

ON FINITE INVOLUTIVE YANG-BAXTER GROUPS

H. MENG, A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES, R. ESTEBAN-ROMERO,
AND N. FUSTER-CORRAL

(Communicated by Martin Liebeck)

ABSTRACT. A group G is said to be an involutive Yang-Baxter group, or simply an IYB-group, if it is isomorphic to the permutation group of an involutive, non-degenerate set-theoretic solution of the Yang-Baxter equation. We give new sufficient conditions for a group that can be factorised as a product of two IYB-groups to be an IYB-group. Some earlier results are direct consequences of our main theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Following Drinfeld [5], we say that a *set-theoretic solution of the Yang-Baxter equation* is a pair (X, r) , where X is a non-empty set and $r: X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ is a map such that

$$r_{12}r_{23}r_{12} = r_{23}r_{12}r_{23},$$

with the maps $r_{12}, r_{23}: X \times X \times X \rightarrow X \times X \times X$ defined as $r_{12} = r \times \text{id}_X$, $r_{23} = \text{id}_X \times r$. For all $x, y \in X$, we define two maps $f_x: X \rightarrow X$ and $g_y: X \rightarrow X$ by setting $r(x, y) = (f_x(y), g_y(x))$. We say that the solution (X, r) is *involutive* if $r^2 = \text{id}_{X \times X}$, and that (X, r) is *non-degenerate* if f_x, g_y are bijective maps for all $x, y \in X$. By a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation, or simply a solution of the YBE, we will understand an involutive, non-degenerate set-theoretic solution of the Yang-Baxter equation.

Let (X, r) be a solution of the YBE. The *permutation group* of (X, r) is the subgroup $\mathcal{G}(X, r)$ of $\text{Sym}(X)$ generated by the bijections f_x for all $x \in X$, that is,

$$\mathcal{G}(X, r) = \langle f_x \mid x \in X \rangle \leq \text{Sym}(X).$$

Following [3], a finite group G is called an *involutive Yang-Baxter group*, or simply an *IYB-group*, if there exists an involutive non-degenerate solution of the Yang-Baxter equation (X, r) such that $G \cong \mathcal{G}(X, r)$.

On the other hand, Rump [7] introduced a new algebraic structure as a generalisation of radical rings that turns out to be an important tool to study the solutions of the YBE. This structure is called *left brace* and it is defined as a set B with two binary operations, $+$ and \cdot , such that $(B, +)$ is an abelian group, (B, \cdot) is a group and

$$a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c - a,$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 81R50. Secondary 20F29, 20B35, 20F16, 20C05, 16S34, 16T25.

Key words and phrases. Finite left brace, Yang-Baxter equation, involutive non-degenerate solutions, involutive Yang-Baxter group.

for all $a, b, c \in B$. A right brace is defined similarly and a two-sided brace is a left and right brace (with the same operations).

The starting point of the results of this paper is the following characterisation of finite IYB-groups (see [3, Theorem 2.1]).

Theorem 1.1. *The following statements about a finite group G are pairwise equivalent:*

- (1) G is an IYB-group.
- (2) G is isomorphic to the multiplicative group of a left brace.
- (3) There exists a (left) G -module V and a bijective 1-cocycle $\pi: G \rightarrow V$.

As in [6], we call the pair (V, π) an *IYB-structure* on the group G .

Recall that a 1-cocycle or *derivation* of a G -module V is a map $\pi: G \rightarrow V$ such that $\pi(gh) = \pi(g) + g\pi(h)$ for every $g, h \in G$.

Let G be a group with an IYB-structure (V, π) . Then every Hall subgroup W of V is G -invariant, $H = \pi^{-1}(W)$ is a subgroup of G and (W, π_H) , where π_H is the restriction of π to H , is an IYB-structure on H (see [3, Corollary 3.1]). Therefore every IYB-group is soluble and is a product of two IYB-groups.

Unfortunately the converse is not true. Bachiller [2] shows that there exist a prime p and a p -group G of order p^{10} and nilpotency class 9 that is not a IYB-group. Then G has a subgroup H which is not an IYB-group but all its proper subgroups are IYB-groups. Since every abelian group is an IYB-group, it follows that H is a product of two maximal subgroups which are IYB-groups. As a consequence, the following question is of interest.

Question 1.2. Let $G = HK$ be a finite group which is the product of the subgroups N and H . Assume that N and H are IYB-groups and N is normal in G . Under which conditions can we ensure that G is an IYB-group?

In this context, Cedó, Jaspers, and del Río proved the following interesting theorem.

Theorem 1.3 ([3, Theorem 3.3]). *Let G be a finite group such that $G = AH$, where A is an abelian normal subgroup of G and H is an IYB-subgroup of G with associated IYB-structure (B, π) such that $H \cap A$ acts trivially on B . Then G is an IYB-group. In particular, every semidirect product $A \rtimes H$ of a finite abelian group A by an IYB-group H is an IYB-group.*

The notion of equivariant IYB-structure introduced by Eisele in [6] is quite useful to study IYB-groups.

