

Previous experience, experimentation and export survival: Evidence from firm-product-destination level data

Silviano Esteve-Pérez*
University of Valencia and INTECO

January 2021

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the role of a firm's product and/or market export experience and experimentation for survival of new product-destination export spells, using firm-level Spanish customs data over the period 1997-2015. Previous research has documented a positive impact of experience on export survival. This paper contributes to the extant literature by unravelling the distinct effect on export survival of on-going accumulated experience (i.e., from the start of a product-destination export spell), previous product and/or destination market experience, and experimentation (repetition of product-destination relationships). We find that 60% of new product-country export spells end during their first year. Thereafter, the hazard rate remarkably falls with their elapsed duration (i.e., age). Exporting a new product endures a higher risk than entering a new market. Besides, experimentation (repeated product-destination combinations) and previous experience (especially at product rather than at destination level) significantly lower the exit hazard. The results are consistent with previous studies that suggest that sunk costs to enter markets are relatively high, while experimentation and learning are more relevant at product level.

Keywords: Export survival, firm-product-destination export spells, experience

Clasificación JEL: F10, F14, D22

* E-mail: sesteve@uv.es

Acknowledgments: The author wishes to thank the editor and the reviewers for their insightful comments and suggestions to previous version of the paper. Comments from seminar participants at University of Trento (Italy) are highly appreciated. The author would like to thank Customs and Excise Department of the Spanish Revenue Agency (AEAT) for providing access to the confidential information used in this paper. The author thankfully acknowledges financial support from *Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades* (project RTI2018-100899-B-I00, in part-financed by the European Regional Development Fund) and Generalitat Valenciana (PROMETEO/2018/102). The usual disclaimer applies.

1. Introduction

Recent studies using micro-level trade data have pointed out that under the relatively stable and persistent aggregate trade flows, there is a rich dynamic at firm-, product- and destination-market/country level. Survival rates shortly after beginning to export, adding a new product or entering a new export market are very low. Then, the hazard rate sharply falls to later smooth out with elapsed duration (Besedeš and Prusa, 2006a, 2006b; Iacovone and Javorcik, 2010; Albornoz et al., 2016; Araujo et al., 2016). Hence, survival probability rises with accumulated ongoing experience (i.e., with the age of the exporting spell).

Furthermore, there exists high turnover (churning) along firm, product and destination-market dimensions in international markets. This suggests that while entry is relatively easy (and common), survival is harsh due to high rate of “infant mortality” among new trade relationships. Bernard et al. (2010, 2018) report that large regular exporting firms that export a broad variety of products to different destinations conduct a remarkably large share of international trade. These firms vary their exported product mix within and across destinations by adding and dropping products and destination countries over time.¹ Besedeš and Prusa (2011) argue, some of the short-lived export episodes that we observe might be trial and errors in which the exporter experiments with different prototypes of the good or in different markets before “discovering” the new successful export activity. Therefore, international markets depict a high degree of experimentation.

A firm’s export success is uncertain at the time of entry as it requires new knowledge and competences that are ex-ante unknown. The tacit nature of knowledge involves that uncertainty can only be resolved through export experience, that is, through the accumulation of time in export markets, and trial and errors (Rauch and Watson, 2003; Albornoz et al., 2012; Albornoz et al., 2016; Araujo et al., 2016). Thus, both market experimentation and experience are important dimensions of learning.

Several recent studies find that building up export experience through the accumulation of foreign sales and enlarging a firm’s product-destination scope enhances survival when entering new markets (e.g., Görg et al., 2012; Albornoz et al., 2016;

¹ Product and destination switching by exporting firms has been confirmed by a number of recent studies on different countries (e.g., Amador and Oromolla, 2013 for Portugal; Békés and Muraközy, 2012, for Hungary; Damijan et al., 2014 for Slovenia; Iacovone and Javorcik 2010, for Mexico; Álvarez et al., 2013 and Blum et al., 2013, for Chile; Lawless, 2019a, for Ireland).

Araujo et al., 2016) and/or adding new products (e.g., Lawless and Studnicka, 2019b, 2019c).

This paper contributes to this literature by carrying out a comprehensive analysis of the differential effect of several sources of learning (i.e., through the accumulation of product and/or market export experience and experimentation by a firm) on survival of new firm-product-destination export spells. While previous studies have primarily focused on the effect of either duration of an ongoing spell (i.e., how the survival probability changes with each additional year that a particular export spell is active) or the number of years of product/market prior experience, this paper aims at capturing additional sources of experience by accounting for the entire exporting history (available in the dataset) of the firms. In particular, we distinguish among several sources of experience. First, the accumulated experience as a product-destination export spell goes on, that is, the increase in experience with time spent within a specific market selling a specific product. Second, experimentation and repetition are additional sources of experience and learning. Third, firms build up experience on products and/or markets over time, which may boost survival chances of new export spells. The latter two sources are related to prior accumulated experience, that is, to the experience built up by an exporter before the onset of the product-destination spell being analyzed. Finally, static or current experience related to size, and product and country portfolio characteristics of the exporter is also considered.

In order to disentangle the distinct role of product and destination-market experience and experimentation on export survival, this paper examines all new product-destination export spells ("fresh spells") of continuing Spanish exporters over the period 1998-2015. The dataset comprises 1,935,355 product-destination spells corresponding to 8,859 exporting firms. These data allow us to focus on entry (birth) and exit of product-destination export spells separately from firm entry-to and exit-from export markets (or even firm failure), which are different decisions that are not addressed in this paper.

To fully account for all sources of product and/or destination-market experience of an exporter, these firm-product-country "fresh" spells (fpc, hereafter) are split into five exhaustive and mutually exclusive categories according to the firm's prior experience using its entire exporting history available in the data. These different "entry mode" groups are the following: (i) a new product is sold to a previously served destination/country (NP,OC); (ii) a product already exported is sold to a new destination market (OP,NC); (iii) a new product is sold to a new destination (NP,NC); (iv) a familiar

product is sold to a familiar destination, though leading to a new product-country combination (OP,OC,NPC); and (v) a repeated product-destination spell (OPC). That is, the firm initiates an export spell that involves an old product-country combination. To the best of our knowledge, this thorough taxonomy of new export spells by sources of an exporter's previous experience (i.e., using its entire exporting history available in the dataset) has not previously been made in this literature.

This paper is related to previous studies on trade duration at the product-country level (Besedeš and Prusa, 2006a, 2006b), firm level (Kostevc and Zajc Kejzar, 2020), firm-product level (Görg et al., 2012), firm-country level (Esteve-Pérez et al., 2013), firm-product-country level (Cadot *et al.*, 2013) and to studies on the dynamics of firms' export portfolio (Freund and Pierola, 2010; Amador and Opromolla, 2013; De Lucio *et al.*, 2016). Yet, the previous papers on portfolio dynamics have focused on switching patterns of export products and destinations without explicitly examining trade duration.

Moreover, a number of papers have reported a positive relationship between accumulated experience and survival when entering an entirely new destination market. While Aeberhardt et al. (2014) measure experience as the interaction of past export status with firms' total export experience measured in years, Araujo et al. (2016) define experience as the number of similar destinations the firm already serves. Albornoz et al. (2016) proxy experience with firm export survival time, number of export markets, number of previous incursions and export exposure. Inui et al. (2017) define experience as number of years of firm-export spells up to the current year.

The papers most closely related to ours are Lawless and Studnicka (2019b, 2019c). Using a rich dataset on Irish firms, they empirically examine the effect of previous firm- as well as product- and market-level export experience (i.e., prior to initiating a new export flow) on survival of new product-destination export relationships of Irish firms. These authors find that previous product experience is more effective than the experience accumulated while serving a particular country. However, they do not investigate the effect on survival of: (i) the accumulated experience from the onset of a spell (i.e., elapsed duration) as they assume a parametric functional form for the pattern of duration dependence; and (ii) experimentation (i.e., trial and error) as they treat spells as continuous and ignore breaks between them, that is, they do not consider multiple spells of a given product-market export relationship.

Our main contribution is to perform a more comprehensive analysis of the different sources of learning that accrue to an exporter (i.e., through the accumulation of product and/or destination market experience and experimentation) and to examine

their effect on the likelihood of survival of new firm-product-destination export spells. This is important because exporting entails risk and uncertainty, which suggests that experimentation and accumulation of experience in products and markets could be essential for survival of export relationships.

Interestingly, our paper departs from Lawless and Studnicka (2019b, 2019c) in a number of aspects. First, we explicitly examine the role for survival of accumulated experience of an ongoing fpc spell by estimating a duration model with a rather flexible non-parametric specification of the baseline function (without assuming a functional form for duration dependence). In particular, we estimate the pattern of duration dependence, that is, we measure how the hazard of ending an export spell varies with its elapsed duration (i.e., age-of-spell effects). Second, the thorough taxonomy of new export spells according to the firm's previous export experience allows us to examine all possible sources of experience with a product and/or experience in a market. In particular, we account for repeated fpc export spells, that is, we explicitly consider that firms learn through product-market experimentation (i.e., trial and errors).² Third, the size of the gaps between repeated export relationships further allows us to account for the likely depreciation of a firm's acquired product-destination specific knowledge gathered through experimentation. Fourth, while these authors measure previous export experience using information from 1996 to explain export survival over 2006-2015, we make use of all available information over 1997-2015 to analyze the role of product and/or destination-market experience on survival of new fpc export spells initiated by continuing exporters. By doing so, we take full advantage on the information encoded in the dataset, both in terms of spells and past history of exporters. Fifth, to further disentangle the effect of different sources of product and/or destination-market experience, we split spells into five "entry modes" according to the prior product and/or market experience that the firm had accumulated before starting a new product-destination export spell. Notwithstanding, one drawback of our study compared to theirs is that we have no information at firm level other than customs data.

Our results confirm very high hazard rates in the first years of newly created product-destination export spells. Then, the risk falls with accumulated ongoing experience (i.e., elapsed duration). Adding a new product faces a higher exit hazard than starting to sell to a new destination market. Try outs and experimentation (i.e.,

² Furthermore, unlike Lawless and Studnicka (2019b, 2019c), we further consider new fpc export spells: (i) that involve selling a new product to a new destination market, and (ii) that consists of previously sold products and previously served markets but leading to a new product-market mix.

repetition) and previous experience (especially at product vs. destination level) significantly lower the exit hazard. These results could be initially difficult to reconcile with theoretical models that highlight the importance of producer heterogeneity, uncertainty and sunk export-entry costs (Melitz, 2003). They predict that a firm's trade status is rather persistent. Yet, as pointed out by Albornoz et al. (2016), they cannot explain why most export flows have a very short duration, which is more in line with recent empirical and theoretical works that highlight the important role of experimentation (i.e., trial and error) in international markets (Freund and Pierola, 2010; Iacovone and Javorcik, 2010; Albornoz *et al.*, 2012; and Aeberhardt *et al.*, 2014, among others).

However, Arkolakis and Mundler (2013) argue that sunk-entry costs may differ across destination markets. They may be related to gathering information and fulfilling product standard requirements, technical regulations, red tape, setting a distribution network and marketing products. These high entry barriers would become exit barriers after entry, probably leading to expect little churning at destination-market level. This is in line with our result of longer expected duration at the destination than at the product level. Our result of higher risk when adding new products is compatible with uncertainty and experimentation. Araujo et al. (2016) argue that the lack of experience makes it more difficult to identify risky partners and to offer a product in line with consumers' tastes. When a firm decides whether or not and how to serve foreign markets, it faces considerable uncertainty. It is unaware of local regulations and legal requirements, about the size of foreign demand and the adequacy of its products to local tastes. Fanelli and Hallak (2018) develop a model in which exporters reduce uncertainty about demand features in foreign markets and distribution channels through experimentation. Our findings about higher exit hazard of adding new products, and the effectiveness of both repetition and previous product experience in boosting survival are consistent with these models. That is, firms would start with small commitments trying to gather information about their "fitness-to-survive" in export markets.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the dataset and provides summary statistics. Section 3 outlines the empirical methodology and presents preliminary evidence. Section 4 presents and discusses the main results, and finally, section 5 concludes.

2. Data and summary statistics

This paper employs annual firm-product-destination level export data from confidential transaction-level customs data collected by Spanish Customs (AEAT-ADUANAS). The dataset covers the period 1997-2015.³ The data have two characteristics that make them particularly suitable for the analysis of the link between experience and survival in export markets. First, it includes information on all firm-level export transactions above some size threshold;⁴ therefore, it provides a comprehensive overview of export activity of the economy. Second, it covers a long time period.

Each record includes a unique ID code for the exporter; an 8-digit level combined nomenclature (CN) product code, destination country, and shipment characteristics at annual frequency. The unit of observation is an export flow by a firm f of a product p to a destination country c in calendar year t .⁵ Therefore, we aggregate transactions to annual (f,p,c,t) quartets, which is our unit of observation. Let $S_{fpc,t}$ be the value (in euros) of exports by a firm f of product p to destination c in year t .

From the annual data for the firm-product-country triplet $(fpc, \text{ henceforth})$ we define an fpc export spell relying on the number of periods t (years) of consecutive exporting activity (transactions) at the firm-product-destination level (that is, $S_{fpc,t} > 0$) since it started/entered (i.e., it starts in year t when $S_{fpc,t-1} = 0$ and $S_{fpc,t} > 0$). Thus, the duration of an fpc exporting spell is the length of time (i.e., number of consecutive years of exporting a product to a destination market) until a firm f stops exporting a product p to a particular destination c , an event we will refer to as a “failure”. An fpc exporting spell *fails* in year t when this is the last year of consecutive exports of that product to that country, so $S_{fpc,t} > 0$ and $S_{fpc,t+1} = 0$. Therefore, information in 2015 is only used to identify those fpc spells ending in 2014. Hence, the maximum length of a complete spell in our dataset is 17 years.

