## Rubric for the evaluation of the Tutored Group Work in SCIP.

<b>Execution level</b>	0	1	2	3
1. Formal	The work does not follow	The work follows most of the	The work follows all the established	The work follows all the established formal
issues. <u>0.25</u>	most of the established	established formal guidelines	formal guidelines	guidelines
<u>points</u>	formal guidelines (title	OR	AND	AND
	page, table of contents,	Writing is, in some parts of the	Writing is, most of the work,	Writing is, throughout the work, coherent,
	typography, spacing, margins, pagination, etc.)	work, confusing, making it difficult to read.	coherent, making it easy to read and understand	making it easy to read and understand.  AND
	OR	OR	AND	There are not spelling mistakes
	Writing is confusing making it difficult to read and understand  OR  Numerous spelling mistakes are present	Some spelling mistakes are present	There are not spelling mistakes	, G
2. Definition of	This section is not	Definition of the social problem is	More than one definition or	More than one definition and typology of
the social	presented	present, but typologies or	typology of the social problem are	the social problem are contrasted.
problem. <u>0.5</u>		categorization of the social	contrasted.	AND
<u>points</u>		problem is not.	AND	Scientific references are present
		OR	Scientific references are present	
		No scientific references		
3. Prevalence.	This section is not	Prevalence data are presented,	Prevalence data are presented, <b>and</b>	Updated prevalence data are presented, <b>and</b>
<u>1.25 points</u>	presented	and only one of the two levels	the two levels requested are	the two levels requested are presented:
		requested is presented: national or	presented: national and	national and international
		international	international	AND
		OR	AND	Emphasis is placed on comparisons, whether
		No scientific or institutional	Scientificorinstitutional references	by gender, age, socio-economic status, or
		references	are present	other socio-demographic variables

				AND Scientific or institutional references are
4. Risk and protective factors. 1.5 points	This section is not presented	Risk <b>or</b> protective factors are presented  OR  Risk or protective factors are not organized according to the ecological model	Risk <b>and</b> protective factors are presented  AND  Risk and protective factors are organized according to the ecological model	Risk and protective factors are presented  AND  Risk and protective factors are organized according to the ecological model.  AND  Scientific evidence supporting the presence of each factor is presented
5. Intervention programs. 2 points	This section is not presented	A systematic review of interventions addressing the social problem is not presented  OR  Less than three evidence-based interventions programs are presented	A systematic review of interventions addressing the social problem is presented  AND  Three evidence-based interventions programs are presented but some of the key elements are missing (general description of the intervention program, objectives, risk and protective factors addressed, or main outcomes)	A systematic review of interventions addressing the social problem and its main conclusions are presented (e.g. the most effective intervention strategies to address the social problem)  AND  Three evidence-based interventions programs are presented, and all the key elements are described in detail
6. Objectives of the intervention 0.5 points	This section is not presented	Objectives do not respond to the risk and protective factors of the target population  OR  Final and proximal outcomes are not specified/differentiated	Objectives respond to the risk and protective factors of the target population <b>but</b> are vaguely described <b>or not fully</b> relevant to the risk and protective factors of the target population  AND	Objectives respond to the risk and protective factors of the target population and are described in detail  AND  An appropriate distinction is made between primary and secondary objectives. In addition, final and proximal outcomes have

7 Donulation	This so stime is not	The population in consult.	A proper distinction is made between final and proximal outcomes	been linked on the basis of the available scientific evidence
7. Population.  0.25 points	This section is not presented	The population is vaguely described (characteristics of the population are missing)	The population and some of the characteristics of the population are described <b>but</b> does not take into account the characteristics that make it suitable for the proposed intervention program	The target population is precisely described, taking into account the characteristics that make it suitable for the proposed intervention program
8. Program Rationale. 1 point	This section is not presented	This section is presented, but there is no justification as to why the program could be effective in achieving the proposed objectives  OR  No scientific references	The section is presented, and a vague justification is given as to why the program could be effective in achieving the proposed objectives, based on the available scientific evidence  AND  Scientific references are present	The section is presented, and a detailed justification is given as to why the program could be effective in achieving the proposed objectives, based on the available scientific evidence  AND  Scientific references are present
9. Program Structure. 0.5 points	This section is not presented	The program structure is described but some of the key elements are missing (e.g. timing, personal and material resources and modules)	The program structure is appropriately described and linked to the objectives of the intervention	The program structure <b>and</b> the <i>connection</i> between the different elements of the program structure and the objectives of the intervention are properly described (e.g. the intervention modules cover all the objectives of the program)
10. Activity. 0.75 points	This section is not presented	The activity is not complete. Some of the key elements are missing (e.g. objectives, materials, duration, work dynamics, procedures, key concepts, etc.)	The activity includes all the key elements	The activity is complete, and it is justified how it achieves the objectives of the intervention on the basis of the available scientific evidence

11. Program Evaluation. 1 point	This section is not presented	The evaluation does not take into account the objectives of the program or some of the key elements are missing (design, timing, instruments, variables to be evaluated)	The evaluation considers the objectives of the program  AND  Standardized questionnaires are used	The evaluation considers the objectives of the program  AND  Standardized questionnaires are used  AND  The decisions made in the design of the evaluation of the intervention are justified on the basis of previous scientific literature
12. APA 7 <sup>th</sup> Edition 0.5 points	This section is not presented	The list of references does not follow the alphabetical order and does not follow APA 7 standards	References and citations present some minor errors, although they follow APA 7 standards. References are arranged alphabetically. There are citations without references	References and citations follow APA 7 standards. References are arranged alphabetically. All citations have an accompanying reference

3.5 TGW out of 10

0.5 TGW presentation + tribunal