Suppose that a group A acts on a IYB-group G with an IYB-structure (V, π) . If $a \in A$ and $g \in G$, we denote with ${}^a g \in G$ the result of the action of $a \in A$ on $g \in G$.

We call the IYB-structure (V, π) *A-equivariant* if there exists a group action of A on V , for which we denote with av the result of the action of $a \in A$ on $v \in V$, such that $\pi({}^a g) = a\pi(g)$ for all $a \in A$, $g \in G$. In fact, since π is bijective, such action of A on V is uniquely determined by the action of A on G by means of $av = \pi({}^a \pi^{-1}(v))$ for every $a \in A$, $v \in V$.

It is not difficult to see that (V, π) is an A -equivariant IYB-structure on G if and only if it is an A/K -equivariant IYB-structure on G , where $K = \text{Ker}(A \text{ on } G)$ is the kernel of the action of A on G .

An IYB-structure (V, π) on a group G is called *fully equivariant* if (V, π) is $\text{Aut}(G)$ -equivariant (under the natural action of $\text{Aut}(G)$ on G), which implies that (V, π) is A -equivariant for every action of a group A on G .

The following proposition shows that a semidirect product of an IYB-group H with a group N having an H -equivariant structure is an IYB-group.

Theorem 1.4 ([6, Proposition 2.2]). *Let $G = N \rtimes H$ be a finite group. If H is an IYB-group and N has an H -equivariant IYB-structure, then G is an IYB-group.*

Our main result in this paper significantly improves Theorem 1.3 and 1.4 by removing the abelianity condition on N and the requirement for the group G to be a semidirect product.

Theorem A. *Suppose that the group A acts on the group $G = NH$, where N and H are A -invariant subgroups of G and $N \trianglelefteq G$. Suppose that N and H are IYB-groups with A -equivariant IYB-structures (U, π_N) and (V, π_H) , respectively, satisfying the following conditions:*

- (C1) $N \cap H \subseteq \text{Ker}(Z(N) \text{ on } U) \cap \text{Ker}(H \text{ on } V)$.
- (C2) (U, π_N) is also an H -equivariant IYB-structure on N with respect to the action by conjugation of H on N : ${}^h n = hnh^{-1}$ for $n \in N$, $h \in H$,

Then G has an A -equivariant IYB-structure (W, π) such that

$$\text{Ker}(N \text{ on } U) \text{C}_{\text{Ker}(H \text{ on } V)}(N) \subseteq \text{Ker}(G \text{ on } W).$$

The proof of Theorem A appears in Section 3. We use some previous results needed that will be collected in Section 2. We present in Section 4 some applications of Theorem A to obtain new families of IYB-groups. Finally, we construct in Section 5 a family of IYB-groups that appear as a consequence of our results, but cannot appear as a consequence of the results of [3] or [6].

In the sequel, all groups considered will be finite.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Lemma 2.1. *Let (G, \cdot) be an IYB-group with IYB-structure (V, π) and let $A \leq \text{Aut}(G)$. Note that $(G, +, \cdot)$ is a left brace with an addition defined by means of the following law:*

$$g + h \triangleq \pi^{-1}(\pi(g) + \pi(h)) \quad \text{for all } g, h \in G.$$

Then (V, π) is A -equivariant if and only if A is a group of automorphisms of the left brace G .

Proof. Suppose that (V, π) is A -equivariant. Then there exists an action of A on V , whose result is denoted by av for $a \in A$, $v \in V$, such that

$$\pi({}^a g) = a\pi(g) \quad \text{for all } a \in A, g \in G.$$

Given $g, h \in G$ and $a \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi({}^a(g + h)) &= a\pi(g + h) = a(\pi(g) + \pi(h)) = a\pi(g) + a\pi(h) \\ &= \pi({}^a g) + \pi({}^a h) = \pi({}^a g + {}^a h). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that ${}^a(g + h) = {}^a g + {}^a h$. Hence the action of A on G preserves the addition, as desired.

Conversely, suppose that A is a group of automorphisms of the left brace G . Let $a \in A$, $v \in V$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\pi({}^a(\pi^{-1}(v) + \pi^{-1}(w))) &= \pi({}^a\pi^{-1}(v) + {}^a\pi^{-1}(w)) \\ &= \pi({}^a\pi^{-1}(v)) + \pi({}^a\pi^{-1}(w))\end{aligned}$$

we have that the assignment $av = \pi({}^a\pi^{-1}(v))$, $a \in A$, $v \in V$, defines a group action of A on V . Moreover, given $a \in A$, $g \in G$, as $\pi(g) \in V$, we have that

$$a\pi(g) = \pi({}^a\pi^{-1}(\pi(g))) = \pi({}^ag),$$

which implies that (V, π) is A -equivariant. \square

Example 2.2. Suppose that G is an abelian group. Let $V = G$ considered as a trivial G -module and $\pi = \text{id}_G$. Obviously (V, π) is fully equivariant and $G = \text{Ker}(G \text{ on } V)$.