The nature of the dataset raises some important issues that merit further comments. First, we do not have information on trade relationships for the years before the beginning and after the end of the sample period (1997-2015), leading to left- and right-censoring of individuals’ transition times. The censoring issue is twofold. On one hand, there are a number of fpc spells for which we do not know their exact entry-

³ Appendix A provides detailed information on the construction of the dataset used in this paper.

⁴ Two different thresholds apply to the declaration of export transactions for intra-EU (Intrastat) and extra-EU (Extrastat) trade. While Extrastat information is based on customs declarations and covers virtually all trade transactions, Intrastat covers all firms whose annual export flows exceed a certain annual threshold. Hence, this will likely lead to non-inclusion of a number of small exporters to the EU.

⁵ The data are reported annually, which raises an issue regarding partial-year effects at entry: If a firm begins exporting late in the year, its initial annual exports will be underestimated and its subsequent growth after entry overestimated. Bernard et al. (2017), using transaction-level data for Peru, find that the bias in the year of export entry can be substantial. While partial-year effects are likely to be present in our data, their impact in our estimates on survival is less severe than that in growth estimates.

date (left-censored spells) since they were running at the start of the sample period (i.e., 1997).⁶ That is, we do not know whether the first observed year of the spell (start of sample period) is in fact the first year of the relationship or the trade relationship had begun in some prior year. If we overlook that, duration estimates would be biased. In the survival analysis, in order to ensure consistency of our measures of spell age we drop those fpc spells on-going in 1997 given that we do not know their starting period (i.e., we drop both spells that started in 1997 and left-censored spells).⁷ Therefore, we focus on “fresh spells” (i.e., those spells born from 1998 onwards). Yet, we will add a dummy variable to control for those trade relationships that existed in 1997. On the other hand, at the end of the sample period (i.e., 2015), there are a number of fpc spells still running. That is, we know the starting date of the spell and that it survived at least until year 2015, but we do not know how long the spell ultimately lasted. Survival methods appropriately account for the issue of right-censored observations.

Second, some firms export a product to a country for some periods (first fpc exporting spell), then stop for at least one year (fail), and then start exporting the same product to the same country again, that is, re-enter the market (second fpc spell), a pattern commonly known as repeated spells. In the survival analysis we will include a dummy variable to control for repeated spells.⁸ Taking into account these breaks in fpc relationships is important since it allows accounting for the fact that experimentation is a salient feature of product-market export dynamics.

Third, we use annual data to be consistent with previous studies that use annual export activity data (for instance, Besedeš and Prusa, 2006a, 2006b) and to avoid any impacts due to possible seasonality in export behavior.

While our data source is comprehensive, it also has some drawbacks. First, as it is common with administrative data, it provides limited information about firms’ characteristics, such as size, productivity, profitability, and ownership structure. The latter may be important, and it precludes us from disentangling multinational activity that could affect the export vs FDI decisions.⁹ Second, the data end in 2014 for all fpc

⁶ Notice that the dataset with export relationships in 1997 is left-truncated because we only observe those fpc spells born before 1997 that have survived long enough to be ongoing in 1997, therefore excluding high-risk export spells initiated before 1997.

⁷ See Appendix A for a more detailed discussion on left-censoring in this dataset.

⁸ We distinguish between first and second or higher order fpc spells. We also checked out the results differentiating between second, third, fourth and fifth or higher order but the results remain unaltered.

⁹ Our dataset does not allow us to properly take into account the case of multinational groups, an issue that often arises when dealing with national firm-level data. Multinational groups may introduce some distortions on the firm-product-country export relationships as firms may offshore production plants and/or breakdown production processes. Both activities may affect the link between experience/experimentation and export survival. For instance, some relationships might be finished due to replacement of exports with FDI activities

export relationships, regardless of their starting time, which involves that the maximum potential age that individual spells can reach is different for each cohort. Whereas a spell from the 1998 cohort can reach a maximum of 17 years of life, those from 2012 cohort can reach, at most, three years.

2.1 Summary statistics

This paper explores the relationship between previous experience, experimentation and learning and survival of newly born fpc export spells. That is, we are interested in estimating the probability that a firm-product-destination export spell ends when it reaches a certain age. To this end, we restrict attention to continuing exporters, that is, firms that export each year over the period 1997-2015. This avoids mixing up the factors associated with entry into and exit from export activity (or, even firm failure) with those related to the survival of new fpc export spells. Therefore, we focus on survival of “fresh” fpc export spells conditional on firm survival in export markets. As a result, the survival analysis is carried out for 1,935,355 fpc export spells (see top panel of Table 1), corresponding to 8,859 firms, 7429 products and 198 destination markets, leading to 4,404,104 observations.

The bottom panel of Table 1 shows information at the firm level. In line with the results in previous studies, the distribution of Spanish product-destination trade flows is skewed along the different dimensions. On average, a Spanish regular exporting firm exports about 2-3 products to 3 destination market. Yet, most firms export one product to one destination market. The median product-destination portfolio of a continuing exporter is 12. Moreover, the annual export value of the median exporter is about 574,000€, with a small share of exporters accounting for most sales.

[INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE]

3. Modelling the duration of firm-product-market exporting spells

This section provides an outline of the empirical methods used in this paper, describes the vector of explanatory variables and presents preliminary evidence on their relationship with export survival.

3.1 Piece-wise exponential model

or, alternatively, vertical FDI may promote strong trade relationships (see, for instance, Conconi et al., 2016).

This paper uses survival methods in order to assess the role of experience and experimentation for export survival.¹⁰ Specifically, we aim at investigating the effect on export survival of accumulated ongoing experience (i.e., age-of-spell effects or duration dependence), previous “dynamic” experience (i.e., over the entire exporting history of the firm, such as “entry mode”), “static” experience (related to firm export size and the extent of product and country diversification), and experimentation (i.e., repeated spells).

These methods examine time-to-an event (i.e., end of a new fpc export spell) since the onset of that fpc export spell. Survival methods depict some interesting features. First, they account for whether and when an event takes places, so it allows controlling for the evolution of hazard rate with an spell’s age (i.e., duration dependence). Secondly, these methods appropriately deal with right-censored observations, which arise when fpc export spells are incomplete (i.e., spells that are ongoing at the end of the sample period).¹¹ Thirdly, the long time span of our dataset allows examining a large number of new spells over time with a long follow-up period, which permits to overcome some drawbacks of previous studies on trade and firm survival that examine few cohorts over short follow-up periods after entry.

We estimate several specifications of a piece-wise constant exponential hazard model that is a flexible semiparametric model characterized by its hazard rate, $h(t)$, which is the probability of leaving export markets at time t conditional upon survival up to that time t . The model takes the following form:

$$h(t, X_i(t)) = h_0(t) \exp(X_i(t)\beta) \quad (1)$$

where $h_0(t)$ is the *baseline function* or the hazard for a reference individual with covariate values (X) equal to 0, and captures the common risk faced by all spells of a given age t . It is parameterized using yearly dummy variables that summarize the age-of-spell specific effects (duration dependence) on the hazard. We include 17 dummy variables given that this is the maximum possible duration of an export spell in our dataset. This baseline hazard specification does not impose a particular functional form, thus allowing for a flexible shape of duration dependence. Thus, we can retrieve from the estimation the age dummies that account for the evolution of risk as the fpc spell grows older. That is, it measures how the hazard of ending an fpc export spell changes with each additional year that it is active. Besides, this flexible specification is robust to

¹⁰ See Jenkins (2005) for an excellent overview of these methods.

¹¹ This contrasts with traditional cross-section methods (e.g., logit, probit models) that focus on unconditional probability of occurrence of an event or on the average duration (OLS) over a period of time.

misspecification errors, and mitigates the potential problem brought about by unobserved heterogeneity when the baseline is mistakenly parameterized (Dolton and Van-der-Klauw, 1995).

The second component in equation (1), $\exp(X_i(t)\beta)$, is the relative risk associated with covariate values of X. The set of covariates is included to control for heterogeneity in the exit risk across export spells. In this specification, the effect of covariates is constrained to be a constant (over duration time) proportional shift of the baseline hazard function. The set of covariates comprises time-invariant and measured before of or at the onset of the export spells firm-, product-, destination-, and regional-level characteristics in order to capture previous experience and experimentation. They are predetermined in the survival analysis, which helps mitigate the potential problem of simultaneity. To obtain efficient estimators and unbiased standard errors, we apply the robust (Huber-White sandwich) estimator.

As a robustness check, we further estimate several specifications of a frailty model that is aimed at controlling for unobserved heterogeneity that may remain after including the full set of explanatory variables. The baseline hazard estimates of the different specifications of model (1) capture the pattern of duration dependence, which may arise from either true or spurious state dependence. The former may be related to the existence of high sunk entry costs to exporting, "success breeds success" and/or learning-by-exporting effects (i.e., accumulated experience from the start of a product-destination export spell). Spurious state dependence results from the lack of control for both observed and unobserved heterogeneity. Overlooking unobserved heterogeneity has some implications. First, The non-frailty model will over-estimate the degree of negative duration dependence in the (true) baseline hazard. This is a selection effect given that export spells with high frail fail faster, other things equal, so the survivors at any given survival time are increasingly composed of spells with relatively low frailty and thence lower hazard rates. Second, the presence of unobserved heterogeneity attenuates the proportionate response of the hazard to variation in each regressor at any survival time. That is, the estimate of a positive (negative) coefficient derived from the (wrong) no-frailty model will underestimate (overestimate) the 'true' estimate.

To tackle this issue, we estimate several specifications of a shared frailty model that is equivalent to a random-effect model for survival data. The shared frailty term, ν , captures unobserved effects related to unobservable firm characteristics given that the

firm is the locus of decision-making of product-destination export spells.¹² Thus, the model takes the following form:

$$h(t, X_i(t)|\nu) = \nu \cdot h(t, X_i(t)) = \nu \cdot h_0(t) \exp(X_i(t)\beta) \quad (2)$$

where ν is a positive random variable that is distributed as gamma with mean one and finite variance that is assumed to be independent of t and X .¹³ We must bear in mind an implication of the frailty model in terms of interpretation of exponentiated coefficients or hazard ratios. In model (1) a hazard ratio is interpreted as a proportional shift in the hazard function due to a unit change in the associated covariate. However, in model (2), hazard ratios carry the usual interpretation of model (1) only if comparing two hazards conditional on a given ν .

3.2 Explanatory variables and preliminary evidence

This section presents the main explanatory variables (see Table A.4 for a detailed description) and displays preliminary results on their relationship with export survival. To do so, we rely on non-parametric tests (log-rank tests) of equality of Kaplan-Meier survival functions across groups of spells classified according to the different values of each of these covariates. Under the null hypothesis, there is no difference in the survival rate across them. The results are presented in Table 2. The non-parametric Kaplan-Meier estimate of the survival function at t is obtained as follows:

$$S(t) = \prod_{j|t_j \leq t} \frac{n_j - d_j}{n_j}$$

where n_j is the number of ongoing export spells of age t_j , so they are at risk of suffering the event (i.e., ending the fpc export spell) at the onset of that period; d_j is the number of spells that end after reaching that age (i.e., they are not active in next period). The product is work out for all ages smaller or equal to t .

We now outline experience, try-outs and experimentation, and other control variables that are used in the empirical model and provide preliminary evidence.

[INSERT TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE]

a) Experience, experimentation (repeated spells) and entry mode

¹² Hence, we partly correct for the lack of information on firm characteristics (such as productivity, employment, managerial capabilities...), which are likely to affect export survival.

¹³ Tests on the significance of the frailty term are performed. In particular, we test whether the variance of the frailty term is statistically different from zero. If we reject the null, then the frailty model will be the preferred specification. Under the null hypothesis, the statistic is distributed as a chi-squared with one degree of freedom.

We define firm experience making use of the entire history about each firm's exporting profile available in the dataset. Therefore, we account for different sources of experience. First, the accumulated experience as an fpc spell ages (i.e., the increase in experience with time spent within a specific market selling a specific product).

Secondly, the experience gathered by the firm at the product-destination level, as well as the experience amassed at the product and destination-market levels before the onset of the fpc export spell being analyzed are also accounted for. We proxy these previous experience effects through different variables, such as binary indicators that capture their existence/non-existence, variables that count the number of years of product-country, product or country experience (before the onset of the fpc spell), as well as a binary indicator to account for existence of the relationship (at product-country, product, and country level) in 1997.¹⁴ We also add two variables that count the number of years of the previous product-destination spell and the number of years between the previous and the current fpc export spell.

Thirdly, we also control for experimentation using a variable that accounts for repeated (vs first) product-destination spells. Some papers find a trial-and-error behavior of firms; exporters start with small foreign deliveries to test whether exporting is profitable (Albornoz et al., 2012). Fanelli and Hallak (2018) develop a model with uncertainty and experimentation that points out that uncertainty and experimentation are central features that characterize exporter dynamics. In their model, export survival rates are strikingly low one year after entering a foreign market, while re-entrants are more likely to survive than first-time entrants. Thus, market experimentation could be seen as one dimension of learning.

