns of 5000 NHS Staff Employed within Northallerton and South West Durham Health Authorities." *Occupational Medicine* 37 (1): 111–116. <https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/37.1.111>.
- Mehlum, Ingrid Sivesind, Kaj Bo Veiersted, Morten Wærsted, Ebba Wergeland, and Helge Kjuus. 2009. "Self-Reported versus Expert-Assessed Work-Relatedness of Pain in the Neck, Shoulder, and Arm." *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health* 35 (3): 222–232. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.1327>.
- Møller, Ann-Kristina Løkke. 2019. "Leaders' Impact on Employee Absenteeism: A Systematic Literature Review." In *Working for the Greater Good: Inspiring People, Designing Jobs and Leading Organizations for a More Inclusive Society*, 929–929. Torino, IT: European Association of Work and Organizational Psychology.
- Morgeson, Frederick P., and Stephen E. Humphrey. 2006. "The Work Design Questionnaire (WDQ): Developing and Validating a Comprehensive Measure for Assessing Job Design and the Nature of Work." *Journal of Applied Psychology* 91 (6): 1321–1339. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.91.6.1321>.
- . 2008. "Job and Team Design: Toward a More Integrative Conceptualization of Work Design." *Research in Personnel and Human Resources Management* 27:39–91. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-7301\(08\)27002-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-7301(08)27002-7).
- Muthukrishna, Michael, Adrian V. Bell, Joseph Henrich, Cameron M. Curtin, Alexander Gedranovich, Jason Mcinerney, and Braden Thue. 2020. "Beyond Western, Educated, Industrial, Rich, and Democratic (WEIRD) Psychology: Measuring and Mapping Scales of Cultural and Psychological Distance." *Psychological Science* 31 (6): 678–701. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797620916782>.
- Obiero, E. O., B. R. Mwebi, and N. M. Nyang'ara. 2017. "Factors Influencing Teacher Absenteeism in Public Secondary Schools in Borabu Sub-County, Kenya." *International Journal of Education and Research* 5 (7): 123–138. <https://www.ijern.com/journal/2017/July-2017/09.pdf>.
- Parker, Sharon K., Caroline Knight, and Sandra Ohly. 2019. "The Changing Face of Work Design Research: Past, Present, and Future Directions." In *The SAGE Handbook of Human Resource Management*, 2nd ed., edited by A. Wilkinson, N. Bacon, D. Lepak, and S. Snell, 402–420. London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Parker, Sharon K., Frederick P. Morgeson, and Gary Johns. 2017. "One Hundred Years of Work Design Research: Looking Back and Looking Forward." *Journal of Applied Psychology* 102 (3): 403–420. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000106>.
- Peçiflo, Małgorzata. 2015. "Selected Aspects of Absence at Work and Work-Related Health Problems in Polish Enterprises." *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics* 21 (3): 268–275. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10803548.2015.1081768>.
- Pérez-Nebra, Amalia R., Fabiana Queiroga, and Thiago A. Oliveira. 2020. "Presenteeism of Class Teachers: Well-Being as a Critical Psychological State in the Mediation of Job Characteristics." *Revista de Administração Mackenzie [Mackenzie Management Review]* 21 (1): 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-6971/eRAMD200123>.

- Pitts, Kristy L. 2010. "Teacher Absenteeism: An Examination of Patterns and Predictors." PhD thesis, Virginia Commonwealth University. <https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/etd/2077>.
- Price, James L. 1997. "Handbook of Organizational Measurement." *International Journal of Manpower* 18 (4): 305–558. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01437729710182260>.
- van Rhenen, Willem, Wilmar B. Schaufeli, Frank J. H. van Dijk, and Roland W. B. Blonk. 2008. "Coping and Sickness Absence." *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health* 81 (4): 461–472. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-007-0238-4>.
- Saksvik, Per Ø., Karoline Grødal, and Maria Karanika-Murray. 2017. "From Sickness Absenteeism to Presenteeism." In *The Positive Side of Occupational Health Psychology*, 1st ed., edited by Marit Christensen, Per Ø. Saksvik, and Maria Karanika-Murray, 125–134. Cham, CH: Springer Netherlands.
- Schalk, R., and Denise M. Rousseau. 2012. "Psychological Contract in Employment." In *Handbook of Industrial, Work & Organizational Psychology—Volume 2: Organizational Psychology 2*, edited by Neil Anderson, Deniz S. Ones, Handan Kepir Sinangil, and Chockalingam Viswesvaran, 133–142. London: Sage.
- Schaufeli, Wilmar B., Arnold B. Bakker, and Willem van Rhenen. 2009. "How Changes in Job Demands and Resources Predict Burnout, Work Engagement, and Sickness Absenteeism." *Journal of Organizational Behavior* 30 (7): 893–917. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.595>.
- SEEDF Directorate of Health Epidemiology 2018. "Perfil do Absenteísmo-Doença dos Servidores Públicos estatutários da Secretaria de Educação do Distrito Federal: ano 2017" [Profile of Absenteeism-Sickness of the Statutory Public Servants of the Secretariat of Education of the Federal District: Year 2017]. *Secretaria de Educação do Distrito Federal e Territórios*.
- Steers, Richard M., and Susan R. Rhodes. 1978. "Major Influences on Employee Attendance: A Process Model." *Journal of Applied Psychology* 63 (4): 391–407. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.63.4.391>.
- Tüchsen, Finn, Karl Bang Christensen, and Thomas Lund. 2008. "Shift Work and Sickness Absence." *Occupational Medicine* 58 (4): 302–304. <https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/kqn019>.
- Väänänen, Ari, Núria Tordera, Mika Kivimäki, Anne Kouvonen, Jaana Pentti, Anne Linna, and Jussi Vahtera. 2008. "The Role of Work Group in Individual Sickness Absence Behavior." *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 49 (4): 452–467. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F002214650804900406>.
- Warr, Peter, and Karina Nielsen. 2018. "Wellbeing and Work Performance." In *Handbook of Well-Being*, edited by E. Diener, S. Oishi, and L. Tay, 647–668. Salt Lake City: DEF Publishers.
- Yin, Hongbiao, Shenghua Huang, and Lijie Lv. 2018. "A Multilevel Analysis of Job Characteristics, Emotion Regulation, and Teacher Well-Being: A Job Demands-Resources Model." *Frontiers in Psychology* 29. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02395>.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Amalia Raquel Pérez-Nebra: Visiting Lecturer, Department of Administration, University of Brasília, Brasília, Federal District, Brazil; Collaborator, Department of Psychology, Valencian International University, Valencia, Spain

Marina Greggi Sticca: Lecturer, Department of Psychology, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brazil

Fabiana Queiroga: Post-Doctoral Researcher, Department of Psychology, Université Côte d'Azur, Nice, Alpes-Maritimes, France

Núria Tordera: Senior Lecturer, Research Institute of Human Resource Psychology, Organizational Development, and Quality of Working Life (IDOCAL), University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain

The International Journal of Educational Organization and Leadership is one of ten thematically focused journals in the collection of journals that support The Learner Research Network—its journals, book series, conference, and online community.

The journal inquires into the nature and processes of effective educational administration and leadership.

As well as articles of a traditional scholarly type, this journal invites presentations of practice—including documentation of organizational and leadership practices, and exegeses of the effects of those practices.

The International Journal of Educational Organization and Leadership is a peer-reviewed, scholarly journal.