Example 2.3 ([6, Remark 2.7]). Suppose that (G, \cdot) is an odd order nilpotent group of class two. Then for every element $g \in G$ there exists a unique element $h = \sqrt{g}$ such that $h^2 = g$. We define an addition $+$ on G by means of $g_1 + g_2 \triangleq g_1 g_2 \sqrt{[g_2, g_1]}$. It is easy to check that $(G, +)$ is an abelian group. We give $V = (G, +)$ a structure of G -module by means of the law

$${}^g v \triangleq gv + g^{-1},$$

and set $\pi = \text{id}_G$. Then (V, π) is fully equivariant and $Z(G) = \text{Ker}(G \text{ on } V)$.

The following example is a special case of [1].

Example 2.4. Suppose that (G, \cdot) is a nilpotent group of class two. Set $Z = Z(G)$ and write $G/Z = \langle a_1 Z \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a_n Z \rangle$. Thus every element of G can be written in the form $a_1^{t_1} \cdots a_n^{t_n} z$, where $z \in Z$. We can define an addition on G by means of

$$a_1^{t_1} \cdots a_n^{t_n} z + a_1^{s_1} \cdots a_n^{s_n} z' = a_1^{t_1+s_1} \cdots a_n^{t_n+s_n} z z'.$$

It is not difficult to check that $(G, +, \cdot)$ is a two-side brace. We give $V = (G, +)$ a structure of G -module by means of the following law:

$${}^g v \triangleq gv - g = v \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} [a_i, a_j]^{t_i s_j},$$

where $g = a_1^{t_1} \cdots a_n^{t_n} z \in G$ and $v = a_1^{s_1} \cdots a_n^{s_n} z' \in V$. Set $\pi = \text{id}_G$. We have that (V, π) is an IYB-structure on G .

Recall that an automorphism α of a group G is called *central* if ${}^\alpha g g^{-1} \in Z(G)$ for all $g \in G$, where ${}^\alpha g$ denotes the image of g by α . The set $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ of all central automorphisms of G is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ (for example, see [8]).

Proposition 2.5. *Let (G, \cdot) be a nilpotent group of class two. There exists an IYB-structure (V, π) on G such that (V, π) is $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ -equivariant and $Z(G) \subseteq \text{Ker}(G \text{ on } V)$.*

Proof. Write $A = \text{Aut}_c(G)$ and choose the IYB-structure (V, π) on G as defined in Example 2.4. It is not difficult to see that $Z(G) \subseteq \text{Ker}(G \text{ on } V)$. We only must show that (V, π) is A -equivariant. By Lemma 2.1, it suffices to show that every central automorphism preserves the addition on G defined in Example 2.4. Let

$g = a_1^{t_1} \cdots a_n^{t_n} z$, $h = a_1^{s_1} \cdots a_n^{s_n} z' \in G$, where $z, z' \in Z(G)$ and $\alpha \in A$. As α is central, we may assume that ${}^\alpha a_i = a_i z_i$, where $z_i \in Z(G)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^\alpha(g+h) &= {}^\alpha(a_1^{t_1+s_1} \cdots a_n^{t_n+s_n} z z') \\
&= ({}^\alpha a_1)^{t_1+s_1} \cdots ({}^\alpha a_n)^{t_n+s_n} ({}^\alpha z) ({}^\alpha z') \\
&= (a_1 z_1)^{t_1+s_1} \cdots (a_n z_n)^{t_n+s_n} ({}^\alpha z) ({}^\alpha z') \\
&= a_1^{t_1+s_1} \cdots a_n^{t_n+s_n} (z_1^{t_1} \cdots z_n^{t_n} ({}^\alpha z)) (z_1^{s_1} \cdots z_n^{s_n} ({}^\alpha z')) \\
&= a_1^{t_1} \cdots a_n^{t_n} (z_1^{t_1} \cdots z_n^{t_n} ({}^\alpha z)) + a_1^{s_1} \cdots a_n^{s_n} (z_1^{s_1} \cdots z_n^{s_n} ({}^\alpha z')) \\
&= (a_1 z_1)^{t_1} \cdots (a_n z_n)^{t_n} ({}^\alpha z) + (a_1 z_1)^{s_1} \cdots (a_n z_n)^{s_n} ({}^\alpha z') \\
&= ({}^\alpha a_1)^{t_1} \cdots ({}^\alpha a_n)^{t_n} ({}^\alpha z) + ({}^\alpha a_1)^{s_1} \cdots ({}^\alpha a_n)^{s_n} ({}^\alpha z') \\
&= {}^\alpha g + {}^\alpha h.
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let π be a 1-cocycle of the G -module V . Suppose that $x \in \text{Ker}(G \text{ on } V)$ and $g \in G$. Then*

- (1) $\pi(xg) = \pi(x) + \pi(g)$;
- (2) $\pi(gxg^{-1}) = g\pi(x)$.

Proof. As x acts trivially on V , it is easy to see that $\pi(xg) = \pi(x) + x\pi(g) = \pi(x) + \pi(g)$ and Statement 1 follows. Now we prove Statement 2.