Furthermore, to further examine the role for export survival of all sources of product and/or destination-market experience of an exporter, we split all new fpc export spells into 5 exhaustive and mutually exclusive categories according to the firm's overall prior experience. Hence, we consider the following "entry modes": (i) a new product is sold to a previously served destination/country (NP,OC); (ii) a product already exported by the firm is sold to a new destination market/country (OP,NC); (iii) a new product is sold to a new destination (NP,NC); (iv) a familiar product is sold to a familiar destination, but creating a new product-country combination (OP,OC,NPC); and (v) a new export

¹⁴ Unfortunately, we cannot distinguish whether ongoing export spells in 1997 were born in 1997 or before. The corresponding spells have been dropped from the analysis, but this dummy variable allows controlling for the previous existence of that relationship. Therefore, it is also capturing previous experience and experimentation.

spell involving an old product-country combination, that is, a repeated product-destination spell (OPC).

The first row of table 2 ("benchmark") points out the existence of an extremely high infant mortality of new product-destination spells as only does 40% continue beyond their first year. Yet, the hazard sharply falls after the first year to later smooth out. In addition, the results of Table 2 strongly confirm a positive association between survival and previous experience along the different dimensions, with the only exception of previous experience at the destination-market level. We also find a high degree of experimentation of Spanish exports, with repetition significantly raising survival probability. The variable that allows us to control for the "entry mode" taking into account all the export history of a firm (from 1997) suggests the existence of a clear ranking in survival performance. New fpc spells involving selling a new product to either a new or a familiar market endure the highest risk as only 20 and 27 per cent of these spells survive beyond their first year of service, respectively. This survival rate rises to 40-43 per cent for old products, and to 49 per cent for repeated product-destination spells. Furthermore, previous experience in products seems to be more effective than previous experience in destination markets.

b) Other control variables

The set of covariates further includes variables that are expected to have an effect on survival probability. Table 2 also presents preliminary evidence for those variables that are either binary or categorical. Continuous variables are considered in the regression analysis (i.e., counts of the number of years of experience, initial size of export spell, firm export size...).

We consider some variables that account for static experience. They are measured before the onset of the spell and capture whether the new spell includes the core product and/or destination market of the firm, as well as the extent of diversification of the firm along these two dimensions (i.e., products and markets). Starting a new fpc export spell selling the core product of the firm substantially improves survival. The impact of servicing an already known market has a positive impact, but smaller than that of the product.

Furthermore, we also include some firm-level variables, such as total export value of the firm (in the regression analysis of section 4) and whether the firm is also an importer at the onset of the spell. Import activity seems to slightly improve survival prospects. Moreover, the existence information spillovers (proxied by the number of

exporters of the same product to the same destination in a province) and holding comparative advantage in a product (measured by Balassa's relative specialization index at country level) may improve survival prospects of new exporting spells. In addition, the degree of product differentiation (measured by the elasticity of substitution, following Minondo and Requena, 2011) may affect the survival probability of exporting spells.¹⁵ Typically, exporting spells of differentiated products are expected to start smaller probably due to higher uncertainty about whether or not an appropriate matching between the supplier and the consumer is attained. Hence, the searching process for the "right matching" might involve more try-outs and experimentation. Therefore, it is expected that they face a high hazard rate shortly after entry, but once they make their way in a market because consumers like them, they will probably survive longer than homogenous products, which always face stronger competition. The preliminary evidence suggests that new spells involving products for which Spain holds a comparative advantage reduce the risk, whereas highly differentiated products suffer a higher risk of failure.

Finally, we also consider some distinctive characteristics of the destination markets. In the regression analysis, we include a set of traditional gravity variables, such as real GDP, real per-head GDP, distance from Spain and other variables to capture "proximity" (i.e., EMU membership, sharing a common language and a common border with Spain, being a land-locked destination market). Furthermore, destination markets (countries) differ in dimensions such as political stability, the functioning of markets, red tape or trade policies. Reliability of the destination country may be a key determinant of the survival of trade relationships. As reported by the OECD (2008), a large percentage of credit losses in export markets accrue from the country (political) risk. Hence, we split countries relying on OECD Country Risk Classification Method, which measures the country credit risk, that is, the likelihood that a country will service its external debt. It was developed to ensure premium rates are charged to cover the risk of non-repayment of credits (i.e., credit risk) and are appropriate to cover long-term operating costs and losses associated with the provision of external credits. This particular approach makes OECD country-risk classification rather appealing to examine the dynamics of export activity.¹⁶

¹⁵ Besedeš and Prusa (2006a) show that differentiated products survive longer than homogenous products because they focus on specific market niches that alleviate the toughness of price competition. Homogeneous products face stronger price competition that makes survival more difficult.

¹⁶ The classification is made through the application of a model (the so-called Country Risk Assessment Model, CRAM), that is, a quantitative assessment complemented with a qualitative assessment of the results of the model. The OECD country risk classification ranks from zero (minimum risk) to 7 (maximum risk) and

In the multivariate analysis of next section, we will examine the role that each of these covariates play for export survival when simultaneously controlling for other factors.

4. Results

This section presents the results from the estimation of different specifications of Equation (1) in order to examine the role of experience and experimentation for export survival. The results are displayed in Tables 3 to 7. We include year and regional dummies to account for the business cycle and for regional disparities. The coefficients reported are exponentiated (hazard ratios, e^β) and, therefore, indicate the effect on the hazard for a shift from 0 to 1 for a dummy variable or a one-unit increase in a continuous variable. Thus, a hazard ratio smaller (greater) than one indicates a reduction (increase) in the hazard and a longer (shorter) duration. The percentage change in the hazard produced by a change in a covariate by one unit (or from 0 to 1 for dummy variables) is obtained as $(e^\beta - 1) \cdot 100$. A hazard ratio equal to one indicates no effect on the hazard by the covariate being considered.

As a robustness check, we further estimate several specifications of a frailty model (Equation (2)) in order to control for unobserved heterogeneity that may remain after including the full set of explanatory variables. This is particularly relevant in this case given that we aim at unravelling the effect of firm experience and experimentation on the survival of new fpc export spells. The lack of control for unobserved heterogeneity might bias our estimates on duration dependence as well as on the effect of the explanatory variables. Therefore, the last column in each of the Tables 3 to 7 incorporates the estimates of frailty models. In all cases, we reject the null hypothesis of frailty variance equal to zero, so the frailty specification becomes our preferred specification. Therefore, we should interpret the reported coefficients as hazard ratios in the standard way but conditional on a given frailty ν . In general, the results of the frailty models reinforce our main findings: (i) as expected, the size of the baseline coefficients is slightly reduced, but negative duration dependence remains; and (ii) the relationship between the main explanatory variables and export survival remains qualitatively unaltered.

it is revised several times a year. We have split countries into three groups according to their average country risk rate over the sample period (<https://www.oecd.org/trade/topics/export-credits/arrangement-and-sector-understandings/financing-terms-and-conditions/country-risk-classification/>).

The analysis proceeds in several steps. First, we start examining the relevance of experience accumulated through time spent in the spell being analyzed (Table 3). Secondly, we have a first look at the effect of experimentation (Table 4). Then, we further investigate the impact of our measures of previous experience built up by an exporter (Table 5). Finally, to disentangle the role for export survival of all possible sources of product and/or destination-market experience of an exporter, we take into account the “entry mode” of the new fpc export spells (Tables 6 and 7). We discuss the results in turn.

4.1 On-going spell accumulated experience

Table 3 presents the results of several specifications to examine the link between age-of-spell and export survival, that is, we examine how the probability of ending a particular fpc export spell changes with each additional year that it is active. Columns (1)-(4) show that the hazard rate is very high, especially during the first year of service, then it drops off sharply to later smooth out. About 60 per cent (cols (1), (2) and (4)) of new fpc export spells end during their first year. Then, the hazard rate falls to 33-37 per cent during the second year and keeps falling thereafter. These findings are robust across the different specifications and are in line with the results in previous studies (Besedeš and Prusa 2006a and 2006b; Görg et al., 2012; Esteve-Pérez et al., 2013).¹⁷ Pair-wise test of equality of age estimates (not reported for brevity) suggest that the hazard significantly falls until the thirteenth year of a spell. Beyond that age, year-on-year differences in the hazard rates are no longer statistically different.

The last two columns include a group of control variables at firm-product-destination, firm, product and destination levels. We find that the initial size of the spell significantly reduces the exit hazard, which is consistent with previous findings by Rauch and Watson (2003), Besedeš and Prusa (2006a, 2006b), Cadot et al. (2013) and Lawless (2019c). The effect of destination-market characteristics is largely in line with the expected results in a gravity model with real GDP, sharing border, EMU membership reducing the hazard rate, while distance raising it. To some extent surprising, we find that the risk of ending an fpc export spell increases with the size of the destination market, proxied by GDP, and that it is higher for differentiated products.

[INSERT TABLE 3 ABOUT HERE]

¹⁷ Besides, the results also hold when we control for year-of-birth of spells (i.e., cohort effects). No clear pattern of cohort effects arises. These results are not reported in Table 3 but are available upon request from the author.

Rather interestingly, we find that country risk is negatively associated with a spell survival chances in line with Aruajo et al. (2016), Besedeš and Prusa (2006a, 2006b) and Esteve-Pérez et al. (2013), whereas spillovers enhance survival as previously reported by Cadot et al. (2013). Besides, new product-destination spells involving products for which Spain holds comparative advantage further enjoy higher survival probability, which is consistent with Görg et al. (2012) and Cadot et al. (2013).

To sum up, these results may indicate that the accumulation of experience from the start of an export spell (i.e., with its elapsed duration or age) improves survival chances. Next sub-section explores additional dimensions of experimentation and learning.

4.2 Experimentation and previous experience

Table 4 depicts the results when we further consider the impact of experimentation. We do so by adding a binary variable that controls for repeated product-destination spells.

The results confirm the decline in the hazard with the age of spells previously found as well as the positive association between export survival and initial size, holding a significant comparative advantage at the product level, and the number of exporters from the same region selling the same product to the same destination (i.e., spillovers). Likewise, some country characteristics (e.g., country-risk, distance and GDP) maintain their negative effect on survival probability.

[INSERT TABLE 4 ABOUT HERE]

Interestingly, we find that repetition significantly reduces the hazard rate. Our estimates suggest that repeated product-destination export spells face a 11-17 per cent lower exit hazard than first fpc spells. This result is consistent with the findings in previous studies (Albornoz et al., 2012; Albornoz et al., 2016) that point out that experimentation can be seen as one dimension of learning. Fanelli and Hallak (2018) argue that exporters learn through experimentation (repeated spells). New fpc export relationships face an extremely high hazard rate at entry due to high uncertainty. Then, re-entrants (i.e., repeated spells) are more likely to succeed, survive and grow. Besides, we find that the existence of a product-destination export relationship in 1997 further improves survival chances.

[INSERT TABLE 5 ABOUT HERE]

Table 5 introduces additional variables in order to capture the effect of both experimentation and different sources of previous experience gathered by an exporter on survival of new fpc export spells. In particular, columns (1) to (3) include a dummy

variable for repeated spells, and two variables to control for duration (i.e., number of years) of the previous fpc spell and the gap between the current and the previous fpc spell, respectively. Then, columns (4)-(6) incorporate one dummy variable that controls for having previously exported the product (i.e., firm-product, fp) to other destinations before the launch of the product-destination (fpc) export spell being examined. Likewise, we also add a dummy variable to control for having previously exported (other products) to a particular destination (firm-destination, fc) before the launch of the product-destination (fpc) spell being analyzed. Besides, three additional dummy variables to control for existence of the firm-product, firm-country, and firm-product country export relationship in 1997, respectively, are also considered. Finally, columns (7)-(10) depict the results when all sources of previous experience are included simultaneously. Besides, across the different columns of Table 5, we incorporate the full set of explanatory variables, including some variables to control for static experience and product and country diversification at the firm level in columns (9) and (10). Finally, the last column reports the estimates of a frailty model of the most complete specification.

The results reported in Table 5 confirm our previous findings and add some interesting insights. The hazard rate falls with the age of the product-destination spell (though, the baseline coefficients are not reported for brevity) and with repetition. Moreover, the effectiveness of repetition of a product-destination export relationship in reducing the hazard increases with the duration of the previous spell and decreases with the length of the gap between the previous and current fpc spell. Therefore, these results may be compatible with the learning-through-experimentation in export markets hypothesis (Albornoz et al., 2012 and Fanelli and Hallak, 2018). Longer spells deliver more learning, which might be eroded by the duration of the gaps between identical and consecutive product-destination export spells.

The results in columns (4) to (10) show that having prior product experience is more valuable for export survival than having previous experience in the destination market. The hazard rates for product experience are always smaller than one and statistically significant. The relatively small initial positive effect on survival of an fpc export spell of having prior experience in the destination market (column 4) becomes negative once we control for the full set of covariates (mainly, columns 9 and 10). Moreover, selling core products and/or selling to core market destinations seems to further improve survival chances. The impact of the former is stronger, which is consistent with previous studies (Iacovone and Javorcik, 2010; Lawless et al., 2019c) that highlight the survival-enhancing effect of exporting core products. Thus, spells that

combine core products and destinations endure a 14-18 per cent lower hazard than spells that comprise non-core products and non-core countries.

Besides, we find that new fpc export spells of firms with highly diversified product and destination portfolio endure shorter duration (i.e., hazard ratios larger than one and statistically significant). This finding is compatible with the high degree of churning by large continuing exporters pointed out by Bernard et al. (2010, 2018). Interestingly, the combination of one product sold to more than ten destination markets shares the best survival prospects with the omitted group (i.e., one product to one market). That might suggest the existence of some positive effects for export survival of experimentation with a product to different markets, despite the high sunk export-entry costs associated with delivering products to new destination markets.