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(gxg^{-1}) &= \pi(g) + g\pi(xg^{-1}) \\
&= \pi(g) + g(\pi(x) + \pi(g^{-1})) \\
&= \pi(g) + g\pi(g^{-1}) + g\pi(x) \\
&= \pi(gg^{-1}) + g\pi(x) = g\pi(x),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that the group A acts on a group G with A -equivariant IYB-structure (V, π) , which determines the unique action of A on V . Then for every $a \in A$, $g \in G$ and $v \in V$,*

$$({}^a g)v = a(g(a^{-1}v)).$$

Proof. Since $a^{-1}v \in V$ and π is bijective, we may assume that $\pi(x) = a^{-1}v$ for some $x \in G$. Note that $g\pi(x) = \pi(gx) - \pi(g)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a(g(\pi(x))) &= a\pi(gx) - a\pi(g) \\
&= \pi({}^a(gx)) - \pi({}^a g) \\
&= \pi(({}^a g)({}^a x)) - \pi({}^a g) \\
&= ({}^a g)\pi({}^a x) = ({}^a g)(a\pi(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $a\pi(x) = v$. It implies that $({}^a g)v = a(g(a^{-1}v))$, as desired. \square

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Proof of Theorem A. Note that there exist actions of A on U and V such that $\pi_N({}^a n) = a\pi_N(n)$ and $\pi_H({}^a h) = a\pi_H(h)$ for all $a \in A$, $n \in N$ and $h \in H$. Thus we can view $U \oplus V$ as an A -module via the law:

$$a(u, v) = (au, av), a \in A, (u, v) \in U \oplus V.$$

Let $X = \{(\pi_N(x^{-1}), \pi_H(x)) \in U \oplus V : x \in H \cap N\}$. By hypothesis (C1), $N \cap H$ acts trivially on U and V , and $N \cap H \subseteq Z(N)$. For every $x, y \in N \cap H$, it follows from Lemma 2.6 (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_N(x^{-1}), \pi_H(x)) + (\pi_N(y^{-1}), \pi_H(y)) &= (\pi_N(x^{-1}y^{-1}), \pi_H(xy)) \\ &= (\pi_N((xy)^{-1}), \pi_H(xy)) \in X, \end{aligned}$$

moreover,

$$a(\pi_N(x^{-1}), \pi_H(x)) = (a\pi_N(x^{-1}), a\pi_H(x)) = (\pi_N(({}^a x)^{-1}), \pi_H({}^a x)) \in X.$$

It implies that X is an A -submodule of $U \oplus V$.

Consider the quotient A -module $W = (U \oplus V)/X$. By hypothesis (C2), there exists a unique action of H on U such that $\pi_N(hn) = h\pi_H(n)$ for every $h \in H$, $n \in N$, where hu denotes the result of the action of $h \in H$ on $u \in U$. Now we consider the assignment $G \times W \rightarrow W$ given by

$$(g, (u, v) + X) \mapsto g((u, v) + X) \triangleq (n(hu), hv) + X,$$

where $g = nh$, $n \in N$, $h \in H$ and $(u, v) \in U \oplus V$. We first prove that this is a map and it is indeed an action of G on W . Let $g = nh = n'h'$ and suppose that $(u, v) + X = (u', v') + X$, where $n' \in N$, $h' \in H$, $(u', v') \in U \oplus V$. It suffices to show that

$$(n(hu), hv) + X = (n'(h'u'), h'v') + X.$$

Write $t = n^{-1}n' = h(h')^{-1} \in N \cap H$ and so t acts trivially on U and V . Thus $h'u' = (t^{-1}h)u' = t^{-1}(hu') = hu'$ and $h'v' = t^{-1}(hv') = hv'$. Furthermore, $n'(h'u') = n(t(hu')) = n(hu')$. Hence it is enough to show that

$$(n(h(u - u')), h(v - v')) \in X.$$

Recall that $(u - u', v - v') \in X$. Then we may assume that $u - u' = \pi_N(x^{-1})$ and $v - v' = \pi_H(x)$ for some $x \in N \cap H$. By hypothesis (C2), $h\pi_N(x^{-1}) = \pi_N(hx^{-1}h^{-1})$. Note that $hx^{-1}h^{-1}$ and x act trivially on U and V . It follows from Lemma 2.6 (2) that $n\pi_N(hx^{-1}h^{-1}) = \pi_N(nhx^{-1}h^{-1}n^{-1})$ and $h\pi_H(x) = \pi_H(hxh^{-1})$.

As $hxh^{-1} \in Z(N)$, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (n(h(u - u')), h(v - v')) &= (n(h\pi_N(x^{-1})), h\pi_H(x)) \\ &= (\pi_N((hxh^{-1})^{-1}), \pi_H(hxh^{-1})) \in X, \end{aligned}$$

so this assignment is a map from $G \times W$ to W . Now let $g_1 = n_1h_1$ and $g_2 = n_2h_2$ with $n_i \in N$ and $h_i \in H$, and $(u, v) + X \in W$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1g_2)((u, v) + X) &= (n_1h_1n_2h_1^{-1}h_2)((u, v) + X) \\ &= ((n_1h_1n_2h_1^{-1})(h_1h_2)u, (h_1h_2)v) + X \\ &= (n_1(h_1(n_2(h_2u))), h_1(h_2v)) + X && \text{(by Lemma 2.7)} \\ &= g_1((n_2(h_2u), h_2v) + X) \\ &= g_1(g_2((u, v) + X)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence this map is an action of G on W and it is easy to see that $N \cap H \subseteq \text{Ker}(G \text{ on } W)$.

Consider the assignment $\pi: G \rightarrow W$ given by

$$\pi(g) = (\pi_N(n), \pi_H(h))X,$$

where $g = nh$, $n \in N$, $h \in H$. Note that if $g = nh = n'h'$ with $n, n' \in N$ and $h, h' \in H$, we have that $z = n^{-1}n' = h((h')^{-1}) \in N \cap H$. As $z \in Z(N)$, $z^{-1} = n'^{-1}n = n'(n')^{-1}n(n')^{-1} = n(n')^{-1}$. Since $H \cap N$ acts trivially on U and V , it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_N(z^{-1}) &= \pi_N(n(n')^{-1}) \\ &= \pi_N(n) + n\pi_N((n')^{-1}) \\ &= \pi_N(n) + n'(z^{-1}\pi_N((n')^{-1})) \\ &= \pi_N(n) + n'\pi_N((n')^{-1}) \\ &= \pi_N(n) - \pi_N(n'), \end{aligned}$$

and by a similar calculation, we have that $\pi_H(z) = \pi_H(h) - \pi_H(h')$. It follows that the assignment π is a map between G and W . Given $(u, v) + X \in W$, as π_N and π_H are bijective, we can take $g = \pi_N^{-1}(u)\pi_H^{-1}(v)$ and clearly $\pi(g) = (u, v) + X$. Hence π is surjective. Furthermore, as

$$|G| = \frac{|N||H|}{|N \cap H|} = \frac{|U||V|}{|X|} = |W|,$$

we conclude that π is bijective.

Now we prove that π is a 1-cocycle of the G -module W . Let $g_1 = n_1h_1$ and $g_2 = n_2h_2$, with $n_i \in N$ and $h_i \in H$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(g_1g_2) &= \pi(n_1h_1n_2h_2) = \pi(n_1h_1n_2h_1^{-1}h_1h_2) \\ &= (\pi_N(n_1h_1n_2h_1^{-1}), \pi_H(h_1h_2)) + X \\ &= ((\pi_N(n_1), \pi_H(h_1)) + X) + ((n_1\pi_N(h_1n_2h_1^{-1}), h_1\pi_H(h_2)) + X) \\ &= \pi(g_1) + ((n_1(h_1\pi_N(n_2)), h_1\pi_H(h_2)) + X) \\ &= \pi(g_1) + g_1((\pi_N(n_2), \pi_H(h_2)) + X) \\ &= \pi(g_1) + g_1\pi(g_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (W, π) is an IYB-structure on G . The last part is to show that (W, π) is A -equivariant. Let $g = nh \in G$ with $n \in N, h \in H$ and $a \in A$. Recall the action of A on W above. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a\pi(g) &= a(\pi_N(n), \pi_H(h))X \\ &= (a\pi_N(n), a\pi_H(h))X \\ &= (\pi_N({}^a n), \pi_H({}^a h)) \\ &= \pi({}^a n {}^a h) = \pi({}^a g), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Hence the theorem is proved. \square

4. SOME APPLICATIONS

Our first corollary shows that the direct product case follows directly from Theorem A.

Corollary 4.1. *Let a group A act on a group $G = N \times H$ which is the direct product of two A -invariant subgroups N and H . Suppose that N , and H are IYB-groups with A -equivariant IYB-structures (U, π_N) and (V, π_H) , respectively. Then G has an A -equivariant IYB-structures (W, π_G) such that*

$$\text{Ker}(N \text{ on } U) \text{Ker}(H \text{ on } V) \subseteq \text{Ker}(G \text{ on } W).$$

The next result appears as a consequence of Corollary 4.1

Corollary 4.2. *Let G be a nilpotent group of class two with an abelian Sylow 2-subgroup. Then G has a fully equivariant IYB-structure (W, π_G) such that $Z(G) \subseteq \text{Ker}(G \text{ on } W)$.*

The following corollary is an extension of Theorem 1.3..

Corollary 4.3. *Let a group $G = NH$ such that N is a nilpotent normal subgroup of class two and H is an IYB-group with IYB-structure (V, π) . Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $N \cap H \subseteq Z(N)$;
- (2) $[H, \text{O}_2(N)] \subseteq Z(N)$;
- (3) $H \cap N$ acts trivially on V .

Then G is an IYB-group.