Furthermore, both the initial size of the fpc spell, the firm's total trade value and participation in import activities reduce the exit hazard. Product characteristics lose their statistical significance when we account for unobserved heterogeneity. Destination characteristics depict the expected results according to the gravity model. That is, the risk of ending an fpc export spell falls with the economic size of the destination market (real GDP and real GDP per capita), neighborhood, EMU membership and common language, while it rises with distance and it is higher when exporting to land-locked countries. Finally, as in previous sub-sections, selling to low-risk countries and the number of exporters at the province-product-country level significantly raise survival chances.

4.3 Entry mode

This section digs deeper into the effect of all possible sources of product and/or destination-market prior experience on survival of new fpc export spells. The variable *Entry Mode* breaks all new fpc spells down into the following 5 exhaustive and mutually exclusive categories according to the firm's prior experience using its entire exporting history (available in the data). First, a new export product is sold to a previously served destination market (NP,OC). Second, a previously exported product is sold to a new destination market (OP,NC). Third, a new product is sold to a new destination market (NP,NC). Fourth, a familiar product is sold to a familiar destination, but leading to a completely new product-market combination (OP,OC,NPC). Finally, a repeated product-country pair (OPC). The latter is the omitted category in the regression analysis.

The results of table 6 confirm the pattern of negative duration dependence (though baseline coefficients are not reported for brevity) and the positive effect of

repetition (i.e., the omitted category OPC) on the subsequent survival of new fpc spells. Interestingly, there exist remarkable and statistically significant differences in post-entry performance across the different entry routes. Survival is particularly difficult for new fpc trade relationships involving selling new products to either new or familiar destination markets as they endure about a 35-52 per cent and a 32-36 per cent higher exit hazard than repeated spells (reference category), respectively. Survival conditions are relatively more pleasant for new fpc trade flows when a market (either familiar or unfamiliar to the firm) is served with familiar products, with a hazard rate closer to one. This survival ranking is robust across the different specifications of Table 6, including the frailty model (column (4)). These results are consistent with Bernard et al. (2011) and Iacovone and Javorcick (2010) and Manova and Zhang (2009) that point out that entry costs are lower for new products at a familiar market than for new markets. Hence, we would expect more try outs and experimentation at product level leading to more churning at new product than at new destination level.

[INSERT TABLE 6 ABOUT HERE]

The impact of the other covariates on export survival is, in general, consistent with our previous results. The size of the spell, holding a comparative advantage at the product level, several destination-market characteristics such as GDP per capita, sharing border and language with Spain, EMU membership, and the number of exporters at province-product-country level are positively associated with export survival. Besides, country-risk and distance reduce survival probability.

This section has uncovered remarkable differences in survival performance across export spells according to their entry mode. Therefore, the source of an exporter's prior experience affects the survival chances of new fpc export spells. Next section carries out a more in-depth analysis of the distinct role that each type of experience plays for export survival. To do so, we explore the hazard rate by entry modes separately.

4.4 Separate regression by entry mode: different sources of experience

This section extends the analysis on the role of experimentation and previous product and/or country experience for export survival of section 4.2 when we breakdown new fpc export spells by their entry mode as in section 4.3. This allows us to overcome a limitation of the analysis in section 4.2 in which the different entry routes were pooled together. For instance, when assessing previous product experience, we jointly considered new product-destination markets arising from (OP,NC) and (OP,OC,NPC)

entry modes. Likewise, when examining the role of previous country experience, we did not distinguish between new spells arising from (NP,OC) and (OP,OC,NPC) entry modes.

Table 7 displays the results by entry mode separately. In addition, we replace the dummy variables that accounted for existence/lack of previous experience with a product and/or experience in a destination market used in Table 5 with alternative measures of experience. That is, the number of years of experience at the product-destination, product, and destination levels.

[INSERT TABLE 7 ABOUT HERE]

Remarkably, Table 7 shows that a number of factors have a similar effect on the exit hazard independently of the entry route, which is consistent with our previous findings. Thus, the initial size of the product-destination spell and the number of firms in the same region selling the same product to the same destination significantly reduce the hazard rate. Trade with high-risk countries entails worse survival conditions. Some gravity variables have the expected results. Export spells to countries that have Spanish as their official language, are EMU members, share border with and/or are geographically close to Spain enjoy longer expected duration. Specifically, sharing border with Spain turns out to be particularly effective for the survival of (NP,NC) export spells.

Turning to the role of an exporter's prior experience, table 7 reveals some interesting differences across entry modes. First, when the new product-destination spell involves selling a new product to a familiar destination (NP,OC), the length of previous experience selling other products to that market slightly enhances survival. The hazard ratio in columns (1)-(3) is very close to one, involving that one more year of destination-market experience reduces the hazard rate by about 0.1%. Yet, we obtain that adding a new product to a core destination of an exporter endures a higher hazard. This is consistent with Lawless and Studnicka (2019a) argument that an exporter may enlarge their export portfolio by adding products that are likely to be less closely aligned to that firm's core competencies to their known markets, which may lead to lower survival rates. Moreover, the firm's total sales to that destination at the onset of the product-destination being analyzed significantly reduces the hazard rate. Second, columns (4)-(6) show that current firm's total sales of the product and selling a core product significantly reduces the hazard rate of new product-market combinations made up of familiar products and new destinations (OP,NC).

Third, new spells comprising both new product and new destination (NP,NC) have no prior experience with that product or in that market. In this case, columns (7)-(8) indicate that current the firm's total sales in foreign markets enhances survival. Fourth,

for new spells involving a familiar product and a familiar destination, though a new product-destination pair (OP,OC,NPC), both the firm's current total exports of the product and its total sales to the country significantly reduce the exit hazard (columns (9)-(11)). The impact of the previous experience measured by the number of years at product or destination have either a tiny or insignificant effect, especially in the frailty model. In this case, only does selling a core product to a core country simultaneously seem to significantly reduce the hazard rate (about 2.5 per cent in column (11)) with respect the omitted group (no core product and no core destination). Fifth, in the case of repeated fpc spells (OPC), columns (12)-(14) reveal that previous product-destination experience undoubtedly raises survival probability (number of years of experience, duration of previous fpc spell, existence of the spell in 1997), while the length of the gap between the previous and the current spell increases the risk of ending the spell. Selling the core product (and both core product and core country) of the firm further boosts survival.

Importantly, there arises heterogeneity in the role played by other control variables for export survival. Thus, while holding a comparative advantage in the product significantly reduces the hazard rate when selling completely new products either to a familiar or to a new destination (NP,OC and NP,NC), it turns out to be non-significant or even increases the risk for spells involving already exported products by the firm (OP,NC; OP,OC,NPC; and OPC). Besides, if the firm is simultaneously an importer does not seem to be relevant for survival when exporting a new product (NP,OC and NP,NC), but it reduces the hazard rate when exporting familiar products (OP,NC; OP,OC,NPC; and OPC). Diversification of the firm's product-destination portfolio is generally associated with more churning at the product-destination level and therefore increases the exit hazard, except for the case of new spells involving a completely new product-destination pair made up of sales of a familiar product to a familiar destination (OP,OC,NPC). In this case, we find either a non-significantly different effect or even a lower exit hazard when the firm was selling only one product to two or more destinations before the onset of the product-destination spell being analyzed.

Once we control for other factors, the economic size and the GDP per capita of destination markets enhances survival (i.e., hazard below one) when selling a familiar product to a completely new destination market for the firm (OP,NC). They also reduce the exit hazard in the case of familiar products and destinations (OP,OC,NPC and OPC), but have no significant effect when selling new products (NP,OC and NP,NC). Selling a highly differentiated product does not arise as a relevant factor in shaping survival (see

frailty model estimates for each entry route -columns (3), (6), (8) and (11)), except for the case of repeated spells in which it improves survival chances (col. (14)). Finally, the total trade value of the firm seems to raise the exit hazard (except for the case of NP,NC spells).

5. Discussion and conclusion

This paper explores the role of experience and experimentation for survival of all new product-destination spells by continuing exporting firms over the period 1998-2015 using Spanish customs data. Our main contribution is to unravel the distinct effect on export survival of the different sources of experience accumulated by an exporter. Specifically, we examine the role of on-going accumulated experience (i.e., the build-up of experience with the age of a specific fpc export spell), prior product and/or destination market experience, and experimentation (repetition of product-destination relationships).

The results indicate that while entry is relatively easy, survival is not. The hazard rate is very high at birth, then it drops off sharply to later smooth out (e.g., Table 3). Besides, experimentation (i.e., repetition of product-destination export relationships) is fairly common and significantly reduces the exit hazard (e.g., Tables 2, 4 and 5). In addition, we find that previous experience effectively enhances survival chances (Tables 2 and 5).

The low survival rate upon entry to export and the extent and effectiveness of repetition could be initially difficult to reconcile with models of entry with sunk costs (e.g., Melitz, 2003), which predict longer survival after entry leading to low churning. However, these findings may be in line with recent models of experimentation and learning (e.g., Eslava et al., 2015; Alborno et al., 2016; Fanelli and Hallak, 2018), which show that exporters learn through experimentation (try-outs, that is, repeated spells) and the accumulation of prior experience in order to resolve the high degree of uncertainty in international markets. Therefore, they argue that the extremely high hazard rate at entry is due to high uncertainty. Then, re-entrants (i.e., repeated product-destination export spells) are more likely to succeed, survive and grow.

Furthermore, this paper further disentangles the distinct effect of product and/or destination-market experience on new product-market export spells survival by splitting them into five exhaustive and mutually exclusive categories according to the entire export history of firms. We find substantial heterogeneity in survival probability among the different entry routes. In particular, our results indicate that there exists more

experimentation and churning at the product than at the destination-market level (i.e., adding new products to either new or familiar destination markets endures higher exit hazard than starting to sell -either familiar or unfamiliar products- to a new destination).

These findings are consistent with previous studies that suggest that exporting a new product to a familiar destination does entail lower initial sunk costs than starting to sell to a new destination market. The higher sunk costs at the market level raise entry barriers than become exit barriers when re-entry is a possibility, which is the case in our context given that we account for repeated spells. Therefore, our findings that the hazard of ending an fpc export spell involving a new product should be higher than that for export spells involving selling to a new market (Table 6) are consistent with this argument. Therefore, we should expect more churning (i.e., entry and exit) at the product than at the country level. Finally, as for the effect of the built-up experience of a firm in exporting, our results in Tables 2 and 5 point out that previous product experience is more effective than previous experience in a market in raising survival chances. This result may indicate that experimentation and accumulation of time exports markets may be more relevant for products than for markets.

Moreover, our results are broadly consistent with those in Lawless and Studnicka (2019b, 2019c) with regard to the survival-enhancing properties of proximity to an exporter's core competencies. In particular, we find that selling a core product (i.e., closest to the "best" product of the exporter) has a larger positive effect on survival than selling to a core destination. Furthermore, the somewhat initial unexpected negative relationship between the degree of product differentiation and export survival in Tables 2 and 3 vanishes (or even becomes positive in the last column of Table 7) when we control for the full set of covariates, specifically the size of the initial spell, previous experience and experimentation, and unobserved heterogeneity. This finding is broadly consistent with the view that trade relationships involving differentiated products tend to be of smaller size and are subject to more experimentation due the high uncertainty about achieving a correct matching between suppliers and consumers as discussed in Besedes and Prusa (2006a).

Therefore, once we take into account the different nature of new product-destination export spells (according to the firm's entire export history), and consider the different sources of experience (product, country and product-country levels), our findings are broadly consistent with the literature that points out the existence of two key drivers of survival of trade relations. While experimentation and accumulation of

experience is crucial for adding new products, sunk entry costs seem to be relatively more relevant when entering new markets.

The results of this paper have implications for the design of export-promotion policies. Heterogeneity at firm, product, and destination-market level is high and substantially affects the expected duration of new trade relationships. Therefore, one challenge for policy makers is to find out how short- and long-lasting trade relationships can be distinguished, not only *ex post*, but also *ex ante*. This could be used to design more sound export-promotion strategies prompting entry with desirable product characteristic that are appropriate to the particular target market taking into account survival probabilities.

This study has some limitations that open avenues for future research. In particular, this study focuses on transaction-level data, that is, includes information on all trade transactions but lacks important information at firm level. Specifically, we have no information about ownership, so we cannot distinguish between purely domestic-based firms, domestic-based multinationals and foreign multinationals with affiliates in Spain.