Proof. Let $N_1 = \text{O}_2(N)$ and $N_2 = \text{O}_{2'}(N)$. Note that $N = N_1 \times N_2$. Consider the action H on N via conjugate. Then N_1, N_2 are both H -invariant. As N_2 is nilpotent of class two with odd order, by Example 2.3, there exists a fully equivariant (of course, H -equivariant) IYB-structure (U_2, π_{N_2}) on N_2 such that $Z(N_2) \subseteq \text{Ker}(N_2 \text{ on } U_2)$. Note that $[H, N_2] \subseteq Z(N) \cap N_2 = Z(N_2)$, which means that every element of H acts on N_2 as an central automorphism. By Example 2.4 and Proposition 2.5, there exists an H -equivariant IYB-structure (U_1, π_{N_1}) on N_1 such that $Z(N_1) \subseteq \text{Ker}(N_1 \text{ on } U_1)$. Applying Corollary 4.1, we obtain that N has an H -equivariant IYB-structure, (U, π_N) say, such that $Z(N) = Z(N_1)Z(N_2) \subseteq \text{Ker}(N \text{ on } U)$.

Since $N \cap H$ is contained in $Z(N)$ and acts trivially on V , we have that $N \cap H \subseteq \text{Ker}(Z(N) \text{ on } U) \cap \text{Ker}(H \text{ on } V)$. Applying Theorem A for $A = 1$, we conclude that G is an IYB-group. \square

Note that [3, Corollary 3.10] is a special case of the following result.

Corollary 4.4. *Let a group $G = NH$ such that N, H are two nilpotent subgroup of class two and N is normal in G . If $N \cap H \subseteq Z(G)$ and $[H, \text{O}_2(N)] \subseteq Z(N)$, then G is an IYB-group.*

Proof. As H is a nilpotent group of class two, it follows from Example 2.4 and Proposition 2.5 that there exist an IYB-structure (V, π_H) on H such that $Z(H) \subseteq \text{Ker}(H \text{ on } V)$. Since $N \cap H \subseteq Z(G)$, we have that $N \cap H$ is contained in $Z(N)$ and $Z(H)$, which acts trivially on V . By Corollary 4.3, G is an IYB-group. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let a group $G = N_1 N_2 \cdots N_s$ the product of subgroups N_1, \dots, N_s . Suppose that*

- (1) N_i is a nilpotent group of class two with an abelian Sylow 2-subgroup, $i = 1, \dots, s$;
- (2) N_i is normalised by N_j , for all $1 \leq i < j \leq s$;
- (3) $N_1 \cdots N_i \cap N_{i+1} = Z(G)$, $i = 1, \dots, s - 1$.

Then G is an IYB-group.

Proof. Write $X_i = N_1 \cdots N_i$ and $H_i = N_{i+1} \cdots N_s$ for all i , where $H_s = N_{s+1} = 1$. In order to show that G is an IYB-group, we use induction on i to prove the following result: X_i has an H_i -equivariant IYB-structure (U_i, π_i) such that $Z(G) \subseteq \text{Ker}(X_i \text{ on } U_i)$.

For $i = 1$, it is a consequence of Corollary 4.2. Hence we assume it is true for case $i - 1$, i.e., X_{i-1} has an H_{i-1} -equivariant IYB-structure (U_{i-1}, π_{i-1}) such that $Z(G) \subseteq \text{Ker}(X_{i-1} \text{ on } U_{i-1})$. As $H_i \leq H_{i-1}$, we have that (U_{i-1}, π_{i-1}) is H_i -equivariant. Note that H_i acts on the group $X_i = X_{i-1}N_i$, where $X_{i-1} \trianglelefteq X_i$ and X_{i-1}, N_i are H_i -invariant. By Corollary 4.2, N_i has a fully equivariant IYB-structure (V_i, ϕ_i) such that $Z(N_i) \subseteq \text{Ker}(N_i \text{ on } V_i)$. Since

$$X_{i-1} \cap N_i = Z(G) \subseteq \text{Ker}(Z(X_{i-1}) \text{ on } U_{i-1}) \cap \text{Ker}(N_i \text{ on } V_i),$$

it follows from Theorem A that X_i has an H_i -equivariant IYB-structure (U_i, π_i) such that $Z(G) \subseteq \text{Ker}(Z(X_{i-1}) \text{ on } U_{i-1}) \subseteq \text{Ker}(X_i \text{ on } U_i)$, as desired. \square

5. AN EXAMPLE

The following example shows that Theorem A improves Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4.

Example 5.1. Let $p \geq 3$ be a prime, let $m \geq 2$ be a natural number and let G be the group with the following presentation

$$G = \langle a, b, c \mid a^{p^m} = b^{p^m} = 1, c^{p^m} = a^{p^{m-1}}, a^b = a^{1+p^{m-1}}, \\ a^c = aa^{-p}b^{-p}, b^c = ba \rangle.$$

Then G is a group of order p^{3m} and nilpotency class $2m$ with derived subgroup $G' = \langle b^p, a \rangle$ and Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G) = \langle c^p, b^p, a \rangle$. Let $N = \langle a, b \rangle$ and let $H = \langle c \rangle$. Then $G = NH$, N is a normal subgroup of G , N is nilpotent of class two (in fact, a minimal non-abelian group) and $N \cap H = \langle c^{p^m} \rangle \subseteq Z(G)$. By Corollary 4.4, G is an IYB-group.

Claim 1. The group G cannot be expressed as the product of an abelian normal subgroup of G and a proper supplement.

It will be enough to show that every abelian normal subgroup of G is contained in $\Phi(G)$. Let T be an abelian normal subgroup of G . Since T is abelian, for every $g \in G$ we have that the map $t \mapsto [t, g] = t^{-1}t^g$, $t \in T$, is an endomorphism of T . Note that $[a, b] = a^{p^{m-1}}$, $[a, c] = a^{-p}b^{-p}$, $[b, c] = a$, and that $a^p, b^p \in Z(N)$. Every element of G has the form $c^k b^l a^r$ for suitable integers k, l, r . Suppose that $c^k b^l a^r \in T \setminus \Phi(G)$. Then $p \nmid k$ or $p \nmid l$.

Suppose first that $p \nmid k$. Then $[c^k b^l a^r, c] = [b, c]^l [a, c]^r = a^l (a^{-p}b^{-p})^r = a^{l-pr} b^{-pr} \in T$. Since $\gcd(l-pr, p^m) = 1$, there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\lambda(l-pr) + \mu p^m = 1$. Therefore $(a^{l-pr} b^{-pr})^\lambda = ab^{-\lambda pr} \in T$. Since T is abelian,

$$1 = [c^k b^l a^r, ab^{-\lambda pr}] = [c, ab^{-\lambda pr}]^k [b, ab^{-\lambda pr}]^r [a, ab^{-\lambda pr}]^r \\ = ([a, b^{-\lambda pr}] [a, c])^k [b, a]^r = a^{-pk} b^{-pk} a^{-rp^{m-1}} \\ = a^{-pk-rp^{m-1}} b^{-pk}.$$

It follows that $a^{-pk-rp^{m-1}} = b^{-pk} = 1$. Therefore $p^m \mid pk$, in particular, $p \mid k$, against our hypothesis on k .

Suppose now that $p \nmid l$. Then

$$[c^k, b^l, a^r, b] = [c, b]^k [b, b]^l [a, b]^r = a^{-k} a^{rp^{m-1}} = a^{-k+rp^{m-1}} \in T.$$

Since $\gcd(-k + rp^{m-1}, p^m) = 1$, we conclude that $a \in T$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= [c^k b^l a^r, a] = [c, a]^k [b, a]^l [a, a]^r = a^{pk} b^{pk} a^{-lp^{m-1}} \\ &= a^{pk-lp^{m-1}} b^{pk}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $a^{pk-lp^{m-1}} = b^{pk} = 1$. Consequently $p^m \mid pk$ and $p^m \mid pk - lp^{m-1}$, which implies that $p^m \mid lp^{m-1}$ and so $p \mid l$, against our hypothesis on l .

We conclude that all abelian normal subgroups of G are contained in $\Phi(G)$ and so the fact that G is an IYB-group cannot be obtained as a consequence of the results of [3].

Claim 2. The group G cannot be expressed as a non-trivial semidirect product of a normal subgroup and a complement.

Suppose that the result is false. Then there exists a normal subgroup N with a complement. In particular, N is not contained in $\Phi(G) = \langle c^p, b^p, a \rangle$.

Step 2.1. Let us prove that $\langle a, b^p \rangle \leq N$.

Suppose that $c^i b^j a^k \in N \setminus \Phi(G)$. Assume first that $p \nmid i$. By taking a suitable power, we can assume that $i = 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [cb^j a^k, b] &= a^{-k} b^{-j} c^{-1} b^{-1} c b^j a^k b = a^{-k} b^{-j} a^{-1} b^{-1} b^j a^k b \\ &= a^{-k} a^{-1-jp^{m-1}} a^{k+kp^{m-1}} = a^{-1+(k-j)p^{m-1}} \in N. \end{aligned}$$

This element is a generator of $\langle a \rangle$, consequently $a \in N$. We conclude that $[a, c] = a^{-p} b^{-p} \in N$, and since $a \in N$, we obtain that $b^p \in N$. In particular, $\langle a, b^p \rangle \leq N$.

Suppose now that $p \nmid j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [c^i b^j a^k, c] &= [b^j a^k, c] = a^{-k} b^{-j} c^{-1} b^j a^k c \\ &= a^{-k} b^{-j} (ba)^j a^k a^{-pk} b^{-pk} = a^{-k} b^{-j} b^j a^{j+(j-1)p^{m-1}/2} a^k a^{-pk} b^{-pk} \\ &= a^{j+(j-1)p^{m-1}/2-pk} b^{-pk} \in N \end{aligned}$$

and p does not divide the exponent of a . Hence we can assume that N possesses an element of the form $c^i b^l$ with $p \nmid l$. Consequently $[c^i b^l, c] = a^{l+l(l-1)p^{m-1}/2} \in N$, and so $a \in N$. As above, since $[a, c] = a^{-p} b^{-p} \in N$ and $a \in N$, we have that $b^p \in N$ and again $\langle a, b^p \rangle \leq N$.

Step 2.2. Let us prove that N has no elements of the form $cb^j a^k$.