REFERENCES

- Aeberhardt, R., Buono, I. and Fadinger, H. (2014). Learning, incomplete contracts and export dynamics; theory and evidence from French firms. *European Economic Review*, 68, 219-249.
- Albornoz, F., Fanelli, S. and Hallak, J.C. (2016). Survival in Export Markets. *Journal of International Economics*, 102, 262–281.
- Albornoz, F., Calvo-Pardo, H., Corcos, G. and Ornelas, E. (2012). Sequential Exporting, *Journal of International Economics*, 88, 17–31.
- Álvarez, R., Faruq, H., and López, R.A. (2013). Is previous export experience important for new exports? *The Journal of Development Economics*, 49, 426-441.
- Amador, J. and Opromolla, L.D. (2013). Product and destination mix in export markets. *Review of World Economics*, 149, 23-53.
- Arkolakis, C., and Muendler, M.A. (2013). Exporters and their products: A collection of empirical regularities. *CESifo Economic Studies*, 59, 223–248.
- Araujo, L., Mion, G. and Ornelas, E. (2016). Institution and export dynamics. *Journal of International Economics*, 98, 2-20.
- Békés, G., and B. Muräkozy (2012). Temporary Trade and Heterogeneous Firms. *Journal of International Economics*, 87, 232-246.
- Bernard, A. B., S. J. Redding and P. K. Schott (2010). Multiple-product firms and product switching. *American Economic Review*, 100, 70-97.
- Bernard, A. B., S. J. Redding and P. K. Schott (2011). Multi-product firms and trade liberalization. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 126, 1271-1318.
- Bernard, A.B., Boler, E.A., Massari, R., Reyes, J.D. and Taglioni, D. (2017). Exporter dynamics and partial-year effects. *American Economic Review*, 107, 3211-28.
- Bernard, A.B., Jensen, J. B., Redding, S. and Schott, P. (2018). Global firms. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 56, 565-619.
- Besedes, T. and Prusa, Th., (2006a). Product Differentiation and Duration of US Import Trade. *Journal of International Economics*, 70, 339-359.
- Besedes, T. and Prusa, Th., (2006b). Ins, Outs, and the Duration of Trade. *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 39, 266-295.
- Besedes, T. and Prusa, T. (2011), "The role of extensive and intensive margins and export growth", *Journal of Development Economics*, 96: 371-379.
- Blum, B. S., S. Claro, and I. J. Horstmann (2013). Occasional and perennial exporters. *Journal of International Economics*, 90, 65-74.
- Cadot, O., L. Iacovone, and M. P. F. Rauch (2013). Success and failure of African exporters. *Journal of Development Economics*, 101, 284-296.
- Conconi, P., Sapir, A. and Zanardi, M. (2016). The internationalization process of firms: from exports to FDI. *Journal of International Economics*, 99, 16-30.
- Damijan, J. P., Konings, J., & Polanec, S. (2014). Import churning and export performance of multiproduct firms. *The World Economy*, 37(11), 1483–1506.
- De Lucio, J., Mínguez, R., Minondo, A. and Requena, F. (2016). Networks and the Dynamics of Firms's Export Portfolio: Evidence for Mexico. *The World Economy*, 39, 708-736.
- Dolton, P. and W. van der Klaauw (1995), "Leaving Teaching in the UK: A Duration Analysis", *Economic Journal*, 105: 431-44.
- Eslava, M., Tybout, J., Jinkins, D., Krizan, C.J., and Eaton, J. (2015). A search and learning model of export dynamics. *2015 Meeting Papers 1535*, Society for Economic Dynamics.
- Esteve, S., Pallardo, V., Requena, F. (2013). The duration of firm-destination export relationships: Evidence from Spain, 1997-2006. *Economic Inquiry*, 51, 159-180.

- Fanelli, S. and Hallak, J.C. (2018). Export survival with uncertainty and experimentation, mimeo.
- Freund, C. and Pierola, M.D. (2010). Export entrepreneurs. Evidence from Peru. *Policy Research Working Paper 5407*, The World Bank Development Research Group Trade and Integration Team.
- Görg, H., R. Kneller, and B. Muraközy (2012). What makes a successful export? Evidence from firm-product-level data. *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 45, 1332-1368.
- Gullstrand, J. and Persson, M. (2015). How to combine high sunk costs of exporting and low export survival. *Review of World Economics*, 151, 23-51.
- Iacovone, L., and Javorcik, B. S. (2010). Multi-product exporters: Product churning, uncertainty and export discoveries. *Economic Journal*, 120, 481-499.
- Inui, T., Ito, K., and Miyakawa, D. (2017). Export experience, product differentiation and firm survival in export markets. *The Japanese Economic Review*, 68, 217-231.
- Jenkins, S.P. (2005), "Survival Analysis", Unpublished manuscript, Institute for Social and Economic Research, University of Essex, Colchester, UK.
- Kostevc, C. and Zajc Kejzar, K. (2020). Firm-level export duration: The importance of market-specific ownership linkages. *The World Economy*, 43, 1277-1308.
- Lawless, M., Siedschlag, I. and Studnicka, Z. (2019a). Firm strategies in expanding and diversifying exports. *The World Economy*, 43, 349-375.
- Lawless, M., and Studnicka, Z. (2019b). Old firms and New Export Flows: Does Export Experience Increase Survival? UCD Centre for Economic Research Working Paper Series, WP2019/19.
- Lawless, M., and Studnicka, Z. (2019c). Products or Markets: What Type of Experience Matters for Export Survival? UCD Centre for Economic Research Working Paper Series, WP2019/23.
- Manova, K. and Zhang, Z. (2009). China's exporters and importers: firms, products and trade partners. NBER working paper series, WP 15249.
- Melitz, M.J. (2003). The impact of trade on intra-industry reallocations and aggregate industry. *Econometrica*, 71, 1695-1725.
- Minondo, A. and Requena Silvente, F. (2011). Las ganancias de bienestar por nuevas variedades importadas. Evidencia para España, 1988-2006. *ICE Cuadernos de Economía*, 82, 11-30.
- OECD (2008). The Export Credits Arrangements: 1978-2008. <https://www.oecd.org/trade/topics/export-credits/arrangement-and-sector-understandings/financing-terms-and-conditions/country-risk-classification/>
- Pierce, J. R., & Schott, K. P. (2012). ConCORDING US harmonized system codes over time. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 28, 53-68.
- Rauch, J. and Watson, J. (2003). Starting small in an unfamiliar environment". *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 21, 1021-1042.
- Van Beveren, I., A. B. Bernard, and H. Vandenbussche (2012). ConCORDING EU trade and production data over time. Technical Report, NBER Working Paper 18604.

Tables

Table 1. Summary statistics. "Fresh" firm-product destination spells 1998-2015

Total number					
Exporters					8859
CN8 products					7,429
Destination countries					198
Firm-product-country exporting spells					1,935,355
N (firm-product-country) exporting spells per firm					
	Mean				234
	Percentiles				
		25th			53
		50th			114
		75th			242
		95th			771
	Max.				33,907
Mean and percentiles of annual value per firm					
	mean	25th	50th	75th	95th
Export value (thousands €)	4895	138	574	2132	14007
CN8 products (no.)	2.6	1	1	2	7
Destination countries (no.)	3.1	1	1	3	12
Product-destination (no.)	30.2	5	12	29	99
N observations: 4,404,104					

Table 2. Kaplan-Meier estimates of survival of "fresh" product-destination export spells

	Kaplan-Meier survival rate				Log rank tests (p-value)	Number of spells	Number of failures	Number of observations
	Years of service							
	1	3	6	9				
BENCHMARK	0.40	0.20	0.12	0.08		1935355	1686740	4404104
PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE (before onset of spell)								
A) At product-destination level (fpc)								
Previous fpc experience, fpc existed in 1997								
No, No	0.37	0.18	0.11	0.07	18837	1358856	1216023	3011686
Yes, No	0.48	0.24	0.14	0.09	(0.000)	432544	351582	963944
Yes, Yes	0.54	0.30	0.18	0.13		143955	119135	428474
B) At product-level (fp)								
Previous fp experience, fp existed in 1997								
No, No	0.26	0.11	0.06	0.04	49399	405821	382357	737370
Yes, No	0.39	0.19	0.10	0.07	(0.000)	606705	520254	1210406
Yes, Yes	0.47	0.25	0.15	0.11		922829	784129	2456328
C) At country level (fc)								
Previous fc experience, fc existed in 1997								
No, No	0.39	0.20	0.13	0.09	1086	304184	270449	765070
Yes, No	0.39	0.19	0.11	0.08	(0.000)	734526	628327	1497822
Yes, Yes	0.42	0.21	0.12	0.08		896645	787964	2141212
EXPERIMENTATION								
Order of spell								
First	0.37	0.18	0.11	0.07	17171	1358856	1216023	3011686
Repeated fpc spell	0.49	0.26	0.15	0.10	(0.000)	576499	470717	1392418
ENTRY MODE								
NP,OC	0.27	0.12	0.07	0.04	46400	358117	335835	658776
OP,NC	0.43	0.23	0.15	0.11	(0.000)	256480	223927	686476
NP,NC	0.20	0.07	0.04	0.03		47704	46522	78594
OP,OC,NPC	0.40	0.20	0.12	0.08		696555	609739	1587840
OPC (REPEATED)	0.49	0.26	0.15	0.10		576499	470717	1392418
STATIC EXPERIENCE (before onset of fpc spell)								
A) Core product								
No	0.32	0.14	0.08	0.05	44100	901914	823526	1762661
Yes	0.47	0.25	0.15	0.11	(0.000)	1033441	863214	2641443
B) Core country								
No	0.40	0.20	0.12	0.08	32	928540	813526	2134680
Yes	0.41	0.20	0.12	0.08	(0.000)	1006815	873214	2269424
C) Core/Non-core product/country								
Core product, Core Country								
No, No	0.28	0.12	0.06	0.04	49113	319280	298374	578059
Yes, No	0.46	0.24	0.15	0.11	(0.000)	609260	515152	1556621
No, Yes	0.35	0.16	0.09	0.06		582634	525152	1184602
Yes, Yes	0.49	0.27	0.16	0.11		424181	348062	1084822
D) Number products, Number countries								
[1,1]	0.41	0.23	0.15	0.11	5154	8244	7353	23191
[1, 2-10]	0.44	0.24	0.15	0.11	(0.000)	18462	15983	50735
[1, >10]	0.49	0.27	0.18	0.13		8841	7226	24287
[2-10,1]	0.36	0.18	0.11	0.08		14002	12740	32802
[2-10, 2-10]	0.36	0.17	0.10	0.06		241312	220078	531297
[2-10, >10]	0.41	0.21	0.12	0.09		352775	307564	821893
[>10,1]	0.33	0.15	0.08	0.06		25707	23730	53966
[>10, 2-10]	0.37	0.17	0.10	0.06		159282	144141	347239
[>10, >10]	0.42	0.21	0.13	0.09		1106730	947925	2518694
FIRM-LEVEL VARIABLE (at onset of fpc spell)								
Non-importer	0.40	0.19	0.10	0.07	249.09	139693	125324	319144
Importer	0.41	0.20	0.12	0.08	(0.000)	1795662	1561416	4084960
PRODUCT-LEVEL VARIABLES (at onset of fpc spell)								
Revealed comparative advantage (Spain)								
No	0.39	0.20	0.12	0.08	280.26	1101510	958973	2464125
Yes	0.42	0.21	0.12	0.08	(0.000)	833845	727767	1939979
Extent of product differentiation								
Low-medium	0.43	0.23	0.14	0.10	5820.56	814585	696750	1969052
High	0.38	0.19	0.11	0.07	(0.000)	1120770	989990	2435052
DESTINATION-LEVEL CHARACTERISTICS (Country risk)								
Low-risk	0.46	0.25	0.15	0.11	27085	800243	679627	2105970
Medium-risk	0.38	0.18	0.10	0.07	(0.000)	893171	786251	1868546
High-risk	0.32	0.13	0.06	0.04		230103	210268	407718

Note: Log rank test for the equality of the survival functions for each explanatory variable.

Table 3. Age-of-spell effects. Piece-wise exponential model. Dependent variable: hazard rate

VARIABLE	(1)	(2)	(3)	FRAILITY (4)
AGE OF SPELL (dummy variables)				
1	0.596 (0.000)***	0.610 (0.002)***	0.784 (0.007)***	0.589 (0.009)***
2	0.338 (0.001)***	0.347 (0.001)***	0.478 (0.004)***	0.374 (0.006)***
3	0.244 (0.001)***	0.250 (0.001)***	0.354 (0.003)***	0.284 (0.005)***
4	0.191 (0.001)***	0.196 (0.001)***	0.283 (0.003)***	0.231 (0.004)***
5	0.159 (0.001)***	0.163 (0.001)***	0.239 (0.002)***	0.197 (0.003)***
6	0.138 (0.001)***	0.142 (0.001)***	0.211 (0.002)***	0.175 (0.003)***
7	0.123 (0.001)***	0.126 (0.001)***	0.189 (0.002)***	0.158 (0.003)***
8	0.112 (0.001)***	0.116 (0.001)***	0.174 (0.002)***	0.147 (0.003)***
9	0.102 (0.001)***	0.104 (0.001)***	0.158 (0.002)***	0.134 (0.003)***
10	0.093 (0.001)***	0.095 (0.001)***	0.145 (0.002)***	0.124 (0.003)***
11	0.088 (0.001)***	0.090 (0.001)***	0.138 (0.002)***	0.118 (0.003)***
12	0.082 (0.001)***	0.086 (0.001)***	0.131 (0.002)***	0.114 (0.003)***
13	0.076 (0.001)***	0.079 (0.002)***	0.121 (0.003)***	0.106 (0.003)***
14	0.075 (0.002)***	0.078 (0.002)***	0.119 (0.003)***	0.104 (0.003)***
15	0.071 (0.002)***	0.073 (0.002)***	0.113 (0.003)***	0.100 (0.003)***
16	0.066 (0.003)***	0.067 (0.003)***	0.104 (0.004)***	0.093 (0.004)***
17	0.076 (0.004)***	0.075 (0.004)***	0.115 (0.006)***	0.103 (0.006)***
Initial size of spell (ln)			0.928 (0.000)***	0.903 (0.001)***
Firm characteristics (at onset of fpc spell)				
Firm export value at onset of fpc spell (ln)			0.968 (0.000)***	1.002 (0.001)**
Firm import dummy			0.985 (0.002)***	0.996 (0.004)
Product characteristics (at start of fpc spell)				
Dummy Revealed Comparative Advantage			0.985 (0.001)***	0.979 (0.002)***
Dummy highly differentiated product			1.014 (0.001)***	1.004 (0.002)*
Destination market characteristics (at onset of fpc spell)				
Gravity variables				
In real GDP			1.010 (0.000)***	1.007 (0.001)***
In real GDP per person			0.985 (0.001)***	0.989 (0.001)***
In distance			1.041 (0.001)***	1.054 (0.001)***
Dummy share border with Spain			0.942 (0.002)***	0.938 (0.003)***
Land-locked country dummy			1.002 (0.002)	1.008 (0.003)***
Dummy euro member country			0.882 (0.002)***	0.889 (0.003)***
Dummy common language			1.009 (0.002)***	0.996 (0.003)
Destination market country-risk (omitted low-risk)				
Medium-risk countries			1.035 (0.002)***	1.045 (0.003)***
High-risk countries			1.112 (0.003)***	1.113 (0.005)***
Spillover effects (at onset of fpc spell)				
Number of exporters at province/product/destination market level (ln)			0.943 (0.001)***	0.926 (0.001)***
Business cycle	NO	YES	YES	YES
Province dummies	NO	NO	YES	YES
log-pseudolikelihood	-2448512	-2447961	-2379314	-2357087
Number of observations	4404104		4367280	
Number of export spells	1935355			
Number of failures	1686740			

All the coefficients in the table are exponentiated and are interpreted as hazard ratios with respect to the baseline (omitted) category. Robust standard errors in brackets. Col. (4) reports estimates of a PWE gamma frailty model shared by firm. Statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% level is indicated by *, ** and ***, respectively.

Table 4. Age-of-spell effects and experimentation. Piece-wise exponential model. Dependent variable: hazard rate

VARIABLE	(1)	(2)	(3)	FRAILITY (4)
AGE OF SPELL (dummy variables)				
1	0.661 (0.002)***	0.661 (0.002)***	0.760 (0.007)***	0.582 (0.009)***
2	0.384 (0.001)***	0.384 (0.001)***	0.465 (0.004)***	0.372 (0.006)***
3	0.279 (0.001)***	0.279 (0.001)***	0.345 (0.003)***	0.282 (0.005)***
4	0.220 (0.001)***	0.221 (0.001)***	0.275 (0.003)***	0.229 (0.004)***
5	0.183 (0.001)***	0.184 (0.001)***	0.232 (0.002)***	0.195 (0.003)***
6	0.159 (0.001)***	0.160 (0.001)***	0.204 (0.002)***	0.173 (0.003)***
7	0.141 (0.001)***	0.142 (0.001)***	0.182 (0.002)***	0.155 (0.003)***
8	0.129 (0.001)***	0.131 (0.001)***	0.168 (0.002)***	0.144 (0.003)***
9	0.117 (0.001)***	0.118 (0.001)***	0.152 (0.002)***	0.131 (0.003)***
10	0.106 (0.001)***	0.108 (0.001)***	0.139 (0.002)***	0.120 (0.002)***
11	0.100 (0.001)***	0.102 (0.001)***	0.132 (0.002)***	0.115 (0.002)***
12	0.095 (0.002)***	0.096 (0.002)***	0.125 (0.002)***	0.110 (0.003)***
13	0.087 (0.002)***	0.088 (0.002)***	0.115 (0.002)***	0.102 (0.003)***
14	0.084 (0.002)***	0.086 (0.002)***	0.113 (0.003)***	0.100 (0.003)***
15	0.080 (0.002)***	0.081 (0.002)***	0.107 (0.003)***	0.095 (0.003)***
16	0.072 (0.003)***	0.073 (0.003)***	0.097 (0.004)***	0.087 (0.004)***
17	0.079 (0.004)***	0.079 (0.004)***	0.106 (0.006)***	0.095 (0.005)***
Experimentation/previous experience				
Product-destination experience (dummy v.)-repeated spell	0.834 (0.001)***	0.864 (0.001)***	0.890 (0.001)***	0.886 (0.002)***
fpc export relationship on or before 1997 (dummy v.)		0.881 (0.002)***	0.917 (0.002)***	0.906 (0.003)***
Initial size of spell (ln)			0.930 (0.000)***	0.906 (0.001)***
Firm characteristics (at onset of fpc spell)				
Firm export value at onset of fpc spell (ln)			0.969 (0.000)***	1.000 (0.001)
Firm import dummy			0.982 (0.002)***	0.996 (0.004)
Product characteristics (at start of fpc spell)				
Dummy Revealed Comparative Advantage			0.990 (0.001)***	0.984 (0.002)***
Dummy highly differentiated product			1.014 (0.001)***	1.003 (0.002)
Destination market characteristics (at onset of fpc spell)				
Gravity variables				
In real GDP			1.010 (0.000)***	1.007 (0.001)***
In real GDP per person			0.987 (0.001)***	0.991 (0.001)***
In distance			1.042 (0.001)***	1.053 (0.001)***
Dummy share border with Spain			0.942 (0.003)***	0.939 (0.003)***
Land-locked country dummy			0.997 (0.002)	1.002 (0.003)
Dummy euro member country			0.881 (0.002)***	0.890 (0.003)***
Dummy common language			1.017 (0.002)***	1.004 (0.003)
Destination market country-risk (omitted low-risk)				
Medium-risk countries			1.029 (0.002)***	1.039 (0.003)***
High-risk countries			1.107 (0.003)***	1.106 (0.005)***
Spillover effects (at onset of fpc spell)				
Number of exporters at province/product/destination market level (ln)			0.950 (0.001)***	0.934 (0.001)***
log-pseudolikelihood	-2448512	-2447961	-2375934	-2353469
Number of observations	4404104		4367280	
Number of export spells	1935355			
Number of failures	1686740			

All the coefficients in the table are exponentiated and are interpreted as hazard ratios with respect to the baseline (omitted) category. All regressions include year dummies and province-of-origin dummies. Robust standard errors in brackets in cols. (1)-(3). Col. (4) reports estimates of a PWE shared (by firm) gamma frailty model. Statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% level is indicated by *, ** and ***, respectively.

Table 5. Previous experience. Piece-wise exponential model. Dependent variable: hazard rate

VARIABLE	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	FRAILITY (10)
"Dynamic" previous experience (before onset of spell)										
Product-destination experience (dummy v.) (repeated fpc spell)	0.906 (0.002)***	0.915 (0.002)***	0.915 (0.002)***				0.959 (0.002)***	0.951 (0.002)***	0.960 (0.002)***	0.945 (0.003)***
fpc export relationship on or before 1997		0.924 (0.002)***	0.949 (0.002)***				0.996 (0.003)	0.982 (0.003)***	0.979 (0.003)***	0.975 (0.004)***
Duration previous fpc spell (n years)	0.950 (0.001)***	0.954 (0.001)***	0.964 (0.001)***				0.957 (0.001)***	0.967 (0.001)***	0.968 (0.001)***	0.971 (0.001)***
Gap with previous fpc spell (n years)	1.007 (0.000)***	1.008 (0.000)***	1.010 (0.000)***				1.010 (0.000)***	1.012 (0.000)***	1.010 (0.000)***	1.010 (0.001)***
Product experience (dummy v.)				0.787 (0.001)***	0.860 (0.001)***	0.868 (0.001)***	0.876 (0.001)***	0.882 (0.001)***	0.943 (0.001)***	0.951 (0.002)***
fp export relationship on or before 1997					0.860 (0.001)***	0.860 (0.001)***	0.886 (0.001)***	0.880 (0.001)***	0.910 (0.001)***	0.883 (0.002)***
Destination market experience (dummy v.)				0.976 (0.001)***	1.015 (0.002)***	1.032 (0.002)***	1.041 (0.002)***	1.054 (0.002)***	1.053 (0.002)***	1.042 (0.003)***
fc export relationship on or before 1997					0.914 (0.001)***	0.998 (0.001)	0.931 (0.001)***	1.013 (0.001)***	1.013 (0.001)***	0.990 (0.002)***
"Static" previous experience (before onset of spell)										
Core product, core country										
(omitted: no core product, no core country)									0.833 (0.001)***	0.857 (0.002)***
Core product, no core country									0.952 (0.002)***	0.969 (0.003)***
No core product, core country									0.816 (0.002)***	0.858 (0.002)***
Core product, core country										
Firm export portfolio: N products; N countries										
(omitted: [1];[1])									1.044 (0.011)***	1.037 (0.015)**
[1]; [2-10]									0.986 (0.012)	1.026 (0.019)
[1];>10]									1.054 (0.011)***	1.039 (0.016)**
[2-10];[1]									1.162 (0.010)***	1.097 (0.014)***
[2-10];[2-10]									1.119 (0.010)***	1.088 (0.014)***
[2-10]; >10]									1.032 (0.010)***	1.053 (0.017)***
>10]; [1]									1.169 (0.010)***	1.123 (0.015)***
>10]; [2-10]									1.149 (0.010)***	1.132 (0.015)***
>10];>10]									0.932 (0.000)***	0.911 (0.001)***
Initial size of spell (ln)										
			0.931 (0.000)***			0.932 (0.000)***		0.934 (0.000)***		
Firm characteristics (at onset of fpc spell)										
Firm export value at onset of fpc spell (ln)		0.969 (0.000)***				0.974 (0.000)***		0.973 (0.000)***	0.974 (0.000)***	0.998 (0.001)*
Firm import		0.981 (0.002)***				0.989 (0.002)***		0.987 (0.002)***	0.980 (0.002)***	0.990 (0.004)**
Product characteristics (at start of fpc spell)										
High Revealed Comparative Advantage		0.991 (0.001)***				1.002 (0.001)		1.004 (0.001)***	1.009 (0.001)***	1.001 (0.002)
Highly differentiated product		1.014 (0.001)***				1.013 (0.001)***		1.012 (0.001)***	1.011 (0.001)***	1.002 (0.002)
Destination market characteristics (at onset of fpc spell)										
Gravity variables										
In real GDP		1.009 (0.000)***				0.999 (0.000)**		0.999 (0.000)***	0.997 (0.000)***	0.996 (0.001)***
In real GDP per person		0.987 (0.001)***				0.986 (0.001)***		0.986 (0.001)***	0.987 (0.001)***	0.991 (0.001)***
In distance		1.041 (0.001)***				1.060 (0.001)***		1.060 (0.001)***	1.061 (0.001)***	1.066 (0.001)***
Dummy share border with Spain		0.942 (0.003)***				0.889 (0.002)***		0.890 (0.002)***	0.887 (0.002)***	0.893 (0.003)***
Land-locked country dummy		0.997 (0.002)				1.015 (0.002)***		1.014 (0.002)***	1.014 (0.002)***	1.013 (0.003)***
Dummy euro member country		0.882 (0.002)***				0.892 (0.002)***		0.892 (0.002)***	0.897 (0.002)***	0.907 (0.003)***
Dummy common language		1.017 (0.002)***				0.973 (0.002)***		0.976 (0.002)***	0.973 (0.002)***	0.974 (0.003)***
Destination market country-risk (omitted low-risk)										
Medium-risk countries		1.026 (0.002)***				1.032 (0.002)***		1.028 (0.002)***	1.029 (0.002)***	1.036 (0.003)***
High-risk countries		1.103 (0.003)***				1.088 (0.003)***		1.084 (0.003)***	1.088 (0.003)***	1.098 (0.005)***
Spillover effects (at onset of fpc spell)										
Number of exporters at province/product/destination market level (ln)		0.951 (0.001)***				0.960 (0.001)***		0.962 (0.001)***	0.959 (0.001)***	0.947 (0.001)***
log-pseudolikelihood	-2427030	-2426767	-2374499	-2427447	-2422846	-2369214	-2419569	-2367081	-2362613	-2343127
Number of observations	4404104	4367280	4404104	4367280	4404104	4367280	4404104	4367280	4367280	
Number of export spells						1935355				
Number of failures						1686740				

All the coefficients in the table are exponentiated and are interpreted as hazard ratios with respect to the baseline (omitted) category. All regressions include age-of-spell dummies, year dummies and province-of-origin dummies. The coefficients are not reported for brevity but are available from the author upon request. Robust standard errors in brackets in cols (1)-(9). Col. (10) reports estimates of a PWE shared (by firm) gamma frailty model. Statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% level is indicated by *, ** and ***, respectively.

Table 6. Entry mode. Piece-wise exponential model. Dependent variable: hazard rate

VARIABLE	(1)	(2)	(3)	FRAILITY (4)
Entry mode (OPC omitted)				
NP, OC	1.361 (0.002)***	1.325 (0.002)***	1.330 (0.002)***	1.322 (0.003)***
OP, NC	1.093 (0.002)***	1.087 (0.002)***	1.009 (0.002)***	1.033 (0.003)***
NP, NC	1.523 (0.005)***	1.495 (0.004)***	1.355 (0.004)***	1.353 (0.007)***
OP, OC, NPC	1.154 (0.002)***	1.131 (0.002)***	1.118 (0.002)***	1.132 (0.002)***
Initial size of spell (ln)				
		0.929 (0.000)***	0.932 (0.000)***	0.908 (0.001)***
Firm characteristics (at onset of fpc spell)				
Firm export value at onset of fpc spell (ln)			0.971 (0.000)***	1.002 (0.001)*
Firm import			0.987 (0.002)***	0.995 (0.004)
Product characteristics (at start of fpc spell)				
High Revealed Comparative Advantage			0.997 (0.001)**	0.990 (0.002)***
Highly differentiated product			1.012 (0.001)***	1.003 (0.002)
Destination market characteristics (at onset of fpc spell)				
Gravity variables				
In real GDP			1.002 (0.000)***	1.000 (0.001)
In real GDP per person			0.987 (0.001)***	0.991 (0.001)***
In distance			1.055 (0.001)***	1.062 (0.001)***
Dummy share border with Spain			0.901 (0.002)***	0.907 (0.003)***
Land-locked country dummy			1.010 (0.002)***	1.012 (0.003)***
Dummy euro member country			0.892 (0.002)***	0.899 (0.003)***
Dummy common language			0.987 (0.002)***	0.982 (0.003)***
Destination market country-risk (omitted low-risk)				
Medium-risk countries			1.031 (0.002)***	1.040 (0.003)***
High-risk countries			1.094 (0.003)***	1.105 (0.005)***
Spillover effects (at onset of fpc spell)				
Number of exporters at province/product/destination market level (ln)			0.957 (0.001)***	0.942 (0.001)***
log-pseudolikelihood	-2424598	-2414230	-2370715	-2349714
Number of observations	4404104		4367280	
Number of export spells			1935355	
Number of failures			1686740	

All the coefficients in the table are exponentiated and are interpreted as hazard ratios with respect to the baseline (omitted) category. All regressions include age-of-spell dummies, year dummies and province-of-origin dummies. The coefficients are not reported for brevity but are available from the author upon request. Robust standard errors in brackets in cols. (1)-(3). Col. (4) reports estimates of a PWE shared (by firm) gamma frailty model. Statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% level is indicated by *, ** and ***, respectively.