Since $G' = \langle a, b^p \rangle$ has order p^{2m-1} and $N \not\leq \Phi(G)$, we conclude that $|G/N| \leq p^m$. Suppose that $cb^j a^k \in N$, then $N \langle b \rangle = G$ and so N has a cyclic complement of order p . Suppose that $c^i b^l a^r$ is a generator of this complement. We can check by induction that, for $u \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$b^{c^u} = b^{\sum_{w=0}^{u-1} (-1)^w \binom{u+w-1}{2w}} p^w a^{\sum_{w=0}^{u-1} (-1)^w \binom{u+w}{2w+1}} p^w.$$

Now we have that

$$(5.1) \quad 1 = (c^i b^l a^r)^p = c^{ip} (b^l a^r)^{c^{i(p-1)}} \cdots (b^l a^r)^{c^i} (b^l a^r).$$

We obtain that $c^{ip} \in \langle c \rangle \cap \langle a, b \rangle = \langle a^{p^{m-1}} \rangle$ and so $p^{m-1} \mid i$, that is, $i = tp^{m-1}$ for an integer t . Since $c^i b^l a^r$ cannot be in $\Phi(G) = \langle c^p, b^p, a \rangle$, we conclude that p does not divide l . The exponent s of b in the right hand side of Equation (5.1) satisfies

that

$$\begin{aligned} s &\equiv l \left(p - \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \binom{tp^{m-1}}{2} p \right) \pmod{p^2} \\ &\equiv l \left(p - \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \frac{tp^m (tp^{m-1} - 1)}{2} \right) \pmod{p^2} \\ &\equiv lp \pmod{p^2}, \end{aligned}$$

but $s \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$, and so $p \mid l$, against the previous remark. Hence no element of the form $cb^j a^k$ belongs to N .

Step 2.3. Final contradiction

Take $C = \langle c^r b^s a^t \rangle$ a complement to N in G . Since $c \in NC$, we have a power of $c^r b^s a^t$ in which the exponent of c is equal to 1. In other words, we can assume that $r = 1$ and $cb^s a^t \in C$. Note that $(cb^s a^t)^{p^k} \in \langle c^{p^k}, b^{p^k}, a^{p^k} \rangle$ for k natural, and so $(cb^s a^t)^{p^m} = c^{p^m} = a^{p^{m-1}} \in C \cap N$ with $c^{p^m} \neq 1$. This contradicts that C is a complement to N in G .

Therefore, the fact that G is an IYB-group cannot be obtained from the results of [6].

Since these groups have nilpotency class at least 4, they cannot be obtained as a consequence of the results of [4].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research of this paper has been supported by the grant PGC2018-095140-B-I00 from the *Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades* and the *Agencia Estatal de Investigación*, Spain, and FEDER, European Union, and by the grant PROMETEO/2017/057 from the Generalitat, Valencian Community, Spain. The first author is supported by the predoctoral grant 201606890006 from the China Scholarship Council. The fourth author is supported by a predoctoral grant from the “Atracció del talent” Programme from the Universitat de València.

REFERENCES

1. J. C. Ault and J. F. Watters, *Circle groups of nilpotent rings*, Amer. Math. Monthly **80** (1973), no. 1, 48–52.
2. D. Bachiller, *Counterexample to a conjecture about braces*, J. Algebra **453** (2016), 160–176.
3. F. Cedó, E. Jespers, and Á. del Río, *Involutive Yang-Baxter groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), no. 5, 2541–2558.
4. F. Cedó, E. Jespers, and J. Okniński, *Nilpotent groups of class three and braces*, Publ. Mat. **60** (2016), 55–79.
5. V. G. Drinfeld, *On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory*, Quantum groups. Proceedings of workshops held in the Euler International Mathematical Institute, Leningrad, fall 1990 (P. P. Kulish, ed.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1510, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992, pp. 1–8.
6. F. Eisele, *On the IYB-property in some solvable groups*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **101** (2013), no. 4, 309–318.
7. W. Rump, *Braces, radical rings, and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, J. Algebra **307** (2007), 153–170.
8. P. R. Sanders, *The central automorphisms of a finite group*, J. London Math. Soc. **1** (1969), no. 1, 225–228.

12H. MENG, A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES, R. ESTEBAN-ROMERO, AND N. FUSTER-CORRAL

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200444, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Current address: Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat de València, Dr. Moliner, 50, 46100 Burjassot, València, Spain

Email address: hangyangmenges@gmail.com

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT DE VALÈNCIA, DR. MOLINER, 50, 46100 BURJASSOT, VALÈNCIA, SPAIN

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Guangdong University of Education, 510310, Guangzhou, People's Republic of China

Email address: Adolfo.Ballester@uv.es

INSTITUT UNIVERSITARI DE MATEMÀTICA PURA I APLICADA, UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE VALÈNCIA, CAMÍ DE VERA, S/N, 46022 VALÈNCIA, SPAIN

Current address: Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat de València, Dr. Moliner, 50, 46100 Burjassot, València, Spain

Email address: Ramon.Esteban@uv.es

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT DE VALÈNCIA, DR. MOLINER, 50, 46100 BURJASSOT, VALÈNCIA, SPAIN

Email address: Neus.Fuster@uv.es