Table 7. Entry mode and previous experience. Separate regressions. Piece-wise exponential model. Dependent variable: hazard rate

VARIABLE	FRAILITY			FRAILITY			FRAILITY			FRAILITY					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	
	New Product, Old Country (NP,OC)			Old Product, New Country (OP,NC)			New Product, New Country (NP,NC)			Old Product, Old Country, New Product-Country (OP,OC,NPC)			Old Product-Country (repeated) (OPC)		
"Dynamic" previous experience (before onset of spell)															
Product-destination experience (n years)												0.989	0.991	0.986	
												(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	
fpc export relationship on or before 1997												0.953	0.973	0.978	
												(0.004)***	(0.004)***	(0.005)***	
duration previous fpc spell (n years)												0.969	0.974	0.980	
												(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	
gap with previous fpc spell (n years)												1.008	1.006	1.006	
												(0.001)***	(0.000)***	(0.001)***	
Product experience (n years)				0.977	0.998	1.002			0.982	0.999	1.000	0.984	1.000	1.002	
				(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)*			(0.000)***	(0.000)***	(0.001)	(0.000)***	(0.001)	(0.001)**	
fp export relationship on or before 1997				0.931	0.983	0.970			0.979	0.990	0.969	0.974	0.992	0.978	
				(0.004)***	(0.004)***	(0.007)***			(0.002)***	(0.002)***	(0.004)***	(0.003)***	(0.003)**	(0.005)***	
Destination market experience (n years)	0.986	0.997	0.998						0.988	0.998	0.999	0.997	1.001	1.001	
	(0.000)***	(0.000)***	(0.001)***						(0.000)***	(0.000)***	(0.001)***	(0.000)***	(0.001)**	(0.001)	
fc export relationship on or before 1997	0.925	1.014	1.004						0.974	1.016	0.998	1.004	1.031	1.010	
	(0.003)***	(0.003)***	(0.006)						(0.002)***	(0.002)***	(0.004)	(0.003)	(0.003)***	(0.005)**	
"Static" previous experience (before onset of spell)															
Core product, core country (before onset, t-1)															
(omitted: no core product, no core country)															
Core product, no core country					0.939	0.966				0.982	1.000		0.968	0.981	
					(0.004)***	(0.006)***				(0.003)***	(0.005)		(0.004)***	(0.006)***	
No core product, core country		1.047	1.033						0.996	1.008			1.002	1.008	
		(0.003)***	(0.005)***						(0.003)	(0.005)*			(0.004)	(0.007)	
Core product, core country									0.943	0.975			0.946	0.969	
									(0.003)***	(0.005)***			(0.004)***	(0.006)***	
Firm export portfolio: N products; N countries															
(omitted: [1];[1])															
[1]; [2-10]		1.029	1.027		1.025	1.018		1.035	1.033		0.915	0.911		1.102	1.094
		(0.021)	(0.031)		(0.022)	(0.030)		(0.020)*	(0.036)		(0.033)**	(0.049)*		(0.026)***	(0.034)***
[1];>10]		1.109	1.097		1.010	0.997		1.097	1.088		0.896	0.882		0.993	1.008
		(0.025)***	(0.047)**		(0.025)	(0.034)		(0.047)**	(0.090)		(0.035)***	(0.056)**		(0.025)	(0.034)
[2-10];[1]		1.053	1.047		1.089	1.069		1.045	1.043		0.957	0.975		1.115	1.089
		(0.019)***	(0.029)*		(0.029)***	(0.039)*		(0.023)**	(0.039)		(0.037)	(0.057)		(0.027)***	(0.036)***
[2-10];[2-10]		1.101	1.101		1.146	1.086		1.067	1.072		1.021	0.981		1.185	1.134
		(0.018)***	(0.027)***		(0.022)***	(0.029)***		(0.017)***	(0.029)***		(0.029)	(0.043)		(0.024)***	(0.030)***
[2-10]; >10]		1.094	1.093		1.088	1.038		1.132	1.122		0.966	0.927		1.107	1.067
		(0.018)***	(0.027)***		(0.021)***	(0.028)		(0.019)***	(0.033)***		(0.027)	(0.041)*		(0.022)***	(0.029)**
>10]; [1]		1.066	1.060		0.909	0.929		0.682	0.805		1.033	1.001		1.130	1.088
		(0.018)***	(0.028)**		(0.035)**	(0.049)		(0.034)***	(0.055)***		(0.051)	(0.082)		(0.024)***	(0.034)***
>10]; [2-10]		1.091	1.096		1.182	1.119		1.139	1.127		1.006	0.957		1.149	1.100
		(0.018)***	(0.027)***		(0.024)***	(0.032)***		(0.019)***	(0.034)***		(0.029)	(0.042)		(0.023)***	(0.030)***
>10];>10]		1.066	1.094		1.119	1.064		1.125	1.117		0.966	0.944		1.108	1.096
		(0.017)***	(0.027)***		(0.022)***	(0.029)**		(0.019)***	(0.033)***		(0.027)	(0.042)		(0.022)***	(0.030)***

Table 7 (Cont.). Entry mode and previous experience. Separate regressions. Piece-wise exponential model. Dependent variable: hazard rate

VARIABLE	FRAILITY		FRAILITY		FRAILITY		FRAILITY		FRAILITY					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
	New Product, Old Country (NP,OC)		Old Product, New Country (OP,NC)		New Product, New Country (NP,NC)		Old Product, Old Country, New Product-Country (OP,OC,NPC)		Old Product-Country (repeated) (OPC)					
Initial size of spell (ln)	0.945	0.935	0.959	0.936	0.957	0.955	1.007	0.982	0.994	0.970				
	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.002)***	(0.002)***	(0.003)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***			(0.001)***	(0.001)***
Firm characteristics at onset of fpc spell														
Firm export value at onset of fpc spell (ln)	1.007	1.014	1.005	1.037	0.976	0.982	1.049	1.068	1.051	1.063				
	(0.001)***	(0.002)***	(0.001)***	(0.002)***	(0.002)***	(0.003)***	(0.001)***	(0.002)***	(0.001)***	(0.002)***			(0.001)***	(0.002)***
Firm import	0.996	1.003	0.984	0.980	1.013	1.021	0.957	0.967	0.972	0.980				
	(0.004)	(0.008)	(0.005)***	(0.009)**	(0.009)	(0.017)	(0.004)***	(0.007)***	(0.004)***	(0.007)***			(0.004)***	(0.007)***
Firm-product total exports (ln)			0.935	0.931	0.907	0.911	0.907	0.911	0.918	0.918				
			(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***			(0.001)***	(0.001)***
Firm-country total exports (ln)	0.974	0.978			0.943	0.949	0.943	0.949	0.937	0.943				
	(0.001)***	(0.001)***			(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***			(0.001)***	(0.001)***
Product characteristics (at start of fpc spell)														
High Revealed Comparative Advantage	0.985	0.988	1.018	1.002	0.961	0.969	1.030	1.020	1.016	1.014				
	(0.002)***	(0.004)***	(0.003)***	(0.006)	(0.005)***	(0.010)***	(0.002)***	(0.003)***	(0.002)***	(0.004)***			(0.002)***	(0.004)***
Highly differentiated product	1.008	0.998	1.013	0.993	1.008	1.003	1.004	1.000	0.986	0.974				
	(0.002)***	(0.004)	(0.003)***	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.010)	(0.002)**	(0.003)	(0.002)***	(0.004)***			(0.002)***	(0.004)***
Destination market characteristics (at onset of fpc spell)														
Gravity variables														
ln real GDP	1.011	1.009	0.980	0.980	1.000	0.998	0.995	0.994	0.994	0.993				
	(0.001)***	(0.002)***	(0.001)***	(0.002)***	(0.002)	(0.004)	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***			(0.001)***	(0.001)***
ln real GDP per person	0.995	0.999	0.983	0.986	1.000	0.998	0.996	0.998	0.987	0.988				
	(0.001)***	(0.003)	(0.002)***	(0.003)***	(0.003)	(0.006)	(0.001)***	(0.002)	(0.002)***	(0.002)***			(0.002)***	(0.002)***
ln distance	1.036	1.038	1.092	1.096	1.037	1.042	1.059	1.060	1.041	1.047				
	(0.002)***	(0.004)***	(0.003)***	(0.004)***	(0.004)***	(0.008)***	(0.002)***	(0.002)***	(0.002)***	(0.003)***			(0.002)***	(0.003)***
Dummy share border with Spain	0.893	0.890	0.829	0.871	0.707	0.719	0.894	0.891	0.911	0.917				
	(0.005)***	(0.007)***	(0.012)***	(0.015)***	(0.016)***	(0.021)***	(0.004)***	(0.006)***	(0.005)***	(0.006)***			(0.005)***	(0.006)***
Land-locked country dummy	1.046	1.042	1.016	1.018	1.029	1.025	1.007	1.005	0.990	0.991				
	(0.004)***	(0.008)***	(0.004)***	(0.006)***	(0.007)***	(0.016)	(0.003)**	(0.005)	(0.004)**	(0.006)			(0.004)**	(0.006)
Dummy euro member country	0.834	0.867	0.928	0.928	0.850	0.853	0.921	0.926	0.960	0.961				
	(0.004)***	(0.007)***	(0.006)***	(0.008)***	(0.011)***	(0.018)***	(0.003)***	(0.004)***	(0.004)***	(0.005)***			(0.004)***	(0.005)***
Dummy common language	0.991	0.979	0.932	0.941	0.963	0.959	0.985	0.987	0.982	0.986				
	(0.003)***	(0.006)***	(0.004)***	(0.007)***	(0.006)***	(0.014)***	(0.003)***	(0.004)***	(0.004)***	(0.005)***			(0.004)***	(0.005)***
Destination market country-risk (omitted low-risk)														
Medium-risk countries	1.092	1.094	1.020	1.026	1.062	1.057	1.033	1.041	1.005	1.008				
	(0.004)***	(0.009)***	(0.006)***	(0.009)***	(0.009)***	(0.019)***	(0.003)***	(0.005)***	(0.004)	(0.006)				
High-risk countries	1.147	1.131	1.105	1.115	1.095	1.087	1.096	1.100	1.070	1.075				
	(0.007)***	(0.014)***	(0.009)***	(0.014)***	(0.013)***	(0.029)***	(0.005)***	(0.009)***	(0.007)***	(0.010)***			(0.007)***	(0.010)***
Spillover effects (at onset of fpc spell)														
Number of exporters at province/product/destination market level (ln)	0.966	0.961	0.953	0.945	0.969	0.968	0.972	0.961	0.962	0.949				
	(0.001)***	(0.002)***	(0.002)***	(0.002)***	(0.003)***	(0.006)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.001)***			(0.001)***	(0.001)***
log-pseudolikelihood	-430093	-421245	-419013	-328593	-314671	-311549	-53837	-53779	-873621	-847008	-841905	-723953	-706694	-702713
Number of observations	658776	657227	686476	673794	77821		1587840	1576095	1392418	1382343				
Number of export spells		358117		256480		47704		696555		576499				
Number of failures		335835		223927		46522		609739		470717				

All the coefficients in the table are exponentiated and are interpreted as hazard ratios with respect to the baseline (omitted) category. All regressions include age-of-spell dummies, year dummies and province-of-origin dummies. The coefficients are not reported for brevity but are available from the author upon request. Robust standard errors in brackets. Statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% level is indicated by *, ** and ***, respectively. Cols. (3), (6), (8), (11) and (14) report estimates of PWE shared (by firm) gamma frailty models.

APPENDIX A. Description of dataset and explanatory variables

This appendix describes the procedure followed to build up the dataset used in this paper, and provides some summary statistics. The source of information is confidential data on all export transactions from Spanish Customs over 1997-2015. It comprises annual information on exports of Spanish firms by product (CN 8-digit level) and destination market. Hence, we use data at firm-product-country (fpc) level. In order to examine the role of experience and experimentation on new product-destination export relationships, we exclude the following categories (see Table A1).

First, we drop outliers and product categories that do not provide a meaningful and consistent unit of count across years. Secondly, we use the algorithm in Pierce and Schott (2012) and Van Beveren et al. (2012) to account for changes in product categories at the 8-digit level. This algorithm allows us to obtain categories that are consistent across the sample period (1997-2015). Out of 15215 CN8 codes over the sample period, there are 7671 combined-CN8 codes. Thirdly, we drop some products and destinations because of their peculiarities. That is, CN groups CN98 "complete industrial plants" and CN99 "Special CN code", as well as Andorra, Gibraltar, new/disappeared countries (e.g., Yugoslavia, South Sudan), destinations with reserved codes (i.e., "provisioning to third parties"). Thus, Spanish firms export 7650 products to 199 countries over the sample period (column 1 of Table A1).

Table A1. Dataset description

	Customs data (raw)	Sample (1)	Sample (2)
N firms	749722	386679	8859
N products	7650	7610	7429
N countries	199	198	198
% of total exports	100	95.4	55.4
N observations	20,422,962	12,995,853	4,404,104

Note.- Sample (1).- After dropping "accidental exporters" (i.e. Annual exports <1000€) from customs data; and with "correction for accidental exiters" (an ongoing fpc spell with sales below our "trade value threshold" one year).

Sample (2).- Yearly customs data in regression analysis. From Sample (1), we only keep regular exporters (i.e. Firms with positive exports every year over 1997-2015)

Fourthly, we drop "sporadic fpc relationships", that is, firm-product-country export relationship with a value of sales abroad below 1000€ in a particular year. Fifthly, we correct for "accidental or false exits" that arise as a result of the previous threshold, which could lead to "false repeated spells". Therefore, if exports of an fpc relationship in one year lies below 1000€ but both in t-1 and t+1 its value exceeds that level, then it is not considered as two different spells, one ending in t-1 and a second one starting at

t+1, but as one ongoing spell from t-1 to t+1. That is, one-year-gaps due to our export threshold are not considered as exits and re-entry.

These changes leave us with exports of 7610 CN 8-digit level product categories to 198 destination countries by 386,679 unique Spanish exporters over the period 1997-2015 (see column 2 of Table A1).

Table A2 reports the number of fpc exporting relationships over 1997-2015. Each column follows an fpc exporting cohort –i.e. a group of firm-product-country exporting relationships that began in a particular year- from the year of its birth through time. This table allows us to further assess the impact of left-censoring. We must bear in mind that, since we do not know the history before 1997, the 1997 “cohort” consists of all fpc that were active in that year, thus including ongoing as well as new-born relationships.¹⁸ This implies that this cohort will be in general overestimated in terms of their initial number of spells. In addition, the survival probability of this cohort is much higher than that of the others. In particular, the fpc exporting relationship of the 1997 cohort amount to 2.9% of ongoing spells in 2015 and account for 20% of total export value in that year, while a similar share in number of continuing spells in 2015 is only attained by the 2009 cohort but only accounting for 4.8% of total exports in 2015. In addition, the new fpc relationships born in 1998-2000 merely amount to about 0.6% of spells in 2015 representing about 1.8% of total value of exports in 2015. The previous two comments provide a rough proxy of the impact of left censoring in the dataset. Hence, the “1997 cohort” includes a large share of long-lasting relationships with relatively higher survival probabilities.

[INSERT TABLES A2 and A3 ABOUT HERE]

Table A3 displays the number of all firm-product-country spells created from 1998 onwards (“fresh spells”) and their survival rates by entry cohort followed over time. The first column shows the remarkable increase in the number of spells over time, which reveals the intense process of internationalization of the Spanish economy during this period. Both the number of fpc export relationships and their total trade value has remarkably risen over the period (except for the Trade Collapse in 2008-2009). On average, only does 35% of new fpc relationships survive beyond their initial year, and only 22% survive at least two years. Thereafter, failure rates slow down as spells grow older. Therefore, while export entry seems to be relatively easy, survival is harsh. This

¹⁸ Unfortunately, we cannot distinguish between them.

table (and our results in the paper) confirms a remarkable turnover among product-destination trade flows. In a typical year, about 44.7% of all fpc exporting relationships are new and small (accounting for about 13% total export value), and 78% of these new fpc exporting relationships will be gone two years hence. These results might suggest high trial and experimentation at product and destination market.

Finally, in the empirical analysis of the paper, we restrict attention to new firm-product-destination (fpc) export spells (i.e., “fresh” spells) of continuing exporters over the period 1998-2015 (Column 3 of Table A1). By doing so, we focus on survival of new product-destination export spells without considering firm-level entry to and exit from export market decisions (or even firm failure).

Finally, Table A.4 provides a description of the explanatory variables and data sources.

Table A2. Number of FPC exporting relationships, by entry cohort

Year	Year of birth of fpc exporting relationship																		Total (number fpc relationships)	
	1997 or before	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		2015
1997	456432																			456432
1998	248595	240820																		489415
1999	181650	80634	241259																	503543
2000	147246	49678	86383	269491																552798
2001	124272	35558	53191	93280	276631															582932
2002	106332	27417	38122	56237	95862	291096														615066
2003	92607	22225	29601	40295	58624	104936	272006													620294
2004	82367	18536	23989	31104	41889	65230	93816	274955												631886
2005	73640	15700	19627	24722	31829	46781	57020	99057	273399											641775
2006	66042	13512	16620	20611	25568	36655	41142	62671	99383	288985										671189
2007	58840	11628	13878	17152	20837	29486	31269	44660	61333	101189	298242									688514
2008	53122	10169	12053	14590	17487	24252	24895	34907	44295	63400	107864	296859								703893
2009	47638	8891	10516	12343	14842	20356	20209	27641	33292	44245	64832	97553	288808							691166
2010	42981	7894	9242	10743	12821	17434	17022	22979	26886	34201	46433	60866	101195	325940						736637
2011	39040	7073	8204	9487	11310	15354	14587	19490	22611	27495	36290	44403	63445	117788	342912					779489
2012	35496	6277	7358	8445	9989	13630	12705	16800	19282	22975	29463	35187	46334	75177	122729	378456				840303
2013	32816	5759	6783	7694	9023	12303	11171	14858	17002	19889	24856	29043	36776	55558	77602	135237	395837			892207
2014	30347	5323	6246	7094	8188	11092	9994	13173	15148	17546	21578	24871	30814	44275	57309	86942	140263	402930		933133
2015	28039	4853	5723	6401	7400	9986	8975	11705	13471	15386	18684	21422	25695	35974	44441	62911	88039	139866	416210	965181

Table A3 Survival rates by entry cohort (new-born fpc relationships)

Cohort	FPC spells	Survival rates after n years (%)																
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
1998	240820	33.5	20.6	14.8	11.4	9.2	7.7	6.5	5.6	4.8	4.2	3.7	3.3	2.9	2.6	2.4	2.2	2.0
1999	241259	35.8	22.0	15.8	12.3	9.9	8.1	6.9	5.8	5.0	4.4	3.8	3.4	3.0	2.8	2.6	2.4	
2000	269491	34.6	20.9	15.0	11.5	9.2	7.6	6.4	5.4	4.6	4.0	3.5	3.1	2.9	2.6	2.4		
2001	276631	34.7	21.2	15.1	11.5	9.2	7.5	6.3	5.4	4.6	4.1	3.6	3.3	3.0	2.7			
2002	291096	36.0	22.4	16.1	12.6	10.1	8.3	7.0	6.0	5.3	4.7	4.2	3.8	3.4				
2003	272006	34.5	21.0	15.1	11.5	9.2	7.4	6.3	5.4	4.7	4.1	3.7	3.3					
2004	274955	36.0	22.8	16.2	12.7	10.1	8.4	7.1	6.1	5.4	4.8	4.3						
2005	273399	36.4	22.4	16.2	12.2	9.8	8.3	7.1	6.2	5.5	4.9							
2006	288985	35.0	21.9	15.3	11.8	9.5	8.0	6.9	6.1	5.3								
2007	298242	36.2	21.7	15.6	12.2	9.9	8.3	7.2	6.3									
2008	296859	32.9	20.5	15.0	11.9	9.8	8.4	7.2										
2009	288808	35.0	22.0	16.0	12.7	10.7	8.9											
2010	325940	36.1	23.1	17.0	13.6	11.0												
2011	342912	35.8	22.6	16.7	13.0													
2012	378456	35.7	23.0	16.6														
2013	395837	35.4	22.2															
2014	402930	34.7																
Average		35.2	21.9	15.8	12.2	9.8	8.1	6.8	5.8	5.0	4.4	3.8	3.4	3.0	2.7	2.5	2.3	2.0

Note: Within each row, each column of the table calculates the percentage of exporting spells that survived beyond n years who were born in the row year.

The table follows all cohorts of new fpc exporting spells over 1998-2014

Table A.4: Description of variables and data sources

Variable	Description	Source
Entry mode (before onset of fpc spell)	Variable that splits all "fresh" product-destination spells born from 1998 to 2014 into 5 exhaustive and mutually exclusive categories accounting for all past history (back to 1997). In regression analysis, we use 5 dummies (OPC, omitted category)	AEAT-Aduanas (Spanish Customs)
New Product, Old Country (NP,OC)	Product not previously exported to a country previously served by the firm	
Old Product, New Country (OP,NC)	Product previously exported to a new destination market	
New Product, New Country (NP,NC)	New export product to a new destination market	
Old Product, Old Country, New Product-Country (OP,OC,NPC)	Product previously sold and country previously served, but new product-market combination	
Old Product-Country (OPC, repeated fpc spell)	Repeated Product-market combination	
"Dynamic" previous experience (before onset of spell)		AEAT-Aduanas (Spanish Customs)
A) At product-destination level (fpc)		
Product-destination experience (dummy v.)	Dummy variable equal to 1 if prior product-country experience, before the onset of a new product-destination spell (i.e. repeated spell)	
Product-country experience (n years)	Number of years of prior experience (0, 1, 2...), before start of a new product-destination spell	
fpc export relationship on or before 1997	Dummy variable equal to one if product-destination relationship existed in 1997 (i.e., born on or before 1997)	
duration previous fpc spell (n years)	Duration in number of years (0,1,2,..) of previous fpc spell	
gap with previous fpc spell (n years)	Number of years between current and previous fpc spell	
B) At product level (fp)		
Product experience (dummy v.)	Dummy variable equal to 1 if prior product experience, before the onset of a new product-destination spell	
Product experience (n years)	Number of years of prior experience (0, 1, 2...), before start of a new product destination spell	
fp export relationship on or before 1997	Dummy variable equal to one if firm-product relationship existed in 1997 (i.e., born on or before 1997)	
C) At destination market/country level (fc)		
Destination market (country) experience (dummy v.)	Dummy variable equal to 1 if prior country experience, before the onset of a new product-destination spell	
Destination market experience (n years)	Number of years of prior experience (0, 1, 2...), before start of a new product destination spell	
fc export relationship on or before 1997	Dummy variable equal to one if firm-country relationship existed in 1997 (i.e., born on or before 1997)	
"Static" previous experience (before onset of spell)	Following Gullstrand & Persson (2015)	AEAT-Aduanas (Spanish Customs)
Core product	Dummy variable equal to 1 if export volume of the 8-digit CN product above the mean within each 4-digit CN industry, before the start of spell	
Core country	Dummy variable equal to 1 if export volume to that destination is receiving above the mean to all destinations within each 4-digit CN industry, before the start of the spell	
Core product, core country	Four categories combining "core product" and "core" country. In the regression analysis we include 4 dummy variables to account for the 4 possible categories ("no core product, no core country" omitted)	
Number of products, number of destinations	Number of products exported by the firm, before onset of spell Number of destinations served by the firm, before onset of spell Nine categories: [1,1]; [1,2-10]; [1,>10]; [2-10,1]; [2-10,2-10]; [2-10,>10]; [>10,1]; [>10,2-10]; [>10,>10]. In the regression analysis, we include 9 dummy variables to account for these categories ([1,1] omitted)	
Initial size of spell (ln)	Initial value exports by product-destination (ln)	AEAT-Aduanas (Spanish Customs)
Firm characteristics (at onset of fpc spell)		AEAT-Aduanas (Spanish Customs)
Firm export value (ln)	Firm total export value (ln), at onset of spell	
Firm import dummy	Dummy variable equal to 1 for importers, at onset of spell	
Firm-product total exports	ln of firm total export value of the product (CN8), at onset of spell	
Firm-country total exports	ln of firm total export value to that destination, at onset of spell	
Product characteristics (at start of fpc spell)		
Dummy Revealed Comparative Advantage	Takes value 1 for products for which Spain holds high revealed comparative advantage, using Balassa's revealed comparative (RCA) index upon exports value at country-CN8 product level	COMTRADE http://atlas.cid.harvard.edu/
Dummy highly differentiated product	Takes value 1 if the product is highly differentiated, following the methodology in Minondo and Requena (2011)	AEAT-Aduanas
Destination market characteristics (at onset of fpc spell)		
ln real GDP	GDP in 2010 EUR (ln)	World Development Indicators
ln real GDP per person	GDP per capita in 2010 EUR (ln)	World Development Indicators
ln Distance	Distance in km between Spain an destination market (ln)	CEPII
Dummy share border with Spain	Takes value 1 if destination market shares border with Spain	CEPII
Land-locked country dummy	Takes value 1 if destination market is a land-locked country	CEPII
Dummy euro member country	Dummy variable equal to one if the destination country's currency is the euro at onset of spell	CEPII
Dummy common language	Dummy variable that takes value one if the if the official language of the destination country is Spanish	CEPII
Destination market country-risk	Country-risk on export credit operations elaborated by OECD and varies between 0 (no risk) and 7 (maximum). We create 3 categories of countries: (i) low-risk for value 0; (ii) medium-risk for values [1,4]; and (iii) high-risk for values [5,7]. In the regression analysis, we use 3 dummy variables to proxy each category (low-risk, omitted)	OECD Country-risk indicator
Spillover effects (at onset of fpc spell)		
Number of exporters at province/product/destination market level (ln)	ln of the number of firms in the triad province-of-origin, product and destination market	AEAT-Aduanas